```
Page 3
                                                     Page 1
                                                                                     EXHIBITS
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                    DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                      PAGE
  2
                 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
                                                                    3
                                                                          Exhibit 9 Picture taken from the
  3
                     EASTERN DIVISION
                                                                               Washington and Tucker
  4
                                                                               location
                                                                          Exhibit 10 10/11/17 email from Carl
  5
         MALEEHA AHMAD, ET AL., )
                                                                               Filler with attached Scope
                                                                     6
                                                                               of Work for Critical
  6
             Plaintiffs,
                                                                               Incident Review document
                                                                                                          154
                                                                     7
                                                                          Exhibit 11 Operational Planning,
  7
                           ) Case No. 4:17-CV-2455-CDP
                                                                     8
                                                                               Major Event - After Action
Critique 162
                                                                     9
  8
         CITY OF ST. LOUIS,
                                    )
                                                                          Exhibit 12 Chapter 574 sections of
         MISSOURI,
                                )
                                                                   10
                                                                               the Missouri Revised
  9
                                                                               Statutes
                                                                   11
             Defendant.
                                                                          Exhibit 13 Metropolitan Police
10
                                                                   12
                                                                               Department, September 1,
11
                                                                               2017, Instructions for the
                                                                   13
                                                                               Issuance of Warning from
12
                                                                               Police Officer Unlawful
13
                                                                                                         203
                                                                   14
                                                                               Assembly, Dispersal Order
                                                                          Exhibit 14 Civil Disobedience
14
                                                                   15
                                                                               Response Operations Plan
15
                                                                   16
                                                                               relative to the expected
16
                                                                               Stockley verdict dated 9/27/17
17
                  DEPOSITION OF ERIC LARSON
                                                                   17
                                                                          Exhibit 15 Training Course Lesson
               TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
18
                                                                               Plan, Course Title: Civil
19
                      APRIL 8, 2019
                                                                               Disobedience Team/7250
                                                                   19
20
                                                                               Training dated September
                                                                   20
21
                                                                   21
                                                                          Exhibit 16 Declaration of Charles
22
                                                                               Wall, with attached
23
                                                                   22
                                                                               Exhibit A
                                         Exhibit B
                                                                   23
24
                                                                   24
                                                                   25
                                                                          (Exhibits attached to transcript.)
                                                     Page 2
                                                                                                                        Page 4
 1
                   INDEX
                                                                                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                     1
 2
                   WITNESSES
                                                                                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
 3
       ALL WITNESSES PAGE
                                                                     3
                                                                                       EASTERN DIVISION
 4
       For Plaintiffs
                                                                     4
 5
        ERIC LARSON
                                                                     5
                                                                            MALEEHA AHMAD, ET AL., )
 6
          Examination by Mr. Praiss
 7
          Examination by Mr. Dierker
                                           247
 8
          Re-Examination by Mr. Praiss
                                            251
                                                                     6
                                                                                Plaintiffs,
10
                    EXHIBITS
                                                                     7
                                                                                            ) Case No. 4:17-CV-2455-CDP
                   DESCRIPTION
       NO.
                                       PAGE
11
       Exhibit 1 11/16/17 email from
12
                                                                            CITY OF ST. LOUIS,
                                                                     8
                                         17
             Lawrence O'Toole
                                                                            MISSOURI,
13
       Exhibit 2 Fourth Amended Rule
                                                                     9
14
             30(b)(6) Deposition Notice
                                                                                Defendant
15
       Exhibit 3 Civil Disobedience Response
                                                                   10
             Operations Plan section,
                                                                   11
16
             CITY 00430 through CITY 00438
                                                                                    DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, ERIC LARSON,
                                                                   12
17
       Exhibit 4 Metropolitan Police
                                                                   13
                                                                            produced, sworn and examined on the 8th day of
             Department - City of St.
             Louis, Office of the Chief
18
                                                                   14
                                                                            April, 2019, between the hours of eight o'clock in
             of Police, Special Orders
                                          83
                                                                   15
                                                                            the forenoon and six o'clock in the afternoon of
19
                                                                   16
                                                                            that day, at the Office of the St. Louis City
       Exhibit 5 City of St. Louis Law
                                                                   17
                                                                            Counselor, 1200 Market Street, City Hall, St.
20
             Department Police Section
                                                                   18
                                                                            Louis, Missouri, before Tara Schwake, a Registered
             Protest Law, 8/16/17
                                                                   19
                                                                            Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
21
       Exhibit 6 Settlement Agreement in
                                                                   20
                                                                            Certified Shorthand Reporter (IL), Certified Court
22
                                            93
             Templeton v. Dotson case
                                                                   21
                                                                            Reporter (MO), and Notary Public within and for the
       Exhibit 7 Declaration of Jerome V.
23
                                                                   22
                                                                            State of Missouri.
             Baumgartner
                                      101
                                                                   23
24
                                                                   24
       Exhibit 8 Picture taken from
                                                                    25
25
             Washington and Tucker
```

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Dono F		Dogg 7
	Page 5		Page 7
1	APPEARANCES	1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by
2		2	and between Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	3	Defendant that this deposition may be taken by Tara
4	ACLU OF MISSOURI FOUNDATION	4	Schwake, Notary Public and Certified Realtime
5	906 Olive Street, Suite 1130	5	Reporter, thereafter transcribed into typewriting,
6	St. Louis, Missouri 63101	6	with the signature of the witness being expressly
7	(314) 652-3114	7	reserved.
8	by: Mr. Omri Praiss	8	ERIC LARSON,
9	Ms. Jessie Steffan	9	of lawful age, having been produced, sworn, and
10	opraiss@aclu-mo.org	10	examined on the part of Plaintiffs, testified as
11	jsteffan@aclu-mo.org	11	follows:
12		12	****
13	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	13	(Deposition commenced at 9:58 a.m.)
14	OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNSELOR	14	EXAMINATION
15	1200 Market Street, Room 314	15	QUESTIONS BY MR. PRAISS:
16	St. Louis, Missouri 63103	16	Q Good morning.
17	(314) 621-3361	17	A Good morning.
18	by: Mr. Robert Dierker	18	Q My name's Omri Praiss, I'm an
19	Ms. Abby Duncan	19	attorney with the ACLU and we're here today for a
20	Mr. Andrew Wheaton	20	deposition in a case involving titled Ahmad
21	dierkerr@stlouis-mo.gov	21	versus City of St. Louis.
22		22	Are you familiar with that case?
23		23	A I am.
24		24	Q Could you state your name for the
25		25	record?
	Page 6		Page 8
1	COURT REPORTER:	1	A For the record, my name is Eric
2	TARA SCHWAKE, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR	2	Larson.
3	Alaris Litigation Services	3	Q And if I refer to you as Mr. Larson,
4	711 North 11th Street	4	is that okay?
5	St. Louis, Missouri 63101	5	A That is fine.
6	(314) 644-2191	6	Q Have you ever been deposed before?
7	1-800-280-DEPO	7	A I have.
8	transcripts@alarislitigation.us	8	Q How many times?
9	, - 3	9	A At least once that I'm aware of.
10		10	Q How recently was that?
11		11	A That has been probably 15 years ago.
12		12	Q It's been a while.
13		13	A Yes.
14		14	Q I'll give you a quick refresher.
15		15	Basically I'll be asking you a lot of questions
16		16	today, and we have a court reporter who is
17		17	transcribing everything. Please let me finish my
18		18	questions even when you can probably anticipate
19		19	what I'm going to ask you so that the record is
20		20	clear. I'll do the same and let you finish your
21		21	answers before I ask a new question. Is that fair?
22		22	A It is.
23		23	Q If at any time I ask you a question
24		24	today that you don't understand, please ask me to
25		25	rephrase it. Otherwise, I'm going to assume you
- -		ر _{کا}	reprinase it. Outerwise, this going to assume you

	Page 9		Page 11
1	understood my question. Is that acceptable?	1	to anything final at this point.
2	A That's acceptable.	2	MR. DIERKER: I understand.
3	Q Okay. Are you under any medication	3	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Very briefly, give
4	that impairs your ability to provide truthful and	4	me a summary of your employment background in
5	accurate answers today?	5	chronological order if you could.
6	A I am not.	6	A Since 1994, I have been employed by
7	Q And you understand you're under oath?	7	the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department.
8	A I do.	8	Q And summarize for me a little bit
9	Q Subject to penalty of perjury?	9	about what positions you have held.
10	A I do.	10	A From essentially February 1995, I was
11	Q Wonderful. Do you understand that	11	commissioned as a police officer. I was a patrol
12	this is a deposition of what's titled a Rule	12	officer in the second district until approximately
13	30(b)(6) deposition where you are actually	13	September of 2004.
14	designated as the representative on behalf the City	14	Q Okay.
15	today?	15	A When I was transferred to the crime
16	A I am aware of that.	16	laboratory and began training as a firearm and tool
17	Q And you're going to be testifying	17	mark examiner. Which I performed until
18	with respect to a series of topics; you understand	18	approximately February of 2010, when I was promoted
19	that?	19	to the rank of sergeant. In 2011, I became the
20	A I do.	20	supervisor of the evidence technician unit. In
21	Q If you don't mind, I'll take a few	21	January of 2013, I became the acting laboratory
22	minutes to get a little bit of background	22	director until June of 2013, when I was promoted to
23	information about yourself, because that may impact	23	the rank of lieutenant and given the title
24	your testimony on some topics.	24	laboratory director.
25	MR. DIERKER: Excuse me, I'm sorry to	25	In September of 2015, I was promoted
	Page 10		Page 12
1	interrupt but I would like to put on the record	1	to the rank of captain and transferred from the
2	that originally the plan was that this 30(b)(6)	2	laboratory to District 5, where I remained until
3	would cover both the Ahmad and the Molina cases and	3	approximately I believe it was August of 2017
4	owing to some discovery issues in Molina, this is	4	when I was transferred to the command of
5	going forward in the context of Ahmad but my	5	investigative services, where I remained until
6	understanding is that topics 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, and 11		-
		6	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved
7	duplicate topics in the 30(b)(6) notice in the	6 7	-
8	duplicate topics in the 30(b)(6) notice in the Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will		early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved
8 9	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that	7 8 9	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of
8 9 10	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will	7 8 9 10	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time.
8 9 10 11	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your	7 8 9 10 11	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of
8 9 10	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and	7 8 9 10 11 12	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career.
8 9 10 11 12 13	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all possible, we have no intent of duplicating this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all possible, we have no intent of duplicating this deposition unnecessarily.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research. A Correct.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all possible, we have no intent of duplicating this deposition unnecessarily. MR. DIERKER: I'm confident that you	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research. A Correct. Q Just explain to me a little bit of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all possible, we have no intent of duplicating this deposition unnecessarily. MR. DIERKER: I'm confident that you don't but I felt the need to put something on the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research. A Correct. Q Just explain to me a little bit of what that entails?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Molina case, and it's our expectation that we will not have to duplicate the testimony in Molina that is being adduced in this case. MR. PRAISS: And I appreciate your comments. I'm not sure this is the right place and I don't want to get into a debate with you. I know there was correspondence between and you Tony Rothert about that issue and I think, as you know, there are still outstanding discovery issues in Molina but I can assure you to the extent, at all possible, we have no intent of duplicating this deposition unnecessarily. MR. DIERKER: I'm confident that you don't but I felt the need to put something on the record.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	early 2018, I think January 2018, when I was moved to be over planning and research until April of 2018 when I was promoted to the rank of major, and I have been the commander/deputy commander of specialized enforcement since that time. Q Congratulation, it's been an amazing career. A Thank you. Q I can ask you a ton of questions on it but I'm going to refrain because I want to focus on the 30(b)(6) but just two I need to understand. In early January of 2018 you said you were transferred to planning and research. A Correct. Q Just explain to me a little bit of what that entails? A Essentially I was moved from

Page 15 Page 13 1 to planning and research, which is essentially the 1 some direction from the courts 2 departments of research -- planning is responsible 2 Q Since the Stockley protests, is it 3 for writing special orders, researching policy, 3 your testimony the City has done -- made no effort 4 4 to evaluate the current special orders and policies preparing our crime numbers for the FBI, and that 5 5 was -- that was my function. in effect? 6 6 A No, I would not say that. Q And you were in that position for 7 7 actually a very short time? So I misunderstood you. So again, 8 A For a very short time. 8 during the time period that you were there, help me 9 9 Q Okay. And then you were transferred understand what efforts were made by the Department 10 -- you were promoted to major; correct? 10 of Planning and Research to, I'll broadly 11 A Yes. 11 characterize as this, to evaluate modifying 12 Q And if I understood correctly, you 12 policies, writing new policies, or looking at 13 were in charge of specialized enforcement? 13 lessons learned from the Stockley protest. I'm 14 14 A I was the commander of specialized trying to make is as broad as possible. 15 enforcement from April of 2018 through December of 15 A If you're trying to make it as broad as possible, we're discussing several areas that 16 16 2018, and then in 2019 I became the deputy 17 17 commander. We have had several department are outside the scope of planning and research. 18 18 reorganizations between April of 2017 through Planning and research is an area that generally 19 works at the direction of the Chief of Police or 19 December of 2018. 2.0 As deputy commander, it's still over 20 senior command. So a request has to come from that 21 specialized enforcement? 21 office to the planning and research unit to perform 2.2 A Correct. 22 functions related to policy reviews, changes in 23 Q So my question is, what does the term 23 policy, changes in direction on that. And when 2.4 specialized enforcement, what does that entail? 24 we're talking about policy, we're talking about all 25 A Essentially specialized enforcement 25 department policy. Page 14 Page 16 1 are primarily uniformed members of the department 1 So I mean, there's something 2 who are not in district assignment. So I'm in 2 somewhere along the lines of 3,000 pages of 3 charge of, as the deputy commander, I report to a 3 department policy. So to say we have made no 4 colonel, I have a captain that reports to me, but 4 changes in policy during that time, I don't believe 5 5 the units are SWAT, K-9, aviation, traffic, would be fair. To say that we didn't talk or 6 6 commercial motor vehicle inspection, special discuss about things related to protests or protest 7 7 activity, I can't say we did or I can't say that we operations, which relates primarily to anti-crime 8 and auto theft. Our drug enforcement and 8 did not. We may have, but because of the ongoing 9 interdiction units, public transportation, and park 9 litigation, no concrete direction had been 10 10 rangers. I think that's everybody. determined on which things would need to be 11 Q Altogether, how many officers report 11 modified or not be modified. 12 12 to vou? Q Let me follow up a little bit. If I 13 A I think it's somewhere about 96 to a 13 understood correctly with respect to planning and 14 hundred. 14 research, you indicate that the Chief of Police 15 Q During the period when you were in 15 would have to make a request of the department to 16 charge of planning and research the first four 16 investigate something? 17 months of 2018, you mentioned that you were working 17 A Or a senior command, so a colonel, 18 on writing special orders and researching policy? 18 assistant chief. 19 A To some extent, yes. 19 Q To your knowledge, since September of 2.0 Q During that time, did you do any work 20 2017, has the Chief of Police made any requests to 21 21 that related in any way to what had occurred in the the planning and research group, department, that 22 Stockley protests? 22 related to the Stockley protests? 23 A No. Because the Stockley protest is 23 A I believe the assistant chief 24 24 the subject of litigation and so we won't write indicated some information which were -- which was

Fax: 314.644.1334

related to I believe Judge Perry issued an order

25

policy until the litigation is complete or we have

25

Page 17 Page 19 1 related to what we would be allowed to do or not do 1 Q Okay. You had mentioned in your 2 as far as engaging in the dispersement of 2 testimony referring to the assistant chief 3 3 providing some communication relating to the individuals during protest activity. The bulk of 4 4 that information is already incorporated into a injunction entered by the court, preliminary 5 5 special order. That Special Order is 1-01, Section injunction. 6 6 A Yes. XIII 7 7 But as far as any hard policy Q Was this the communication you were 8 changes, I can tell you there have been none that 8 referring to? 9 9 A I believe so. have been put into effect. 10 And I understand you've told me that 10 Okay. And that's what I wanted to 11 now twice, that there hasn't been some changes 11 confirm. Other than this communication -- strike 12 because of litigation. My question, and I still 12 13 don't think you answered it, is, to your knowledge, 13 To whom this was communication sent? 14 has the Chief of Police or somebody else below him 14 A It was sent to all members of the 15 made a specific request of the planning and 15 SLMPD. 16 16 research department through an email or other Q So all police officers? 17 communication, saying, I instruct to you 17 A If it went to SLMPD.org, it went to 18 investigate and look at policies that in any way 18 all employees of the Metropolitan Police 19 19 relate to what happened in the Stockley protests? Department. 2.0 A I would say to the best of my 20 Q Other than this email telling all 21 knowledge, no. 21 members of the St. Louis Metropolitan Police 2.2 Q Thank you. I appreciate that answer. 2.2 Department to familiarize themselves with the order 23 Mark this. 23 issued by the judge, are you aware of any other 2.4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 1 2.4 steps taken by the St. Louis Metropolitan Police 25 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 25 Department to train or inform the police officers Page 18 Page 20 1 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, you have 1 of the terms of the injunction? 2 2 Exhibit 1? MR. DIERKER: I think I have to 3 A I do. 3 object to the form of that question because it 4 Q You said something a few seconds ago 4 could be calling for privileged communications. 5 5 in your answer that prompted me to think of this Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Let me make it clear 6 6 exhibit, so I figured I'd just real quickly ask a to you. At no point today do I want you to tell me 7 7 couple questions about it. about communications that attorneys had with you or 8 8 Do you see this as an email that was with police officers; okay? To the extent there 9 sent on November 16, 2017, by Lawrence O'Toole? 9 are, I'm not interested, I'm not entitled to those. 10 10 ob I A Separate from that, my question is, 11 And it indicates it has an attachment 11 to your knowledge, other than this one short little 12 12 email that we have in front us here that was sent to it which is the Ahmad Preliminary Injunction, I 13 13 believe it's referring to the order that was issued on November 16, 2017, are you aware of any other 14 14 by the judge in this case? communications or efforts undertaken by the St. 15 15 Δ Correct Louis Metropolitan Police Department to train 16 Okay. Have you had an opportunity at 16 police officers with respect to the substance of 17 any time since November of 2017 to review that 17 the preliminary injunction issued by the court in 18 18 this case? 19 A Let me think. I have -- I obviously 19 A I am not. 2.0 received this email because it's directed to the 2.0 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 2 21 21 marked for identification by the court reporter.) SLMPD. I would have printed and made a copy of 22 22 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I hand this and reviewed it at around the time that it was 23 23 you what's been marked Exhibit 2, and this is a issued. I had not reviewed this order prior to our 24 24 deposition. We may have discussed it during copy of the Fourth Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition 25 25 Notice that was issued by us in this case to the settlement meetings that I was a part of.

	Page 21		Page 23
1	City. You're familiar with this exhibit?	1	A Yes.
2	A lam.	2	Q Okay. There is, at the end of this
3	Q Obviously, this is the fourth one,	3	notice, if you notice, a Request for Production on
4	which means there was an original one and a first,	4	the last page?
5	second, and third, potentially, I assume all of	5	Do you see that?
6	those.	6	A Yes.
7	Do you recall the first time you saw	7	Q Did you rely on any documents in
8	any form of this depo notice, deposition notice?	8	preparing for today's deposition?
9	A I do not recall the first time I saw	9	A I reviewed documents related to
10	it. I don't know what version I may have seen, but	10	today's deposition.
11	in preparation for this deposition, I saw and	11	MR. PRAISS: Okay. A question for
12	reviewed a 30(b)(6) request.	12	counsel. Have all of those documents been produced
13	Q When was the first time, your best	13	to the plaintiffs in this litigation?
14	estimate of when you saw it? Was it yesterday or a	14	MR. DIERKER: To the best of our
15	month ago? That's what I'm trying to get at.	15	knowledge, all of the documents on which the
16	A No, probably November, December.	16	witness relied have been produced.
17	Q Gotcha. Thank you. Did you review	17	MR. PRAISS: Thank you. That's what
18	it?	18	I need to know.
19	A I did.	19	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And you notice now
20	Q I think one thing I was going to put	20	the deposition notice has this Fourth Amended
21	on the record also that I believe there is one	21	one has 25 different topics?
22	topic and that's the topic number 3 that has been	22	A Yes.
23	covered and we do not plan to cover that today,	23	Q And you understand that you have been
24	just so you know.	24	designated as the representative of the City of St.
25	If you go to the second page of this	25	Louis to testify about each of these topics except
1	Page 22 exhibit, do you see there is a heading Relevant	1	Page 24 for topic 3?
2	Time Period and Definitions?	2	A I do.
3	A Okay.	3	Q How many hours in total would you
4	Q Can we agree that, you know, when I	4	estimate you spent preparing for this deposition?
5	use the reference "the City of St. Louis," or even	5	A That's difficult to say. Somewhere
6	just the abbreviation of "the City," that this	6	between 8 and 16 over the course of several weeks,
7	definition that's here for the City of St. Louis	7	several months, since November, and I would also
8	would apply?	8	indicate that because there are apparently two
9	A Yes.	9	cases that are very similar, I reviewed a lot of
10	Q Okay. I don't want to keep saying a	10	material not all specific to Ahmad. Some were
11	long, convoluted definition each time. And when I	11	specific to another case.
12	say "Stockley verdict protest," do you agree with	12	Q The other case we're referring to,
13	the definition that's here; is that acceptable?	13	Molina?
14	A Yes.	14	A Correct.
15	Q And the term "prior protests" that's	15	Q But in total in the past few weeks,
16	defined here, can we agree that definition is going	16	since the first time you've seen this deposition or
17	to apply today?	17	some prior version, your estimate is between 8 and
	A Yes.	18	16 hours?
18	Q And then there's a definition for	19	A At least, if not more.
18 19			Q Okay. Let me start with trying to
19		20	
	"chemical agents."	21	•
19 20	"chemical agents." Do you see that?	21	narrow the universe of people you may have met
19 20 21	"chemical agents." Do you see that? A I do.		narrow the universe of people you may have met with. Excluding any attorneys, did you meet
19 20 21 22	"chemical agents." Do you see that? A I do.	21 22	narrow the universe of people you may have met
19 20 21 22 23	"chemical agents." Do you see that? A I do. Q Can we agree that definition will	21 22 23	narrow the universe of people you may have met with. Excluding any attorneys, did you meet individually with anybody to prepare for today's

	Page 25		Page 27
1	our law department.	1	have done nothing else to prepare for the
2	Q Let me start with this one. Did you	2	deposition?
3	have meetings where attorneys were present?	3	A No, not that I'm aware of.
4	A I did.	4	Q Let's start, topic number 1, sir.
5	Q In those meetings, were there any	5	A Okay.
6	individuals who are not attorneys also present?	6	Q Topic number 1 states, "The manner by
7	A Yes.	7	which the City of St. Louis video recorded the
8	Q Who were those individuals?	8	Stockley Verdict Protests."
9	A I met with Sergeant Charles Wall, who	9	Do you see that?
10	is currently detached to our law department.	10	A I do.
11	Q Yes. What does it mean when you say	11	Q As of September 2017, did the City
12	"detached"?	12	have any rules or requirements relating to video or
13	A His assignment is temporarily, he is	13	audio recording of police response to public
14	temporarily assigned to the law department from his	14	protests?
15	parent unit, and I'm not sure where that parent	15	A Well, I want to make sure I'm
16	unit was.	16	answering this as completely as possible. In
17	Q Is my understanding correct that he	17	general, there are several different ways that we
18	was assigned to the law department to assist in	18	document protest activity. When we have a large
19	connection with the ongoing litigation?	19	event, something that we know is going to occur,
20	A That is my understanding.	20	something like the Stockley protest, we put an
21	Q Other than Charles Wall, can you	21	operations order into effect.
22	think of any other individuals you met with in	22	As part of that operations order,
23	connection with any meetings with attorneys in	23	there are officers who are assigned as
24	preparing for today's deposition?	24	Documentation Team members. Those members will
25	A No. They provided materials, I	25	have either still cameras or video cameras at their
	Page 26		Page 28
-	-		
1	reviewed materials, and we had discussions about	1	disposal to record protester and police activity
2	those materials.	2	relative to the event being monitored.
3	Q So the only non-attorney that you met	3	We also have a Real Time Crime Center
4	in preparing for today's deposition is Charles	4	that has cameras located throughout the City which
5	Wall; correct?	5	may capture incidents on video.
6	A As far as I can recall.	6	We also have an intelligence division
7	Q And how long do you think met with	7	that may use video cameras to document certain
8	Charles Wall?	8	aspects of their investigation or their monitoring
9	A We had two or three different	9	of activities, and I believe in, relative to like
10	meetings, probably no more than, total, an hour and	10	the Stockley incident, some members of our Bicycle
11	a half.	11	Response Team may have had cameras.
12	Q Okay. Of the 8 to 16 hours that you	12	Q I appreciate that and I want to cover
13	said you estimate you spent preparing, what	13	that information in greater detail but I still want
14	percentage of that would you say was meeting with	14	to go back to my question. Is there something in
15	attorneys versus you meeting by yourself?	15	writing that I'm not aware of that, where the City
16	A Probably half and half, perhaps.	16	has laid out specific policies, rules, with respect
17	Maybe a little different I spent a lot of time	17	to video or audio recording of a police response to
18	obviously, anything counsel forwarded to me for	18	a public protest?
19	review, I reviewed. So I spent a lot of time	19	A No, not specifically, other than what
2.2	reading documents, I refreshed myself with a lot of	20	we've described in the operations order.
20	the material so I would be adequately prepared to	21	Q Okay. As a matter of policy, does
21	the second of th	22	the City believe it's important to attempt to video
21 22	discuss these topics.		•
21 22 23	Q Is it fair to say that other than the	23	record police response to public protest?
21 22	•		•

	Page 29		Page 31
1	take during these incidents.	1	video recording capabilities and I guess my
2	Q Okay. As a matter of policy, does	2	question to you is, from the City's perspective, is
3	the City believe it's important to attempt to video	3	there a reason not to include video capability on a
4	record the use of chemical agents by police in	4	Bear that finds itself in positions where it
5	response to public protests?	5	deploys chemical munitions and, as a matter of
6	A Can you give me that again?	6	policy, would be advisable to record those, no
7	Q Sure. As a matter of policy, does	7	different than all the other ways that the City
8	the City believe it is important to video record	8	currently uses?
9	the use of chemical agents by police in response to	9	A It might be advantageous for us to do
10	public protests?	10	that in the future. I don't think there's a
11	A To the best of my knowledge, we have	11	deliberate reason we don't have it on there other
12	no order that requires us to document by a video	12	than it probably isn't equipped with that
13	the actual deployment of chemical agents.	13	capability and to equip it with that would be a
14	Q Okay. You gave me a list of	14	monetary cost, but it's certainly something I think
15	different ways that the City can video record	15	that's worth looking into.
16	public protests. You mentioned Documentation Team	16	Q Are you aware of anyone suggesting
17	members; right?	17	that that be done since the Stockley protest?
18	A Mm-hmm.	18	Let's start with that time period.
19	Q Realtime Crime Center, the	19	A No, not to my knowledge, I don't
20	intelligence division, and the Bicycle Team, who	20	believe so.
21	may also have cameras; correct?	21	Q Okay. The first mechanism you
22	A Correct.	22	mention is the Documentation Team?
23	Q Are there any others?	23	A Mm-hmm.
24	A Well, insofar as the department	24	Q Was the Documentation Team deployed
25	issues cameras to patrol supervisors for the	25	in connection with the Stockley protest?
1	Page 30 documentation of regular type of crime incidents,	1	Page 32 A It was.
2	you know, there's evidence that needs to be	2	Q And did it record events from the
3	recovered, things of that nature, but not but	3	Stockley protest?
4	that's not specifically related to First Amendment	4	A To the best of my knowledge, it did.
5	protests.	5	And just as a point clarification, there are
6	Q Okay. Any other ways?	6	
	A N	1	several Documentation Teams relative to the detail.
7	A Not that I'm aware of.	7	several Documentation Teams relative to the detail. They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to
7 8	Q Does the helicopter have capability		
		7	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to
8	Q Does the helicopter have capability	7 8	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at
8 9	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record?	7 8 9 10 11	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar
8 9 10 11 12	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back.	7 8 9 10	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions.
8 9 10 11	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that.	7 8 9 10 11	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me
8 9 10 11 12	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out?
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know it has a PA, some other things. I don't know that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the report preparation aspect.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know it has a PA, some other things. I don't know that it has video and, to the best of my knowledge, we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the report preparation aspect. Q You say "report preparation." What
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know it has a PA, some other things. I don't know that	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the report preparation aspect. Q You say "report preparation." What report are you referring to?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know it has a PA, some other things. I don't know that it has video and, to the best of my knowledge, we haven't ever discussed putting a camera on the Bear.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the report preparation aspect. Q You say "report preparation." What report are you referring to? A In general, there is, when incidents
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Does the helicopter have capability to video record? A Yes. The well, I take that back. The helicopter has certain technological abilities, I believe recording is one of them but I can't confirm that. Q Has the City at any time considered including some video recording capabilities on the Bear? A The Bear may have its own type of I'm not intimately familiar with the mechanics of the Bear. I know it has certain ability. I know it has a PA, some other things. I don't know that it has video and, to the best of my knowledge, we haven't ever discussed putting a camera on the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They are each CDT unit is I believe supposed to have a Documentation Team, so we had several out at roughly at the same time, doing similar functions. Q And those members, explain to me again what is their purpose when they go out? A Their purpose is to primarily document police and citizen interaction during the course of a First Amendment protest. They also are responsible for photographing the arresting officer and the arrested subject prior to the arrested subject being transported in the interest of documenting, and then they have a role in the report preparation aspect. Q You say "report preparation." What report are you referring to?

	Page 33		Page 35
1	in that.	1	individuals; correct?
2	Q I think you testified that each CDT	2	A Yes.
3	unit has its own Documentation Team. What is a CDT	3	Q That would have been the purpose of
4	unit, just to make sure I'm clear?	4	the Documentation Team to record that incident;
5	A For clarification, Civil Disobedience	5	correct?
6	Team.	6	A Potentially.
7	Q That's what I assumed but I wanted to	7	Q When the Stockley protests were
8	make sure.	8	completed, how does how did the records, the
9	And when you say "unit," what does	9	video records taken by the Documentation Team, get
10	that mean in connection with a CDT unit?	10	compiled somewhere? Where are they maintained?
11	A Oh, in the if you when	11	I'm trying to understand the next process.
12	reviewing the operations order, you'll see there	12	A They would depending on the media
13	will be CD Team 1 or CD Team Alpha, and then	13	and how they were recorded, there's a couple of
14	Evidence Collection Team 1. It just or	14	different ways that they get to our property
15	Documentation Team 1. It's just essentially the	15	custody section.
16	officers that are assigned to that team, that unit,	16	So primarily they could be downloaded
17	within the overall team, that has a specific	17	and put onto a flash drive or a disk and then
18	function.	18	entered into property custody to be held for review
19	Q Do you know sitting here today how	19	or trial or duplication, discovery requests.
20	many different Documentation Teams were assigned to	20	Things that would be recorded by the
21	the Stockley protests?	21	Real Time Crime Center would be very similar. They
22	A I believe there were at least four	22	would be recorded, they would be held on a server,
23	because I believe we had four components to the CDT	23	copies would be made and then forwarded to the
24	team.	24	either the prisoner prisoner property custody
25	Q Do you know if the Documentation Team	25	for holding.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	was present in connection with what's been referred	1	In the cases of still photos,
2	to in the public discourse as the kettle?	2	anything that would have come off camera cards,
3	A I believe they would have been	3	those would have probably been submitted to our
4	present but I have no firsthand knowledge that they	4	laboratory division and then held in our digital
5	were present.	5	information management system.
6	Q Do you know if the Documentation Team	6	Q Is there a simple way to search, if
7	strike that.	7	you wanted to know, get a listing of all the video
8	Do you know if a Documentation Team	8	 I'm going to start with Documentation Team from
9	was present in connection with the Luther Hall	9	the Stockley protests that summarizes here's the
10	incident?	10	Documentation Team, here is the dates and times and
11	A I don't know at what point that the	11	location of every video they have done, so it's
12	Documentation Team would have come in on that	12	very easy to find? Like if I don't know what
13	because they come in behind the CDT efforts, they	13	happened at this intersection at 11 o'clock, I just
14	are stationed behind them in rank. So I assume	14	search through it and there it is?
15	they would have been behind the officers in that	15	A Not necessarily, I don't believe we
16	CDT team but where their location was in connection	16	have a comprehensive data management system that
17	with those specific incidents, I don't know.	17	because it's not going into a system that way.
18	Q Because, for example, in the Luther	18	It's going in piecemeal. The records would have
19	Hall incident clearly there was a situation where	19	been recorded and then submitted as evidence. I
20	police officers were interacting with people	20	don't believe we have any type of that other than
21	exercising their First Amendment; fair to say?	21	what the Real Time Crime Center would have related
22	A It's fair to say there was an	22	to their file storage method.
23	incident, yeah.	23	Q I'm going to deal with the Real Time
24	Q And there was an interaction between	24	Crime Center in a minute. I'm still talking about
25	the police officers, to put it mildly, and certain	25	the Documentation Team. They complete the process,

	Page 37		Page 39
1	they, if I understand correctly, transfer their	1	department has an incident and writes an official
2	video recordings to the property custody section?	2	report, we generate a complaint number. The
3	A Yes, sir.	3	complaint number is a unique identifier related to
4	Q And my question is, who is in charge	4	that specific incident.
5	of the property custody section back in September	5	Q And entire, all the videos recorded
6	or November, October of 2017, let's say? If you	6	by the Documentation Team in connection with the
7	know.	7	Stockley protests, would they all be classified
8	A The property custody section is a	8	under one complaint or case number?
9	unit within the police department. It reports to,	9	A Not necessarily because multiple
10	I believe, the commander of auxiliary services.	10	incidents might occur over the course of a time
11	There is a sergeant who is in charge of the	11	the time period, which would have different
12	day-to-day operation of the property custody unit.	12	victims, different subjects; therefore, different
13	At one time there was a lieutenant. I am not sure	13	complaint numbers could be generated.
14	if, the time frame in question, we have a	14	So you might, if we're talking a
15	lieutenant there or not.	15	series in time, since the Stockley protests
16	Q And that's fine. I understand people	16	occurred over multiple days, there could be
17	move around quite a bit. I've gotten that	17	multiple complaint numbers related to that
18	impression. But regardless, whoever was in charge	18	related to those incidents that occurred during a
19	sometime in let's say end of September, October	19	specific time frame.
20	2017, received a significant amount of video	20	Q To your knowledge, if today I was
21	recordings from Documentation Teams that capture	21	looking for a specific incident, let's say I want
22	the Stockley protests.	22	videos taken by a Documentation Team in connection
23	My question to you is, are you just	23	with a kettle, is a there a capability by the City
24	throwing them in a big basket somewhere	24	of St. Louis to locate that readily and produce it
25	A No, no, no.	25	in litigation or to use it for its own purposes?
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q — or is there any effort to	1	A Yes, I believe so.
2	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time	2	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that
2	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's —	2 3	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams?
2 3 4	Q - or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's A What would have occurred is the	2 3 4	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more.
2 3 4 5	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record	2 3 4 5	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe,
2 3 4 5 6	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number.	2 3 4 5 6	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the evidence. So I submit evidence, it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police officers have a duty to collect and preserve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the evidence. So I submit evidence, it would be submitted by me under my name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police officers have a duty to collect and preserve evidence. If there was some evidence that needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the evidence. So I submit evidence, it would be submitted by me under my name. Q Gotcha. You used the phrase "case"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police officers have a duty to collect and preserve evidence. If there was some evidence that needed to be collected, we would do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the evidence. So I submit evidence, it would be submitted by me under my name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police officers have a duty to collect and preserve evidence. If there was some evidence that needed to be collected, we would do that. Q Were, to your knowledge, four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q — or is there any effort to document, saying this team recorded this time period, that it's — A What would have occurred is the incident would have been recorded. The record would be associated with a complaint number. The material would be conveyed to the property custody unit. Property custody would put it in its evidence tracking system by the complaint number. So all evidence related to the incident would, in theory, be in the property custody, tracking the evidence that goes to property custody, would be in their tracking software and everything that we have related to that case would be on a list from them but not specifically related to at a point in time this video, a second point in time this video, a third point in time this video. And it would be entered by the individual who submitted the evidence. So I submit evidence, it would be submitted by me under my name. Q Gotcha. You used the phrase "case and complaint." What do you mean when you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, I believe so. Q Okay. I think you testified that there is four Documentation Teams? A Potentially. There may be more. Q Okay. There are two, I believe, identified in the OPs plan; is that correct? A Okay, yes. Q Okay. Do you know what the others are? A The potential, I was speaking of the fact that we have I believe there were four CDT teams out and I was under the impression that there would be one evidence team for one evidence collection team for each CDT team. That may not be 100 percent accurate, but I would also indicate that there are the ability of other individuals to collect evidence as well. So obviously, if there's something police officers have a duty to collect and preserve evidence. If there was some evidence that needed to be collected, we would do that.

Page 41 Page 43 1 Q Okay. You mentioned several times 1 Q I apologize, maybe I'm getting 2 the Real Time Crime Center is another vehicle 2 confused here. Is Genetec a software or is it 3 3 through which the City can record protests? actually a company? 4 A Correct. 4 A I believe it is a company that 5 5 Okay. Where is that located? manages software related to a camera, a hardware 6 6 and software, so. The center itself is located in 7 7 police headquarters at 1915 Olive. Q So is it your understanding that to 8 8 the extent there is, let's say, either a software Q And I apologize for my ignorance. 9 9 I'm learning quickly here, a steep learning curve. or hardware malfunction with a particular camera 10 But is that basically capturing what -- throughout 10 that is owned by the City, the City will contact 11 the City, there is, I assume, a capability to 11 Genetec and say there is a problem with camera X, 12 record what's transpiring in the City at all times? 12 you need to go out and repair it? 13 A There are a network of cameras that 13 A Not necessarily. We would do our own 14 14 repair if it was within our capability. If it was are funded by police and private partnerships that 15 15 something that was beyond our capability, we would are located in various areas of the City that are 16 16 connected to the Real Time Crime Center and the probably work with Genetec to resolve an issue. 17 Real Time Crime Center has the ability to review or 17 Q Is there a particular department 18 record video from those locations, if needed. 18 that's responsible for that? 19 19 Q Who is responsible for maintaining A The City, I believe, has a department 20 those cameras throughout the City that are 20 that maintains the cameras and they work in providing information to the Real Time Crime 21 21 conjunction with the Real Time Crime Center. At 22 Center? 2.2 one time the Real Time Crime Center did do some 23 A I believe it is the City's 23 camera maintenance. I'm not sure what time frame 24 2.4 they stopped doing that and the City took over responsibility; although, I think it depends on who 25 owns the camera as far as because I do know that 25 relative to the merger of the City and the police Page 42 Page 44 1 1 department. There's been lots of changes that I we, as in the police department, have relationships 2 2 with private entities that allow us access to their may not be aware of. 3 cameras but I believe they're responsible for the 3 Q Does this department that you 4 maintenance of those cameras. It's not assumed by 4 mentioned have a name? 5 5 A I'm not sure what the City calls the City. 6 6 So to the extent the camera is owned that. 7 7 by a private entity, they're clearly responsible Q Was that department --8 for maintaining their own cameras but all the 8 A It could be --9 cameras that are owned by the City, is it fair to 9 Q Was that department in existence around September and October of 2017? 10 10 say the City is responsible for maintaining them? 11 I believe that would be fair to say. 11 A I don't know, but I believe so. 12 12 Because I'm really focusing about Does the City, to your knowledge, 13 13 that time period. So, hypothetically, if there was utilize the services of an independent contractor 14 14 a camera that malfunctioned in, say, September of to maintain the cameras to the extent they 15 15 2017, and somebody in the City learned about this malfunction? 16 A When we have a vendor that we work 16 camera malfunction, I'm trying to understand the 17 with that is the camera platform, as I understand 17 process of how that would be communicated to whom 18 it, there are potential video platforms, different 18 and such that it could be repaired. 19 video platforms available for this type of unit. 19 A I would assume, as I understand it, 2.0 We use a platform, I believe it's called Genetec, 2.0 that if we know that there is a camera 21 21 and that is the platform that we utilize to -- when malfunctioning, we bring it to the attention of the 22 22 City group and they assist in getting it resolved. we have issues with our cameras. So the cameras 23 23 Q Would that typically happen by need to be Genetec compatible, which is a system 24 24 style, and that we would work with them if there communicating through email?

Fax: 314.644.1334

A I would assume it would be a phone

25

was some issue with the recordings.

25

	Page 45		Page 47
1	call or an email notifying them.	1	the best of your knowledge, have been retained?
2	Q Would there typically be a record	2	A To the best of my knowledge, those
3	showing what the repair is what repairs are	3	have been retained and produced.
4	necessary and that the repairs have been completed?	4	Q Is that also true with respect to the
5	A I assume we would be notified that	5	recording done by the Documentation Team?
6	the repairs are completed. That would be a	6	A Yes.
7	communication between the City department and the	7	Q And with respect to the method in
8	Real Time Crime Center or the people that are	8	which the Real Time Crime Center maintains its
9	maintaining that.	9	records, is it fair to say that there's a really
10	Q With respect to the Real Time Crime	10	easy way to identify, if I'm looking for a
11	Center, in particular focusing the time period	11	particular video recording, to search for it by
12	again of during and after the Stockley protests, it	12	date and the location of that camera?
13	immediately has access to all video recording	13	A Yes. They should be able to do that
14	through the cameras that the City operates as well	14	for you.
15	as public private entities; correct?	15	Q Okay. Does the City have any
16	A I believe they have the capability of	16	specific rules, handwritten rules, or policies with
17	reviewing those. They're not looking at every	17	respect to the retention of video recordings
18	camera that's across the City all the time.	18	involving protests?
19	Q How are those records being retained	19	A I don't believe we have a specific
20	by the Real Crime Center?	20	retention policy related to protests specifically.
21	A Essentially what happens is data	21	Q Does it have is there a general
22	comes in, depending on how, who owns the camera,	22	document retention policy that would cover those?
23	there is an overwrite period somewhere between 7	23	A I don't believe so. I don't believe
24	and 30 days. Obviously if in the case of	24	we have a specific, at least from the police
25	something like this Stockley protest where we know	25	department side, other than what we discuss related
	Page 46		Page 48
1	that there is the potential for litigation or	1	to our in car camera videos, I don't believe that
2	issues or things that we are going to want to look	2	Real Time Crime Center has their own. They may
3	at again, that information is downloaded and stored	3	have their own policy on that written policy but I
4	on the server and the files are named, listed, and	4	don't think I've seen that as far as part of the
5	it's maintained on that server pending	5	department's overall special orders.
6	determination of do we need this or do we not need	6	Q I'm a little perplexed and want to
7	it.	7	make sure I'm hearing you correctly. Is it your
8	Q To your knowledge, have all of those	8	testimony that the City of St. Louis does not have
9	records from the Real Crime Center been retained	9	a document retention policy, in general?
10	since the Stockley protests?	10	A Oh, I would never say that.
11	A To the best of my knowledge, those	11	MR. DIERKER: Excuse me, I have to
12	that have been identified as pertinent have been	12	object because I think you're outside the topics of
13	kept and retained.	13	this 30(b)(6). But you may answer.
14	Q When you say those that have been	14	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I don't believe I
15	designated as pertinent, what does that mean? Were	15	think topic number 2, if you look at it, sir,
16	any records excluded and destroyed relating to the	16	please, Mr. Larson? "The manner by which the City
17	Stockley protests?	17	of St. Louis has retained video recordings of the
18	A Not that I'm aware of, but as I said,	18	Stockley Verdict Protests."
19	because the Real Time Crime Center covers the	19	Do you see that?
20	entire city, those that may be outside of the	20	A I see that.
21	interest area may not have been kept for any	21	Q And when I when we wrote this, the
22	reason.	22	manner, at least in my mind, within the scope of it
23	Q But anything that any video	23	is, the starting point is, is there a policy that
24	recording of the Stockley protests that captured	24	relates to the retention of video recordings
		25	relating to the Stockley protests?
25	any activity relating to the protests, those, to		

	Page 49		Page 51
1	And if I understood you correctly,	1	and held in evidence until the matter is resolved,
2	your testimony a few minutes ago is that you're not	2	adjudicated, or it's purged at some point.
3	aware of anything specific dealing with the	3	Q If you could look at topic 25? This
4	retention of videos by either let's say the	4	says, I'll read it for the record, it's the
5	Documentation Team	5	"Circumstances surrounding the loss or destruction
6	A Right.	6	of the video recording produced labeled as City
7	Q or the Real Crime Center	7	01152."
8	A Right.	8	Do you see that?
9	 Q that deals with video protests; is 	9	A I do.
10	that correct?	10	Q What specific steps did you prepare
11	A Well, I think we're we're saying	11	to testify with respect to this topic?
12	the same things differently. What I am saying is,	12	A It is my understanding that related
13	obviously, if we have evidence that relates to a	13	to this topic there is one camera that produced two
14	complaint number, that evidence is downloaded,	14	files, which apparently during the course of
15	recorded, or held in the manners in which I've	15	collection we noted that there was something wrong
16	discussed going to property custody. As far as an	16	with the files and we released them as part of the
17	overall, quote, City policy on the retention of	17	discovery process and we discussed that there were
18	video, I can't speak to that specifically.	18	cameras a block north and a block south of the
19	As far as a police department, i.e.,	19	camera in question that didn't record, and my
20	our policies related to video would be documented	20	understanding is that we're working with the
21	in either the in car camera order, because that	21	vendor, Genetec, to try to recover that data. And
22	talks about the and even that is downloaded to	22	that those files were related to two separate dates
23	disk and submitted to property custody, as I've	23	and times, so it was apparently a camera
24	indicated.	24	malfunction.
25	Q My question let's look at it	25	Q Do you know where that camera is
	Page 50		Page 52
1	differently. How long does that type of	1	located?
2	documentation have to be retained?	2	A I believe it was located at 14th and
3	A Until it's approved to be disposed	3	Locust but feel free to correct me if I have that
4	of, which I mean, I'm not following the question.	4	location wrong.
5	Q Let's say there's an incident,	5	Q To date, has the City been able to
6	protests, and no litigation is filed. How long	6	recover that video?
7	does the City maintain those records from the	7	A To the best of my knowledge, no, it
0	Documentation Team and the Real Time Crime Center	8	is an ongoing process and we are working on it.
8			is all oligoning process and we are working of it.
9	of that protest?	9	Q And if I understood correctly, you
	of that protest? A If there is no complaint number	9 10	
9	•		Q And if I understood correctly, you
9 10	A If there is no complaint number	10	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec?
9 10 11	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an	10 11	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given.
9 10 11 12	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more	10 11 12	 Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was
9 10 11 12 13	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days	10 11 12 13	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the
9 10 11 12 13 14	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it.	10 11 12 13 14	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At that point, is there any policy that requires the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only come in — we only would contact them if it was
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At that point, is there any policy that requires the City or whereby the City maintains the records	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only come in — we only would contact them if it was something that we couldn't fix.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At that point, is there any policy that requires the City or whereby the City maintains the records relating to that protest in the event that down the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only come in we only would contact them if it was something that we couldn't fix. Q Okay. When was the first time the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At that point, is there any policy that requires the City or whereby the City maintains the records relating to that protest in the event that down the road and during the statute of limitation a lawsuit	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only come in — we only would contact them if it was something that we couldn't fix. Q Okay. When was the first time the City learned that the camera that recorded the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A If there is no complaint number generated, if there are no incidents involving an arrest, that data would probably not be kept more than, I don't know, anywhere between 7 to 30 days because we have no need for it. Q Let's assume there was one arrest of one individual because that individual, you know, did not comply with a request by an officer. At that point, is there any policy that requires the City or whereby the City maintains the records relating to that protest in the event that down the road and during the statute of limitation a lawsuit would be filed?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And if I understood correctly, you are working with a vendor, i.e., Genetec? A That was information I was given. Q Is this a camera that Genetec was responsible for maintaining back at the time of the Stockley protests? A I have no knowledge of that. I don't believe they are responsible for maintaining the camera. As we discussed previously, we would only come in — we only would contact them if it was something that we couldn't fix. Q Okay. When was the first time the City learned that the camera that recorded the video that was produced as City 01152 had

	Page F2		Dago EE
_	Page 53		Page 55
1	individual that was reviewing those videos found	1	malfunction, if it was repaired and corrected, I
2	it, noted it, and released it.	2	don't know. I was in preparation, I learned
3	Q So at no time at the time when	3	that there was two files which had been turned over
4	this Stockley incident occurred around September,	4	that were corrupted and my investigation was to
5	October of 2017, nobody at that point learned to	5	determine what we knew about those corrupted files.
6	recognize that this camera was not working?	6	Q And that's what I'm trying to figure
7	A To the best of my knowledge, no, but	7	out, what the City knew. So I – specific question
8	that's not to say a work order wasn't put in, but I	8	for you is, when was the first time strike that.
9	don't believe so. Nobody has given me the	9	I asked you this and I'm going to ask
10	information that, yes, we were aware that camera	10	it again, but am I correct that in preparing for
11	was not functioning at the time of the incident.	11	today's deposition, in particular topic 25, other
12	Q And that's what I'm trying to get at	12	than learning that this particular camera
13	because if I'm hearing you correctly, is it your	13	malfunctioned back in September of 2017 and that
14	testimony, as the corporate representative of the	14	somebody learned about that in connection with
15	City today, that literally this camera has been	15	responding to a discovery request in this case, you
16	inoperable and malfunctioning since September of	16	have no knowledge about the circumstances of for
17	2017 until just recently when somebody, in response	17	how long this camera malfunctioned, what steps, if
18	to a discovery request, learned that this camera	18	any, were undertaken by the City to repair it, or
19	failed many, many months ago?	19	what steps the City engaged Genetec to repair it at
20	A Yeah. I don't know. You'd have to	20	any time from September '17 until the present? Is
21	talk with someone specifically in the Real Time	21	that a fair summary of things you don't have
22	Crime Center	22	testimony about today?
23	Q I'm talking to you today because	23	A Correct.
24	you're the corporate representative and that was	24	MR. PRAISS: Then I would say that we
25	your duty to make sure you were knowledgeable and	25	have a serious problem and this will require either
	Page 54		Page 56
1	informed about it, with all due respect, Mr.	1	a motion for sanctions with the court for failure
2	Larson, and I need answers today. I don't want to	2	to prepare a witness or we'll have to come back
3	go running around. That's why I do a 30(b)(6),	3	here at a different time, but this is unacceptable.
4	because I need those answers from you and I would	4	I need to understand what happened to this video
5	have hoped you would have done a little more	5	and I think the topic is pretty damn clear, Judge
6	research. But I'm going to keep asking questions.	6	Dierker.
7	A You're welcome to keep asking	7	He made no effort, as far as I'm
8	questions.	8	concerned. To tell me what you wrote in the email
9	Q To your knowledge, was a work order	9	to Tony is unacceptable. I got that email and I
10	ever issued after September 2017 with respect to	10	said, gee, I'd like to know what happened. That's
	•	1 10	said, gee, i'd like to know what happened. That's
11	the camera that took the video City 01152?	11	his role today.
11 12	·		
	the camera that took the video City 01152?	11	his role today.
12	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No.	11 12	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due
12 13	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one?	11 12 13	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your
12 13 14	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real	11 12 13 14	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and
12 13 14 15	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or	11 12 13 14 15	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other
12 13 14 15 16	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the	11 12 13 14 15 16	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond
12 13 14 15 16 17	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras. Q Prior to today's deposition, what	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy to provide, if they can be located, any work orders
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras. Q Prior to today's deposition, what is there a reason why you did not take steps to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy to provide, if they can be located, any work orders with regard to that camera and we'll be happy to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras. Q Prior to today's deposition, what is there a reason why you did not take steps to determine whether when and if a work order was	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy to provide, if they can be located, any work orders with regard to that camera and we'll be happy to resume the deposition if necessary.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras. Q Prior to today's deposition, what is there a reason why you did not take steps to determine whether when and if a work order was issued with respect to this camera that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy to provide, if they can be located, any work orders with regard to that camera and we'll be happy to resume the deposition if necessary. But my position is that the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the camera that took the video City 01152? A No. Q How would we find if there was one? A I would have to look into the Real Time Crime Center to see if there's any records or the City agency that we use to maintain the cameras. Q Prior to today's deposition, what is there a reason why you did not take steps to determine whether when and if a work order was issued with respect to this camera that malfunctioned back in September of 2017?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	his role today. MR. DIERKER: Well, with all due respect to your disgruntlement, I think that your inquiring into the history of the repair and malfunctioning of the camera in question at other dates is beyond the topic and is completely beyond the scope of discovery and but we will be happy to provide, if they can be located, any work orders with regard to that camera and we'll be happy to resume the deposition if necessary. But my position is that the investigation has occurred into the loss or

Fax: 314.644.1334

MR. PRAISS: Well, I don't believe

know if it was a sporadic malfunction, a long-term

25

	LINO LANO		
	Page 57		Page 59
1	he's given me any testimony about the circumstances	1	A They're essentially downloaded and on
2	surrounding the loss or destruction other than what	2	and burned onto a disk with a file name and that
3	you wrote in an email, the fact that somebody	3	and then put into an evidence envelope which
4	discovered it a few months ago. But why it was	4	would say something to the effect of video of X.
5	destroyed, what was happening with this camera,	5	Q Let's talk about that X. Would it
6	when the City learned about it, those are relevant.	6	say video from Documentation Team X?
7	For example anyways. So I I	7	A Yes. It would say something to the
8	we'll agree to disagree. Why don't we take a short	8	effect of video recorded by Documentation Team.
9	break.	9	Q Okay. Would they identify the name
10	MR. DIERKER: Okay.	10	of a person on the Documentation Team?
11	MR. PRAISS: Thank you.	11	A The seizing and submitting officer
12	(Off the record.)	12	would prepare the evidence envelope that would have
13	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, back on	13	that information.
14	the record. Close the loop on a few other	14	Q And obviously you would identify the
15	questions, again dealing with topics 1 and 2 in	15	date and time and the location where that video was
16	particular.	16	taken by the Documentation Team?
17	You had mentioned, I believe in your	17	A It would indicate that, in the police
18	testimony, if I heard you correctly, about the fact	18	report on the evidence envelope, it would indicate
19	that the City does have an in car cameras for	19	the date, time, location, where it was collected.
20	A We have some, yes. Not all police	20	Q Okay. Are there any rules or
21	cars are equipped with in car camera system, but	21	policies that the Documentation Team members have
22	some police cars are.	22	to follow while they're collecting video?
23	Q Are you aware if there's any in car	23	A Not specifically, as in they have
24	camera video recording of the Stockley protests?	24	been trained to, as part of the CDT process, to
25	A lam not.	25	collect that evidence, that documentation, record
	Page 58		Page 60
1	Q If I wanted to know if those exist,	1	it, seize, mark package, and submit it to property
2	is that something that is it your understanding	2	custody.
3	that the City has, in its recordkeeping,	3	Q Are there any rules that may
4	distinguishes between video recording from	4	expressly prohibit them from deleting videos that
5	Documentation Team versus the Real Time Crime	5	they take that may show improper police conduct?
6	Center versus in car camera recording, those are	6	A There are no, to the best of my
7	all separately retained in different ways?	7	knowledge, no specific rule directed at that but
8	A Not necessarily separately retained.	8	our general rule would be, in the special orders,
9	They're all retained in primarily again with	9	would be that that would not be acceptable.
10	even in car, the information is downloaded,	10	Q When you say the "general rule," can
11	recorded, put on disk, and entered into property	11	you point me to what are you referring to?
12	custody with the complaint number of the associated	12	A Things like conduct unbecoming.
13	incident.	13	Obviously we that would be an illegal criminal
14	Q But is it fair to say that somewhere	14	act, to destroy evidence, and that would be a
15	in the recording, recordkeeping process, there is	15	violation of law, and, therefore, we don't have an
	some identifier that indicates this was a video	16	order that says you're not to do unlawful things.
16	some identifier that malcates this was a video		
16 17	recording taken by an in car camera?	17	So I mean, it's part of the part and parcel of
			So I mean, it's part of the part and parcel of being a police officer, you would not download and
17	recording taken by an in car camera?	17	
17 18	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know	17 18	being a police officer, you would not download and
17 18 19	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know how, where that came from. Yes.	17 18 19	being a police officer, you would not download and destroy unfavorable evidence.
17 18 19 20	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know how, where that came from. Yes. Q Perfect. On a similar note, I think	17 18 19 20	being a police officer, you would not download and destroy unfavorable evidence. Q So to the extent somebody in the
17 18 19 20 21	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know how, where that came from. Yes. Q Perfect. On a similar note, I think and I think, and I apologize if I asked you this	17 18 19 20 21	being a police officer, you would not download and destroy unfavorable evidence. Q So to the extent somebody in the Documentation Team recorded an incident where the
17 18 19 20 21 22	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know how, where that came from. Yes. Q Perfect. On a similar note, I think and I think, and I apologize if I asked you this or you answered it before but I want to make sure I	17 18 19 20 21 22	being a police officer, you would not download and destroy unfavorable evidence. Q So to the extent somebody in the Documentation Team recorded an incident where the police acted inappropriately in connection with the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recording taken by an in car camera? A Yes. We would be we would know how, where that came from. Yes. Q Perfect. On a similar note, I think and I think, and I apologize if I asked you this or you answered it before but I want to make sure I have a clear understanding myself.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being a police officer, you would not download and destroy unfavorable evidence. Q So to the extent somebody in the Documentation Team recorded an incident where the police acted inappropriately in connection with the Stockley protest and then deleted it, that would be

	Page 61		Page 63
1	destruction of evidence, hindering prosecution, any	1	individuals to their job functions during an event,
2	list of crimes.	2	and we put these together for large scale special
3	Q Has it come to the City's attention	3	events. So Fair St. Louis would have an OPs an
4	at any time that that something like that has	4	operations order. Mardi Gras has an operations
5	actually happened where somebody on the	5	order. Things like that.
6	Documentation Team has deleted video?	6	Q Are the two pages I've handed, you
7	A I have no knowledge that that	7	are those from the OPs plan related to the Stockley
8	occurred.	8	post?
9	Q As part of CDT training, what	9	A They are.
10	Documentation Team training is included?	10	Q And if you look on pages Bates
11	A As part of the overall briefing on	11	numbered 430 and 439, do you see Luther Hall's name
12	how we're going to execute specific functions, the	12	shown?
13	individuals who are assigned to those teams are	13	A I do.
14	given cameras and told to go out and record the	14	Q And am I correct it identifies him
15	actions of protesters and the officers during the	15	being on the Documentation Team?
16	event.	16	A Correct.
17	Q Other than that, any other training?	17	Q Okay. Does this confirm for you that
18	A Not to my knowledge, there's not a	18	Luther Hall was on the Documentation Team during
19	specific like PowerPoint or lesson plan that covers	19	the Stockley protests?
20	that. It's incorporated into the ongoing trainings	20	A Not necessarily. The way these
21	of the CDT teams.	21	things are put together, the individuals' names are
22	Q Am I correct that Luther Hall was in	22	they go into a pool. He may he is listed in
23	the Documentation Team?	23	the order as being on the operations plan. At the
24	A I'm not sure. Luther Hall was	24	particular date and time, incident location, for
25	assigned to the intelligence unit at the particular	25	his incident, I can't state that he was with these
	Page 62		Page 64
1	time. I am not sure in the detail where he was	1	individuals at the time.
2	listed, if he was listed in the detail. He may	2	Q Do you have any reason to, sitting
3	have been on a Documentation Team in a previous	3	here, to not believe that he was on a Documentation
4	assignment.	4	Team as noted in the OPs plan?
5	Q Okay. Do you have the OPs plan in	5	A Again, this OPs plan had him on that
6	front of you, sir?	6	
		0	team. There are changes that occur to the OPs
7	A I do.	7	team. There are changes that occur to the OPs plan, people call in sick, people get moved around.
7 8	A I do. Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if		
		7	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around.
8	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if	7 8	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not
8 9	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind?	7 8 9	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his
8 9 10	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the	7 8 9 10	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that
8 9 10 11	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute?	7 8 9 10 11	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case.
8 9 10 11 12	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure.	7 8 9 10 11 12	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been Bates numbered CITY 430 and 431 on the backside as	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to the contrary, Mr. Luther Hall was in fact on a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been Bates numbered CITY 430 and 431 on the backside as well as 439 and 438, and these are pages taken from	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to the contrary, Mr. Luther Hall was in fact on a Documentation Team, at least in part, during the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been Bates numbered CITY 430 and 431 on the backside as well as 439 and 438, and these are pages taken from the OPs plan?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to the contrary, Mr. Luther Hall was in fact on a Documentation Team, at least in part, during the Stockley protests?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been Bates numbered CITY 430 and 431 on the backside as well as 439 and 438, and these are pages taken from the OPs plan? A Correct.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to the contrary, Mr. Luther Hall was in fact on a Documentation Team, at least in part, during the Stockley protests? A He was listed as part of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Can we mark that as an exhibit, if you don't mind? MR. DIERKER: Can we go off the record for a minute? MR. PRAISS: Sure. (Off the record.) (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 3 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you two pages and they have been Bates numbered CITY 430 and 431 on the backside as well as 439 and 438, and these are pages taken from the OPs plan? A Correct. Q Okay. And for the record, when I use	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	plan, people call in sick, people get moved around. In particular, I would have to say that he was not with the Documentation Team at the time of his incident just because I have been told that that was not the case. Q Separate and apart from the time of his incident, and I understand a lot of things can happen where changes happen from what the OPs plan says, but sitting here today as the corporate representative, are you aware whether or not any of those actually hypothetical things happened or, to the contrary, Mr. Luther Hall was in fact on a Documentation Team, at least in part, during the Stockley protests? A He was listed as part of the Documentation Team in that particular operations

	Page 65		Page 67
1	didn't participate on the Documentation Team, at	1	another, deal with chemical agents?
2	least in part, during the Stockley protest?	2	A Yes.
3	MR. DIERKER: I need to object as	3	Q Okay. Is there anything that you did
4	outside the scope of the deposition designation as	4	specifically to prepare for these topics?
5	to Luther Hall's specific assignment, but you may	5	A Topic 12 and topic 15?
6	answer.	6	Q No, topic 12, 13, 14, and 15.
7	MR. PRAISS: If he knows.	7	A Yes, I reviewed the special orders,
8	A I don't I don't know.	8	Special Order 1-01 specifically, the section, I
9	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. When was the	9	believe it's Section VIII-6 that covers mace and
10	OPs plan and I know we only have a few pages of	10	Section XIII which covers chemical agents and crowd
11	it but when was it created?	11	dispersement.
12	A It would have been created prior to	12	Q The first section was section?
13	the verdict announcement. Probably a week or so	13	A I believe it's Section VI. It's
14	prior we would be collecting names. Because not	14	individual use of mace.
15	knowing when the verdict would be released, we have	15	Q And the other section you reviewed?
16	the things, shift changes, all kinds of personnel	16	A I believe it was XIII.
17	issues, this is a very big document, we're trying	17	Q And other than reviewing those
18	to get multiple members of the police department at	18	sections from the Special Order, did you do
19	one place, at one time. So it would have been	19	anything else to prepare for testifying as a
20	it operates off a template format, meaning our	20	corporate representative on topics 12 through 15?
21	operation planning unit has a template that they	21	A I looked at some training documents,
22	use for specific things, for specific roles, and	22	primarily related more towards dispersal order than
23	individuals are plugged into that template.	23	chemical agents, but that's part of the Special
24	It was an ongoing process to create	24	Order Section XIII, 1-01 Section XIII.
25	that document and the document was released prior	25	Q Okay. Anything else? I just want to
	Page 66		Page 68
1	to the events that occurred.	1	make sure I have a complete understanding of what
2	So we knew the Grand Jury verdict was	2	you did, what you looked at in preparing for these
3	going to come in a day or so. The OPs plan was	3	topics 12 through 15?
4	ready to go by those dates.	4	A I believe that would be the extent of
5	Q Was the OPs plan at any time amended	5	it.
6	after its release?	6	Q Okay. In a few minutes I'm going to
7	A Not to my knowledge, but there are	7	show you a particular document. Please let me
8	email if there were personnel changes in general	8	know, when I hand it to you, if that's the training
9	manners, we update like replacement personnel	9	document you were referring to or it's something
10	lists, there would be a replacement personnel list.	10	else and we'll explore that a little bit.
11	I don't know that one was created. A lot of that	11	In your career since — I think you
12	stuff comes by phone. People call in, say Joe is	12	started out in 1994
13	not coming, Eric is coming, and so.	13	A Mm-hmm.
14	Q But my question is, to your	14	Q '95 time period, have you yourself
15	knowledge, nobody input any of those information	15	ever deployed pepper spray or mace?
16	about individual changes in terms of generating an	16	A I have been involved in incidents
17	amended OPs plan?	17	where pepper spray and mace were deployed,
18	A No. No.	18	primarily as a patrol officer, which would be in
	Q Thank you. Do you have the Notice of	19	the 1995 through 2004 time frame. Whether I was
19	Deposition in front of you?	20	actually the officer that deployed or was an assist
19 20		21	officer, I can't recall.
	A I do.	21	
20	A I do. Q I'd like to jump to topic 12, if I	22	Q Okay. Do you recall approximately
20 21			Q Okay. Do you recall approximately how many times you, whether yourself did it or
20 21 22	Q I'd like to jump to topic 12, if I	22	

	ENG LAKO		
	Page 69		Page 71
1	A I can't recall. I'd be speculating.	1	Q Think of a situation where the person
2	Q Okay. After 2004, though, you had	2	is not resisting in any way physically, there is no
3	have you ever yourself deployed pepper spray mace,	3	threat of violence, there is no imminent threat of
4	or witnessed other police officers doing it?	4	harm to the police officer who is trying to
5	A I'm sorry, I'm pausing because I'm	5	effectuate the arrest
6	trying to think through. Most of my duties were	6	A Then there would be
7	not street enforcement related between 2004 and	7	Q Just a let me finish my question.
8	2015, so I would say during that time frame, no, I	8	A Sorry.
9	can't recall ever deploying or seeing mace	9	Q I'm going to start over. I want you
10	deployed.	10	to imagine the following scenario, which is not
11	I can't recall seeing mace deployed	11	implausible at all, I hope you'll agree with me,
12	as a commander during during any not where I	12	that there is an officer trying to effectuate an
13	was not where I was like front and center	13	arrest. The officer has the handheld pepper spray
14	present, no. I don't I don't recall.	14	mace device with him. He asks the person to do
15	Q So really the only times where you	15	something and the person is simply refuses to
16	either yourself used pepper spray mace, or	16	comply with that request but is not exhibiting any
17	witnessed someone, would have been during the	17	force or violence, there is absolutely no threat of
18	1995/2004 time period?	18	imminent harm to the police officer or anybody
19	A Yes.	19	else, just a non-compliant individual.
20	Q During that time period, were those	20	Under those circumstances, is the
21	incidents in connection with an arrest of an	21	police officer allowed, on the escalation of use of
22	individual?	22	force, to spray the person with pepper spray
23	A Yes.	23	without giving him a warning, saying words to the
24	Q Prior to the use of pepper spray or	24	effect, sir, you're not complying with my request;
25	mace in those incidents, is it your recollection	25	unless you comply, I'm going to spray you with
	Page 70		Page 72
1	that the officer provided any warnings to the	1	pepper spray and it's going to hurt. Something to
2	individual?	2	that effect?
3	A No, it would have been relative to	3	A No.
4	affecting an arrest. The individual would have	4	Q Is there a reason why, as a policy,
5	been told that they were under arrest or it would	5	the City wouldn't want police officers under the
6	have been a fight situation so the deployment would	6	circumstance that I described, where an individual
7	have been immediate and there would be no warning	7	is simply uncooperative, but not violent, that you
8	given.	8	wouldn't, in the process of trying to effectuate an
9	Q Were there any situations where it	9	arrest in a peaceful manner, not give a warning,
10	wasn't a fight but simply somebody was not	10	saying, I'm going to do something that's going to
11	compliant with a request, such as turn around so I	11	hurt you, please cooperate?
12	can put handcuffs on you, the person doesn't	12	A Because mace is not
13	comply, and to effectuate the arrest, the officer	13	MR. DIERKER: Excuse me, I'd like to
14	needs to use pepper spray mace?	14	object to the form of the question as
15	A Under our order, we do not warn that	15	argumentative, but you may answer.
16	we're going to deploy mace for an arrest situation.	16	A Because, technically, mace is not to
17	The individual is notified that they're under	17	be used just on non-compliance, passively resisting
18	arrest, turn around, put your hands behind your	18	persons. So we would not use mace on someone who
19	back, and then if when the individual fails to do	19	is passively resisting. Mace would be used on an
20	that, then it's an escalation of force through the	20	actively resisting. So if I am refusing to be
21	use of force continuum.	21	handcuffed, we would put handcuffs on an individual
22	So if I go to put my hands on an	22	and use we wouldn't necessarily spray them
23	individual, and they resist, they begin to flail,	23	unless they are actively resisting.
2.4			
24 25	then I might use mace to subdue them and bring them under compliance.	24 25	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So if I heard you correctly, if someone is passively resisting, the

39/4 EDIC I ADSONI 4/8/2019

	ERIC LARSO	ON 4/	8/2019
	Page 73		Page 75
1	City's policies is that mace should not be used in	1	deployed.
2	that circumstance; is that an accurate statement?	2	Q Okay. Is there a reason why the City
3	A I believe so.	3	has a policy that in that situation allows a police
4	Q Okay. To the extent, in connection	4	officer to spray me without warning to get me to
5	with the Stockley protests, individuals were	5	cooperate, knowing that by spraying someone, it's
6	engaging in civil disobedience but peacefully, and	6	going to hurt like hell, excuse my language, and if
7	at no time actively resisting but simply passively	7	I gave the warning as a police officer, I may get
8	resisting a request, an order from a police	8	compliance? What's the a rationale for not giving
9	officer, it would have been inappropriate for a	9	a warning in that situation? That's why I'm
10	police officer in those situations to use pepper	10	perplexed.
11	spray at those individuals to get them to comply	11	MR. DIERKER: I'll object to the form
12	with a request; is that a fair statement?	12	of the question as argumentative and calls for a
13	A Unless other factors were in play.	13	legal conclusion. You may answer.
14	So, for example, obviously we would need to give	14	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You're not an
15	warnings to individuals that were because of the	15	attorney, are you? I just want to make sure I
16	dynamic nature of protests, where there is some	16	didn't miss something.
17	engaged in unlawful activities, sometimes in order	17	A Technically, I am an attorney. I
18	to disperse crowds, mace would be deployed, but	18	graduated from law school in 2004.
19	only after a set of circumstances.	19	Q Should have covered your education.
20	Q Okay. I want to break that down a	20	A Yes.
21	little bit and understand what you're saying. I	21	Q Where did you go to law school?
22	want to talk about a situation where you have a	22	A Saint Louis University School of Law.
23	group of people protesting and officers tell a	23	Q Okay. So you are an attorney.
24	particular individual gives him a command to do	24	A I am an attorney. I don't actively
25	X Y and Z.	25	practice law.
	Page 74		Page 76
1	At that point, if the person is	1	Q For today's deposition I am not
2	passively resisting, refusing to turn around so	2	asking for any legal opinions by you. I think the
3	handcuffs could be put on him or her, is there any	3	judge will handle the law side quite well.
4	basis for an officer then to use handheld mace and	4	A Yes. I hope so.
5	spray the person in order to get them to comply,	5	Q Yes. My question to you is, solely
6	under the police policies?	6	as the representative of the City today about
7	A I think we're going to quibble over	7	City's policies and practices. And we have topics
8	what active/passive resistance looks like. Under	8	dealing with chemical agents, you have mentioned
9	strict passive resistance, I would say no, there	9	that you viewed the Special Order Section VI

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strict passive resistance, I would say no, there would be no reason, but what shifts from active to passive is where we are going to have issues on when spraying is going to occur or not going to

Q Okay. But again, I want to focus on my situation, that simply is a refusal to comply with an order but not in any way resisting or tugging or doing anything. Just saying no, holding my hands like this. Would an officer be allowed, in my -- I'm just crossing my hands and -- would in your mind be -- is that a position where an officer could spray me without any warning?

A By crossing your arms, you are committing active and defensive resistance, so you're actively resisting my attempt to take you into custody, so, therefore, mace could be

that you viewed the Special Order Section VI dealing with mace, and I'm going back to my specific question.

Could you explain to me the rationale between -- strike that.

Can you explain to me the City's rationale for allowing officers to use handheld pepper spray against an individual who is not acting violently, but simply refusing an order, without first giving a simple warning, advising the individual that there will be pepper spray deployed against them unless they comply?

MR. DIERKER: I'll renew my objection. You may answer.

A The reason is, generally, in order to take the person into custody, to use the element of surprise as it were, to take the individual into

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Fax: 314.644.1334

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

occur.

2.4

Page 77

custody. If -- and again, we're talking about active versus passive resistance. We wouldn't use mace on individuals that are just generally not compliant. It's when they're not compliant and we cannot take them into custody.

2.0

You cannot comply with -- you can -- I'm trying to phrase this appropriately. You can be non-compliant and actively resisting, in which we would deploy mace. In general, if you are passively resisting, I am not going to go, I'm going to sit down, we would use empty hand techniques to take the individual into custody. We would not deploy mace.

As regards to warnings, as a standard policy, we do not give warnings to individuals that we are going to not -- we don't use warnings on a one-on-one individual basis. If we're going to use them in a crowd dispersal basis, then a warning would be applied.

Q (BY MR. PRAISS) That's what I'm trying to understand. Why is it in one situation you do have warnings and the City recognizes the value of them, but in this situation, prior to escalating the situation, the City has a policy that allows the use of pepper spray without

Page 79

person, saying, sir, your hands are crossed, you are resisting my attempt to arrest you, I am asking you one last time, please comply or I will have to spray you with pepper spray?

A But in most instances, we've already --

MR. DIERKER: Excuse me, I object to the form of the question, argumentative, compound, complex, calling for a legal conclusion.

MR. PRAISS: Wow, any other objections, Judge Dierker, from your law school days that you want to come up with?

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \mbox{MR. DIERKER: If I think of any, I'll assert them.}$

MR. PRAISS: Please assert them. I think you could add three more.

Q (BY MR. PRAISS) But subject to all that, sir, could you answer my question?

A Mostly because our goal in any situation is de-escalation and voluntary compliance. It -- everything works better for the officer on the street if we can gain voluntary compliance from the individual. Once we have reached a point where we're in a situation where we've already asked you to comply, we've already

Page 78

warnings? That's what I'm trying to exactly understand.

MR. DIERKER: Once again I object to the form of the question.

Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You may answer.

A I cannot give you a reason why we provide warnings other than the tactical application of the mace itself.

Q When you say "the tactical application of mace," what do you mean by that, sir?

A The actual deployment, the -- to tell someone that we are going to take a course of action then gives them an opportunity to thwart that course of action. So we wouldn't -- if I gave you the opportunity to -- if I said I am going to spray you with mace if you don't comply, well, then you might cover your face with your arm and then --

Q Or I may just as well comply, couldn't I? Isn't that a possibility too?

A All possibilities are possibilities until they become probabilities.

Q So if the goal is to avoid escalating the situation, will you agree with me that, at a minimum, you will try to de-escalate by warning a Page 80

then told you to comply, so we've got two refusals of compliance, at the third point it's time for us to take action. And the individual officer has the discretion of determining what that action will be. And what is to -- what minimizes the potential for, quote, injuries from taking such action.

So we could go hands on and grab and manipulate an individual, force them to the ground, potentially causing injury. We could spray them with mace, which, while painful, does not tend to have long-lasting effects or long-term injuries. That's why it's a non-deadly use of force.

I think that is part of the explanation in that, once we have reached the stage of taking action, we are no longer in a -- required to be giving warnings as far as what we've done. We've already done that. We've already given the warnings. We've already said you're failing to comply. You're subject to arrest. We're going to arrest you if you fail to comply. That's a matter of using force.

Q But nowhere in that process is the police officer required to give a warning that he or she is going to -- about to use pepper spray mace in order to get compliance from an individual;

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

	Page 81		Page 83
1	correct?	1	locks his or her hands in such a fashion that make
2	A They are not.	2	it difficult for a police officer to effectuate an
3	Q Okay. Could you, for the benefit	3	arrest, the police officer can, using his or her
4	for my benefit and for the record, describe as best	4	discretion, spray that individual with pepper spray
5	you can the difference between passively resisting	5	without giving any warning; is that a fair summary?
6	and actively resisting? What triggers that	6	A They do not have to give a warning.
7	distinction? And let me also, I'd like to	7	Q Gotcha.
8	understand what training is provided to officers or	8	MR. PRAISS: Let me mark this as an
9	are there anything in writing that defines those	9	exhibit.
10	things?	10	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 4
11	A It covers it in the orders and during	11	marked for identification by the court reporter.)
12	defensive tactics training I believe from the St.	12	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I have given you
13	Louis Police Academy, where all officers go through	13	what's been marked as Exhibit 4, and it begins with
14	that, you know. Active resisting is generally an	14	Bates number CITY 764, and does yours end — I wan
15	active fight or flailing or resistance to being	15	to make sure we're looking at the same thing
16	taken into custody.	16	because the numbers seem to be off right now
17	Q Let's focus on the last part, "the	17	ends with CITY 53?
18	resistance to being taken into custody." What	18	A Yes.
19	constitutes resistance? What's the minimum amount	19	Q Okay. And I don't have an
20	that I have to do to trigger active resistance?	20	explanation why the Bates numbers are not
21	Let's get the minimum threshold.	21	sequential. Do you recognize this document?
22	A The minimum threshold, it first would	22	
23	·		A I do, and just as a point of
	start with refusing to comply because that's an	23	clarification in my earlier statement where I said
24 25	active act. And then as we go forward, we're going	25	I reviewed pepper mace and I said Section VI, it's
	to get into things that are actually resisting my	25	actually Roman numeral Section IV, IV instead of
	Page 82		Page 84
1	arrest. That could be fleeing. It could be	1	VI.
2	flailing. It could be those two are the main	2	Q Okay. If you go to Bates number CITY
3	ones. Fleeing from being put into handcuffs,	3	775? Is that the portion of the Special Order
4	running from me, taking a fighting stance. All of	4	Section IV dealing with the use of pepper spray?
5	those.	5	Pepper mace?
6	Q Again, I'm focusing on the minimum.	6	A It is.
			A It is.
7	You seem to be wanting to look at the higher end of	7	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that
7 8	You seem to be wanting to look at the higher end of the spectrum, with all due respect.		
		7	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that
8	the spectrum, with all due respect.	7 8	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that
8 9	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I	7 8 9	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus
8 9 10	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right.	7 8 9 10	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance?
8 9 10 11	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply	7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not.
8 9 10 11 12	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply.	7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific
8 9 10 11 12 13	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or I'm refusing to comply by holding this chair, that can be an active resistance. Passively resisting	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we discuss as far as active versus passive, that you don't want to use mace on passively compliant
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or I'm refusing to comply by holding this chair, that can be an active resistance. Passively resisting is I'm just resisting you. I'm just saying no.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we discuss as far as active versus passive, that you don't want to use mace on passively compliant people.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or I'm refusing to comply by holding this chair, that can be an active resistance. Passively resisting is I'm just resisting you. I'm just saying no. And when the officer puts his hand on me to put me	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we discuss as far as active versus passive, that you don't want to use mace on passively compliant people. Q Again, I'm interested in your
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or I'm refusing to comply by holding this chair, that can be an active resistance. Passively resisting is I'm just resisting you. I'm just saying no. And when the officer puts his hand on me to put me in handcuffs, I willingly put my hands behind my	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we discuss as far as active versus passive, that you don't want to use mace on passively compliant people. Q Again, I'm interested in your testimony as a corporate representative and I thin
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the spectrum, with all due respect. A Right. Q Take the situation, and I think I heard you correctly, of an individual simply refuses to comply. A Mm-hmm. Q Was it your testimony that simply refusing to comply in itself is actively resisting? A It can be. Refusing to comply, if I'm refusing to comply and I'm locking my arms, or I'm refusing to comply by holding this chair, that can be an active resistance. Passively resisting is I'm just resisting you. I'm just saying no. And when the officer puts his hand on me to put me	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Is there anything in here that you can point me to specific language that identifies the difference between passive versus active resistance? A There is not. Q Are you aware of any specific training, written documents that specifically delineate to officers the difference between passive versus active resistance? A I cannot point to any specific training other than just my own as far as what we discuss as far as active versus passive, that you don't want to use mace on passively compliant people.

1 Q You understand our definition of 1 earlier I tried to be as the	Page 87
	orough as possible to
2 "chemical agents" includes pepper spray – pepper 2 find out all documents y	ou reviewed. I don't
3 mace? 3 recall you mentioned	a training document. Is
4 A I do. 4 this the document you v	vere referring to?
5 Q Okay. So my question, in preparing 5 A I did review this	training document,
6 for today's deposition, is it your testimony the 6 or this document prior to	our meeting today.
	make an effort to
8 specifically the difference between passive versus 8 speak with anybody oth	er than your attorneys about
9 active resistance which would advise police 9 this document, Exhibit 5	?
10 officers under what circumstances they may or may 10 A No.	
not use pepper spray mace to effectuate an arrest? 11 Q Okay. Are you	aware strike that.
12 I have not seen those documents and I'm trying to 12 You mentioned	that this was presented
13 find out if they're out there. 13 to you and others in ser	nior command prior to the
14 A No, I cannot. I cannot. 14 Stockley protest?	
15 Q Have you ever seen such document? 15 A Yes.	
16 A No, I cannot recall. No, I cannot. 16 Q And when you	say senior command, what
17 Q Okay. For the record, I believe 17 does that mean?	•
	mand would be the
there was an email from Tony to you about it on 19 chief, the assistant chief	, the colonels, the
20 Friday and maybe at some point, when we get that 20 majors, I believe the cap	tains, and perhaps
21 page, we'll include it in here. I noticed that 21 specialized unit lieutena	
, , ,	vho provided this
23 it's our intention that you have the whole thing. 23 presentation?	·
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	epartment, I believe
25 two pages missing that Tony brought to your 25 it was Christine Hutson.	
Page 86	Page 88
1 attention. That's all I want to make sure. 1 Q Do you know	if at any time subsequent
	it was made to the senior
3 exhibit. 3 command, a similar pr	esentation of this document
5 exhibit.	
	lice officers?
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 4 was provided to all po 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 5 A I believe I do	lice officers?
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 4 was provided to all po 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 5 A I believe I do	lice officers? on't believe so. I
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 4 was provided to all position of the court reporter.) 5 A I believe I do don't know. It may have 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 7 mail but I can't recall.	lice officers? on't believe so. I
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 4 was provided to all position of the court reporter.) 5 A I believe I do don't know. It may have 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 7 mail but I can't recall.	lice officers? on't believe so. I ve gone out in department dated just a few weeks
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 4 was provided to all position of the court reporter.) 5 A I believe I do don't know. It may have that sheen marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 8 Q Okay. This is	lice officers? on't believe so. I ve gone out in department dated just a few weeks
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 4 was provided to all policy 6 don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is 9 before the Stockley provided to all policy.	lice officers? on't believe so. I ve gone out in department dated just a few weeks
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 4 was provided to all po 4 don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is 9 before the Stockley produced to all policy. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Do you know	dice officers? on't believe so. I ge gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct?
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 4 was provided to all po 4 don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is 9 before the Stockley production of the Stockley production of the Stockley production. 10 A Yes. 11 Q Do you know	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct?
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 4 was provided to all po 4 don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is 9 before the Stockley pr 10 A Yes. 11 Q Do you know specifically in anticipar	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared ion of the verdict in the
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 was provided to all po 4 was provided to all po 6 don't know. It may have 7 mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is 9 before the Stockley provided to all po 10 Do you see that? 11 Q Do you know specifically in anticipation of the provided to all po 10 don't know. It may have you ever seen this document. 11 Stockley matter?	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Was provided to all poor was provided to all poor on all poor o	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 was provided to all poor was provided to all poor of all poor o	dice officers? on't believe so. I we gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? If this was prepared tion of the verdict in the so. It, is it your
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 was provided to all poor was provided to all poor of all poor o	dice officers? on't believe so. I we gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared dion of the verdict in the s. y, is it your s document purports to d practices of the City of
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented and I	dice officers? on't believe so. I we gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared dion of the verdict in the s. y, is it your s document purports to d practices of the City of
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented to 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to 19 the senior command during pre-Stockley training.	dice officers? on't believe so. I re gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared ion of the verdict in the s. r, is it your s document purports to I practices of the City of rs that are identified in
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented to 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to 19 the senior command during pre-Stockley training. 20 Q Did you - is this - this is not a 4 was provided to all po A I believe - I do A I believe I do don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is before the Stockley presented specifically in anticipar and specifically in antic	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the so. it, is it your is document purports to dipractices of the City of its that are identified in out that? I'm confused.
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented and I 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to the senior command during pre-Stockley training. 20 Q Did you - is this - this is not a document you reviewed in preparing for today's 21 Q Sure. It's not	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the s. it, is it your is document purports to it practices of the City of its that are identified in out that? I'm confused. the clearest
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented and I 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to 19 the senior command during pre-Stockley training. 20 Q Did you - is this - this is not a 21 deposition? 22 deposition? 4 was provided to all po A I believe I d don't know. It may have don't know. It may have don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 Q Okay. This is before the Stockley presented selection of the Stockley presented to a limit of the second of the Stockley presented to a limit of the second of t	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the s. diction of the verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct?
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 before today's deposition? 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented and I 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to 19 the senior command during pre-Stockley training. 20 Q Did you - is this - this is not a document you reviewed in preparing for today's 21 Q Sure. It's not question, so thank you are and it in the 22 La I did. I would have read it in the 23 La I fair to say 15 I was provided to all poor A I believe I did don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 8 A I believe I did don't know. It may have mail but I can't recall. 9 Do you know specifically in anticipar specifically in a	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? If this was prepared dion of the verdict in the s. It, is it your s document purports to d practices of the City of the st that are identified in the clearest of or asking me to rephrase. That Exhibit 5 and
4 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 5 5 marked for identification by the court reporter.) 6 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm handing you 7 what's been marked for identification purposes as 8 Exhibit 5. Mr. Larson, this document, Exhibit 5, 9 is titled City of St. Louis Law Department Police 10 Section Protest Law and it's dated August 16, 2017. 11 Do you see that? 11 Q Do you know 12 A I do. 13 Q Have you ever seen this document 14 A I believe it wa 15 A I have. 16 Q When do you recall seeing it? 17 A I saw it when it was presented and I 18 believe that was on 8/16 when it was presented to 19 the senior command during pre-Stockley training. 20 Q Did you – is this – this is not a 21 deposition? 22 deposition? 23 A I did. I would have read it in the 24 course of preparation. 24 was provided to all po A I believe - I do don't know. It may hav and it policies and the policies an	dice officers? on't believe so. I be gone out in department dated just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the s. diction of the verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct? if this was prepared cion of the Verdict in the standard just a few weeks otest; correct?

	Page 89		Page 91
1	with respect to the matters set forth in the	1	MR. PRAISS: Thank you. I tried so
2	document?	2	hard not to bother anybody at the office and this
3	A Yes.	3	is what I get. I have odd pages. Well, I
4	Q All right. Go to page 17, please, of	4	apologize. We'll make copies of that one but this
5	the PowerPoint.	5	is to, the extent I deal with odd pages, we'll be
6	A Yes.	6	in good shape.
7	Q It's titled Chemical Agents.	7	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) On page 18, am I
8	Do you see that?	8	correct it says, "Which chemical agents are covered
9	A I do.	9	by this policy?" And it says, "All of them."
10	Q It says, "In most cases, mandatory	10	Correct?
11	warnings must be given prior to using chemical	11	A That is correct.
12	agents as a result of Templeton."	12	Q Okay. Are there any chemical agents
13	Do you see that?	13	that are not included in the policy dealing with
14	A Yes.	14	protest law in
15	Q The phrase "In most cases" indicates	15	A No. Sorry.
16	to me that it's not universal; correct?	16	Q If the chemical composition strike
17	A Yes.	17	that.
18	Q And is what we discussed up until now	18	Am I correct that the chemical
19	about the use of pepper spray, pepper mace, the	19	composition of pepper spray mace, whether it's in a
20	only exception whereby mandatory warnings must not	20	handheld device or in a fogger, is identical?
21	be given prior to using chemical agents?	21	A The chemical composition of, I'm
22	A I'm sorry, I'm confused again.	22	sorry, the handheld mace and a fogger are
23	Q We discussed the use of pepper spray	23	identical? I believe they are. I think it's the
24	mace; correct?	24	dispersal method that is different.
25	A Yes.	25	Q Are you familiar with the Templeton
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q And we were talking about it in the	1	Settlement Agreement?
2	context of handheld device by a police officer;	2	A Yes, I mean, I know that it occurred.
3	correct?	3	Q Do you see there's references in the
4	A Correct.	4	pages that follow that refer in the heading to the
5	Q Is that the only situation where a	5	Templeton versus Dotson, et al., Settlement
6	mandatory warning is not required prior to using a	6	Agreement?
7	chemical agent?	7	A Yes.
8	A Well, in general, mandatory warnings	8	Q Quite a few pages dealing with it?
9	are required when they're being used for crowd	9	A Yes.
10	dispersal. So when we're using them as a crowd	10	Q Have you yourself ever looked at the
11	dispersal tool, then they're going to then a	11	Templeton Settlement Agreement?
12	mandatory warning is necessary.	12	A I believe so. I believe it was
13	Q Gotcha. So this document is only	13	disseminated to commanders at the time of the
14	dealing with the context of strike that.	14	agreement.
15	Let's go to the next page, page 18.	15	Q Okay. Did you review the Templeton
16	A Yes.	16	Settlement Agreement in preparation for today's
17	Q Make sure I got the right page here.	17	deposition?
18	I'm going to be so upset at myself. Are we missing	18	A I don't believe I did because
19	pages here? Do you have page 18 on yours?	19	Templeton was incorporated in the Special Order
20	A I have page 18.	20	1-01, Section XIII.
21	Q You're the only one here that has	21	Q Did I hear you correctly that
22	page 18. It's my lack of inability to copy	22	Templeton Settlement Agreement strike that.
23	things appropriately. I'm still learning the Xerox	23	Am I correct that the terms of the
23			
24	machine at the ACLU.	24	Templeton Settlement Agreement were incorporated

	Page 93		Page 95
1	A Yes.	1	their constitutional rights?
2	Q Okay.	2	A That is correct.
3	MR. DIERKER: Off the record.	3	Q Okay. There was four requirements
4	(Off the record.)	4	that applied to the first circumstance; correct?
5	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 6	5	A Yes.
6	marked for identification by the court reporter.)	6	Q The first one is that there has to be
7	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Sir, I'm going to	7	a clear and unambiguous warning?
8	hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 6, which is	8	Do you see that?
9	a copy of the Templeton Settlement Agreement?	9	A Correct.
10	A Mm-hmm.	10	Q Second one, there's an opportunity to
11	Q You don't believe you reviewed this	11	heed the warning?
12	in preparing for the deposition?	12	A Correct.
13	A I don't believe so because I believe	13	Q The third one is a minimized impact
14	the context of it was all incorporated into Special	14	on individuals who are complying with orders; and
15	Order 1-01 Section XIII.	15	the last one is ensure safe egress is available to
16	Q Okay. If you look on the first page,	16	people.
17	paragraph A at the bottom, and I'll paraphrase	17	Do you see those four requirements?
18	generally, do you see that in, first, the	18	A I do, for the individuals engaged in
19	defendants and anybody acting on their behalf	19	non-criminal activity.
20	basically will not enforce any rule, policy, or	20	Q No, this would be for element one for
21	practice that grants law enforcement officials	21	the purpose of dispersing groups of individuals.
22	authority or discretion to do certain things which	22	A Who are engaged in non-criminal
23	are set out on page 2?	23	activity.
24	Do you see that?	24	Q Gotcha. With respect to the first
25	A Yes.	25	requirement of clear and unambiguous warning, is it
	Page 94		Page 96
1	Q So to the extent the City of St.	1	the City's understanding that that warning would,
2	Louis had any rule, policy, or practice as of the	2	among other things, advise the person that chemical
3	date of this Settlement Agreement that granted law	3	agents would be used?
4	enforcement officials the authority or discretion	4	A Yes, we would give a warning that
5	of the things that are set forth on the following	5	would say failing to comply with X action can
6	page, the understanding of the City was that this	6	result in the deployment of chemical munitions. We
7	Settlement Agreement prohibited those practices;	7	would then direct individuals where to go and how
8	correct?	8	to comply, and then we'd try to minimize the effect
9	A Correct.	9	of the chemical agents if they were deployed.
10	Q It sets out at two different	10	Q And I'm just focusing on the first
11	circumstances where chemical agents could be used	11	one. I just want to make sure that my
12	on the next page; correct?	12	understanding is accurate that the reference to
13	A Yes.	13	clear and unambiguous warning specifically
14	Q And the first one, basically, it	14	contemplates a warning that chemical agents would
15	precluded police officers as part of the Settlement	15	be used absent compliance.
16	Agreement from using chemical agents for the	16	A Yes.
17	purpose of dispersing groups of individuals who	17	Q Gotcha. With respect to minimize
18	were engaged in non-criminal activity in the City	18	impact on individuals who are complying with the
19	unless the full requirements were met; correct?	19	order in that situation, is it do you agree that
	A Correct.	20	it is easier for police officers to comply with
20		21	this requirement if they use a handheld pepper
20 21	Q And the second factor was it		
20 21 22	prohibited police officers from using chemical	22	spray device as compared to a fogger? Let me ask
20 21 22 23	prohibited police officers from using chemical agents on individuals who were engaged in	22 23	it differently.
20 21 22	prohibited police officers from using chemical	22	

	Page 97		Page 99
1	impact a greater number of individuals than a	1	of
2	handheld device?	2	A I'm focusing on what you're saying to
3	A Yes.	3	make sure that I'm understanding as I don't want to
4	Q So if the objective is to minimize	4	answer incorrectly.
5	the impact on individuals who are complying with an	5	Q I appreciate it. Am I correct there
6	order with respect to this requirement, you would	6	is no language in the Templeton Settlement
7	agree with me that using a handheld device would be	7	Agreement that indicates that only pepper gas
8	more appropriate?	8	deployed using a fogger is included in the
9	A It may be.	9	definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct?
10	Q Do you see that there is a definition	10	A I believe that's correct.
11	of "chemical agents" included as part of Settlement	11	Q Bottom line, regardless how pepper
12	Agreement?	12	spray is deployed, it is covered within the
13	A I'm sorry oh, what yeah, under	13	definition of "chemical agents" under the Templeton
14	1, where it says, yes, that there are a range of	14	Settlement Agreement?
15	chemical, that all would be collectively referred	15	A Yes.
16	to as chemical agents.	16	Q Gotcha. I have a long question.
17	Q Okay. So again, the answer to my	17	A Okay.
18	question is, there is a specific definition for the	18	Q And I'm going to read it. If you
19	term "chemical agents" as it's used in the	19	need me to repeat it, I'm happy to do so.
20	Templeton Settlement Agreement; is that a fair	20	Under the Templeton Settlement
21	statement?	21	Agreement, do you agree that absent circumstances
22	A Yes.	22	that present imminent threat of bodily harm, police
23	Q And that definition includes tear	23	officers could not use handheld pepper spray for
24	gas, inert smoke, pepper gas, or other chemical;	24	the purpose of dispersing groups of individuals
25	correct?	25	engaged in non-criminal activity without, among
1	Page 98 A Yes.	1	Page 100 other things, first providing a clear and
2	Q And I think we established it before	2	unambiguous warning that chemical agents would be
3	but again, you agree with me that pepper spray, OC	3	utilized and providing those individuals with
4	spray and mace all have the same chemical	4	sufficient opportunity to heed the warning and exit
5	composition; correct?	5	the area?
6	A Yes.	6	A Yes.
7	Q And am I correct that the definition	7	Q Under the Templeton Settlement
8	of "chemical agents" and in the Templeton	8	Agreement, do you agree that absent circumstances
9	Settlement Agreement does not distinguish based on	9	present imminent threat of bodily harm, police
10	the manner by which the pepper gas would be	10	officers could not use handheld pepper spray on
11	deployed against protesters?	11	individuals engaged in non-criminal activity for
12	A It does not.	12	the purpose of frightening them or punishing them
	Q Am I correct there's no language in	13	for exercising their constitutional rights?
13	the Templeton Settlement Agreement that indicates	14	A That is correct.
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Q Okay. Thank you.
14 15	that pepper gas deployed using an individual	15	-
14 15 16	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition	16	A Is this a good time for a break?
14 15	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct?	16 17	-
14 15 16 17 18	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct.	16 17 18	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the
14 15 16 17	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct?	16 17 18 19	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got
14 15 16 17 18	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct.	16 17 18 19 20	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct. Q And am I correct there is no language in the Templeton Settlement Agreement that indicates that only pepper gas deployed using a	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the toughest job, I take it back. Tara has the hardest job. But when either of you need a break, seriously, just let me know. As long as I ask
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct. Q And am I correct there is no language in the Templeton Settlement Agreement that indicates that only pepper gas deployed using a fogger is included in the definition of "chemical	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the toughest job, I take it back. Tara has the hardest job. But when either of you need a break, seriously, just let me know. As long as I ask— don't ask for a break when there's a question
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct. Q And am I correct there is no language in the Templeton Settlement Agreement that indicates that only pepper gas deployed using a fogger is included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the toughest job, I take it back. Tara has the hardest job. But when either of you need a break, seriously, just let me know. As long as I ask don't ask for a break when there's a question pending. That's all.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that pepper gas deployed using an individual handheld device is not included in the definition of "chemical agents"; is that correct? A That is correct. Q And am I correct there is no language in the Templeton Settlement Agreement that indicates that only pepper gas deployed using a fogger is included in the definition of "chemical	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Is this a good time for a break? MR. PRAISS: Absolutely. You've got the toughest job here today. Well, she has the toughest job, I take it back. Tara has the hardest job. But when either of you need a break, seriously, just let me know. As long as I ask— don't ask for a break when there's a question

Page 101	Page 103
1 (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 7	1 questions.
2 marked for identification by the court reporter.)	2 A Okay.
3 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I've	3 Q Am I correct that to a large extent,
4 hand you had what's within marked as Exhibit Number	
5 7.	5 under the Templeton Settlement Agreement in terms
6 Do you see that?	6 of laying out under what circumstance, if any,
7 A I do.	7 police could use chemical agents in the context of
8 Q And it says Declaration of Jerome	8 dispersing groups of individuals?
9 Baumgartner that was submitted as Defendant's	9 A It does.
10 Exhibit 1 in connection with a preliminary	10 Q Okay. Am I correct that the
11 injunction hearing I'll represent to you?	temporary directive includes the same essential
12 A Yes.	12 requirements before chemical agents could be used
13 Q So it's part of a court file. Have	to disperse groups of individuals who are engaged
you ever seen this exhibit before today?	in peaceful, non-criminal activity; am I correct?
15 A I have not seen the Declaration. I	15 A You are correct.
16 have seen the Special Order behind it.	16 Q Okay. Am I correct that the
17 Q Perfect. If you go to paragraph 6 of	17 temporary directive, similar to the Templeton
18 Mr. Baumgartner's Declaration, I'll read it into	18 Settlement Agreement, includes a definition for
19 the record. "On January 7, 2015, a temporary	19 "chemical agents"?
20 directive on the use of chemical agents for	20 A It does.
21 dispersing groups engaged in peaceful, non-criminal	21 Q Am I correct that the definition of
22 activity was put in immediate effect, pursuant to	22 "chemical agents" in the Templeton Settlement
23 the matter then before the US District Court and is	23 Agreement and in the temporary directive is
24 attached as Exhibit B."	24 identical?
25 Do you see that?	25 A Yes.
Page 102	Page 104
1 A Ido.	1 Q So is it fair to say all the answers
2 Q Okay. If you could go to Exhibit B,	2 to the questions I asked you before, with respect
3 please, of Mr. Baumgartner's Declaration, and do	3 to the Templeton Settlement Agreement, in terms
4 you see at the top of it, it says Directive	what's included or not included, applies here?
5 2015-01-07?	5 A Yes.
6 Do you see that?	6 Q And in particular, am I correct that
7 A Yes.	7 the temporary directive does not distinguish in any
8 Q Am I correct that's the date there	8 way, based on the manner by which pepper gas would
9 is referring to July 1, 2015? Is that your	9 be deployed against protesters?
10 understanding?	10 A It does not speak to the manner of
11 A That is correct.	11 deployment.
12 Q Okay. Am I correct the directive	12 Q So am I correct that the temporary
13 went into effect on that date?	13 directive applies to pepper spray regardless
14 A Correct. This is considered a	whether it's deployed using a handheld device, a
15 temporary directive by the St. Louis Police	15 fogger, or some other device?
16 Department and it was put into effect.	16 A It does.
17 Q Okay. Take as much time as you need	17 Q Okay. I got two long questions for
18 just to refresh your recollection of the terms of	18 you again. I'll go slow to make sure you have a
19 the temporary directive and I'll ask you some	19 chance to absorb it.
questions about it. Let me know when you're ready.	20 Am I correct that under the temporary
21 A Okay. I believe I'm ready.	21 directive, police officers could not use handheld
	22 pepper spray for the purpose of dispersing groups
22 Q And if you could compare the terms of	== poppor opio, i.e. and purpose of dispersing groups
Q And if you could compare the terms of the temporary directive to the terms of the	23 of individuals engaged in peaceful, non-criminal
the temporary directive to the terms of the	of individuals engaged in peaceful, non-criminal activity without, among other things, first
	of individuals engaged in peaceful, non-criminal activity without, among other things, first providing a clear and unambiguous warning that

	Page 105		Page 107
1	chemical agents will be utilized and providing	1	A It is.
2	those individuals with sufficient opportunity to	2	Q When that's done, how is that
3	heed the warning and exit the area?	3	information conveyed to police officers using the
4	A Yes.	4	PAS System?
5	Q Okay. Am I correct that under the	5	A Monthly a notice is sent from the PAS
6	temporary directive, police officers could not use	6	System to all commissioned members of the
7	handheld pepper spray on individuals engaged in	7	department, I believe it would go even all members
8	peaceful, non-criminal activity for the purposes of	8	of the department, and within that guideline is
9	frightening them or punishing them for exercising	9	this is the monthly use of force reminder. As part
10	their constitutional rights?	10	of that, there will be a test as far as several
11	A Yes.	11	questions regarding specific elements of use of
12	Q Thank you. If you go to Mr.	12	force.
13	Baumgartner's Declaration and look on paragraph 7,	13	And the employee reviews the order,
14	it indicates that the "temporary directive was sent	14	can review the order, it's there in its entirety,
15	to all commissioned officers via the Policy	15	and then can and then takes the test, which they
16	Acknowledgment System for review and	16	have to pass before the order is considered signed
17	acknowledgment."	17	Q Is the entirety of Special Order 1-01
18	Do you see that?	18	included as part of that communication to the
19	A I do.	19	commission officers?
20	Q Okay. The Policy Acknowledgment	20	A A link. There's a button on the side
21	System is abbreviated as the PAS System?	21	that says "Review." When you hit the "Review," it
22	A PAS System.	22	will generate the order.
23	Q Thank you. When something like the	23	Q Is it a requirement that they review
24	temporary directive is sent to all commissioners	24	the Special Order or is it sufficient that they
25	and officers using a PAS System, is a complete copy	25	simply answer the questions?
	Page 106		Page 108
1	of the temporary directive sent or is it just a	1	A They must answer the questions to
2	short, brief summary?	2	sign the order. They are not required to review
3	A No, the entire the entire	3	the order in order to sign it.
4	directive, the text of this text would be sent.	4	Q Is there a requirement that they have
5	Q Okay. Are there times when the PAS	5	to answer all the questions correctly in order to
6	System is used as a vehicle for training purposes	6	pass?
7	where, rather than attach the actual document, a	7	A Yes.
8	just one or two line sentence abbreviation is	8	Q All officers getting the same
9	provided?	9	questions at the same time?
10	A It can be used as a notification	10	A There is a multiple rotating number
11	method, much like you're describing. It can also	11	of questions that officers are sent, so it's not
12	be used as a training where it links to certain	12	the same exact test every month.
13	videos and things would be supplied.	13	Q Okay. If you look at paragraph 8 of
14	Q Okay. With respect to, for example,	14	Mr. Baumgartner's Declaration, if you take a minute
15	the use of force policy, for example when I say	15	to read that? You see that indicates that the
16	the use of force policy, what do you understand	16	temporary directive we have been looking at,
17	that to mean?	17	Exhibit B to Mr. Baumgartner's Declaration, became
18	A The use of force policy would be the	18	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 which was issued
19	policy that is outlined in Special Order 1-01.	19	on July 10, 2015.
20	Q The entirety of Special Order 1-01?	20	Do you see that?
21	A Yes.	21	A I do.
22	Q Okay. Is there is the PAS System	22	Q And it indicates in that, "Section
23	used with respect to ensuring that police officers	23	XIII of Special Order 1-01 outlines the policy for
23 24	are up to date on their knowledge of Special Order	24	deployment of chemical agents for crowd dispersal
<u>_</u> 1		25	and identifies the restrictions, consistent with
25	1-01?		

	Page 109		Page 111
1	the Settlement Agreement issued by the US District	1	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 consistent with
2	Court" in the Templeton matter; correct?	2	the terms of the Templeton Settlement Agreement,
3	A Yes.	3	why did the City choose not to include a definition
4	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that Section	4	for "chemical agents"?
5	XIII of Special Order 1-01 was implemented pursuant	5	A We didn't include a definition but
6	to the Templeton Settlement Agreement?	6	they included the listing of chemical agents under
7	A Yes.	7	Section A. Chemical agent equipment. So we didn't
8	Q Is it fair to say that in adopting	8	define "chemical agents." We listed them, those
9	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01, the City wanted	9	that were available to the SWAT unit.
10	this new provision to be consistent with the terms	10	Q Could you please compare the
11	of the Templeton Settlement Agreement?	11	definition of specifically look at the
12	A Yes.	12	definition of "chemical agents" both in the
13	Q Other than Section XIII of Special	13	Templeton Settlement Agreement and the temporary
14	Order 1-01, as of September 2017, the City have any	14	directive, and confirm to me whether all the items
15	other policies relating to when police officers	15	identified in those definitions are included in
16	could deploy chemical agents for crowd dispersal?	16	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01.
17	A No.	17	A I believe they are.
18	Q Other than Section XIII of Special	18	Q Do you see a reference to the term
19	Order 1-01, does the City presently have any other	19	"tear gas," for example?
20	policies relating to when police officers can	20	A Ido.
21	deploy chemical agents for crowd dispersal?	21	Q That's included in the Settlement
22	A No.	22	Agreement and the temporary directive; correct?
23	Q If you go to Exhibit C of Mr.	23	A It is.
24	Baumgartner's Declaration, am I correct that has	24	Q Is that included anywhere on Section
25	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01?	25	XIII, Special Order 1-01?
1	Page 110 A Yes.	1	Page 112 A It is under CS gas. CS gas is
2	Q Please take as much time as you need	2	considered a tear gas, versus OC, which is
3	to review Special Order XIII. Let me know when	3	considered a mace.
4	you're ready.	4	Q Is it your testimony that the
5	A I believe I'm ready.	5	language used under the term "chemical agent
6	Q Okay. Is this is one of the	6	equipment" in Section A of Special of Section
7	documents you specifically testified before you	7	XIII of Special Order 1-01 essentially has exactly
8	reviewed in preparing for today's deposition?	8	the same meaning as the definition of "chemical
9	A It is.	9	agents" in the Templeton Settlement Agreement and
10	Q Gotcha. Did you speak with anyone	10	the temporary directive?
11	other than the City's attorneys with respect to	11	A Yes.
12	Special Order XIII?	12	Q Okay. I think we have established
13	A I did not.	13	unequivocally that it's the City's understanding
14	Q And I misspoke. Section XIII of	14	that under the Templeton Settlement Agreement and
15	Special Order 1-01.	15	the temporary directive, that they both include
16	A No, I'm sorry, I did not.	16	pepper spray in any form, whether deployed using a
17	Q And am I correct that nowhere in	17	handheld device or a fogger; correct?
18	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 is there a	18	A Yes.
19	definition for the term "chemical agents"?	19	Q Is it the City's understanding that
20	A There is not.	20	handheld pepper spray is or is not included under
	Q Okay. Help me understand, if the	21	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01?
21	•	22	A I believe it would be included.
21 22	City's stated objective was to issue Special Order		
	strike that.	23	Q Is there any training provided to
22	-		

	Page 113		Page 115
1	Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 or is it	1	under Section XIII, and here is which one applies
2	exclusively covered under the Section VI that we	2	under what circumstances.
3	looked at before about handheld pepper spray?	3	Has that ever been done, to your
4	Mace?	4	knowledge?
5	A As it relates to dispersement and	5	A No.
6	crowd, it would be listed here in XIII. As it	6	Q Okay.
7	relates to the individual officers' use for	7	MR. DIERKER: Excuse me. But we've
8	affecting arrests of individuals under general	8	been referring to Section VI.
9	circumstances, the deployment methodology is listed	9	A It's actually Section IV.
10	in Section VI.	10	MR. DIERKER: And it's actually
11	Q Would you agree with me that it's not	11	Section IV.
12	difficult to envision circumstances where those two	12	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) When I said Section
13	circumstances conflate and get very close to one	13	VI in the last few minutes a couple times, you
14	another?	14	understood I was referring to Section IV, which is
15	A Yes. I would agree with that.	15	the provision we looked at and we marked before
16	Q For example, in the situation of a	16	dealing with handheld mace; correct?
17	kettle, a police officer standing at that moment,	17	A I understood you to be referring to
18	trying to interact with a protester, in his or her	18	the section of the order that refers to mace.
19	mind could think, I am under my actions are	19	Q Thank you very much, and thank you
20	governed by Section VI because I'm trying to	20	for correcting me. I said it once and no one
21	effectuate an arrest, or equally could think, no,	21	corrected me, so I kept saying it.
22	my actions are governed by Section XIII because	22	MR. DIERKER: Well, I needed to
23	we're trying to disperse the heck out of this crowd	23	double check myself, so.
24	and they've ignored dispersal orders.	24	A And I think that was my fault. I
25	That's very plausible; right?	25	misquoted the Roman numerals in my initial
	Page 114		Page 116
1	A Yes.	1	statement.
2	MR. DIERKER: I'm a little late in my	2	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm still trying to
3	objection to the form, but	3	understand why, from a practical perspective, when
4	MR. PRAISS: It's quite all right.	4	the issue when the City issued the Templeton
5	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And recognizing that	5	the temporary directive, it made a conscious
6	the scenario that I'm laying out there is quite	6	decision to track the language in the Templeton
7	possible plausible, I misspoke, my question is,	7	Settlement Agreement. You recall that?
8	again, are you aware of any specific training	8	A I was not part of the creation of the
9	provided to police officers who find themself in	9	temporary directive, but yes, the intent was to
10	that situation where they're trying to decide am I	10	mirror the Settlement Agreement.
11	going to be under Section VI or Section XIII of	11	Q And you've testified as a
	Special Order 1-01 in terms of how I use this	12	representative that the same intent applied when
12	•		
12 13	handheld device?	13	the City adopted Section XIII of Special Order
	·	13 14	the City adopted Section XIII of Special Order 1-01. Correct?
13	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that.	14 15	
13 14	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific	14	1-01. Correct?A Yes.Q Recognizing that, is there a reason
13 14 15	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that.	14 15	1-01. Correct? A Yes.
13 14 15 16	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents	14 15 16	1-01. Correct?A Yes.Q Recognizing that, is there a reason
13 14 15 16 17 18	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've discussed.	14 15 16 17 18 19	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just blended it in in different sections of 1 and 2
13 14 15 16 17 18	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've	14 15 16 17 18	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've discussed. Q Are you aware of any specific communications using the PAS System where it was	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just blended it in in different sections of 1 and 2
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've discussed. Q Are you aware of any specific communications using the PAS System where it was communicated to police officers at any time, before	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just blended it in in different sections of 1 and 2 under the heading A? If you are aware of any reason? A I'm not aware of any reason.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've discussed. Q Are you aware of any specific communications using the PAS System where it was communicated to police officers at any time, before or after the Stockley protests, saying there's two	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just blended it in in different sections of 1 and 2 under the heading A? If you are aware of any reason? A I'm not aware of any reason. Q Okay. But it is your testimony, as
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	handheld device? A I am not aware of any specific training related to that. Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents that deal with that issue? A No, not outside the orders we've discussed. Q Are you aware of any specific communications using the PAS System where it was communicated to police officers at any time, before	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1-01. Correct? A Yes. Q Recognizing that, is there a reason why the City did not track and include that same definition for "chemical agents" but rather just blended it in in different sections of 1 and 2 under the heading A? If you are aware of any reason? A I'm not aware of any reason.

Page 117		Page 119
with crowd dispersal, is included within the terms	1	Q that captures that moment when the
and requirements of Section XIII of Special Order	2	police came in from four different directions and
1-01?	3	surrounded a bunch of protesters?
A Yes.	4	A I will say this picture indicates
Q Okay. So to the extent an officer in	5	what appears to be a mass arrest scenario.
connection with let's say the kettle understood	6	Q Do you recognize this to be at the
they were trying to disperse the individuals for	7	corner of Washington and Tucker where the kettle
failure to heed their warnings of dispersal, that	8	took place?
officer's conduct should be judged under Section	9	A Yes.
the terms of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01?	10	Q Gotcha. And the timing of it
A Yes.	11	corresponds to when the kettle took place, around
Q Okay.	12	11:30 p.m.; correct?
MR. DIERKER: Would now be a good	13	A Yes.
time to break for lunch?	14	Q Can you see, literally right in the
MR. PRAISS: This is probably less	15	middle of the picture, there is a nice foggy area
than five minutes. A few questions here and	16	there.
even though this was previously marked, could you	17	Do you see that?
add the stickers?	18	A Mm-hmm, I do.
(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 8	19	Q Do you recognize that to be spray
marked for identification by the court reporter.)	20	from a fogger being used against the individuals in
Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm	21	that area?
going to hand you what's been marked as Deposition	22	A It could be. I can't say what it is.
Exhibit 8. Do you have that?	23	Q Okay. Would you agree with me that
A Yes, you've given it to me.	24	in the situation assuming that when the judge
Q Do you see at the very top it	25	looks at the video and you watch it slowly, it
Page 118		Page 120
indicates this is a picture that was taken from	1	becomes abundantly clear that there is an OC spray
Washington and Tucker?	2	being used to effectuate against the people there.
A Okay.	3	A Mm-hmm.
Q Do you see the number 2? Do you know	4	Q Help me understand, in light of the
what that signifies, if anything, next to	5	Section XIII of Section 1-01, that includes the
Washington and Tucker top left?	6	requirement that chemical agents would not be used
A I assume it's a camera.	7	unless the third requirement being that the impact
Q And if you look carefully on the	8	of chemical agents on individuals who are complying
bottom in blue, you can read that it looks like it	9	with lawful law enforcement commands is minimized,
was taken around 11:30 p.m. on September 17, 2017.	10	how is the use of a fogger in this situation
Do you see that?	11	consistent with that restriction?
A Yes, it appears to be a time stamp.	12	MR. DIERKER: I'll object to the form
Q Do you recognize this to be a picture	13	of the question, it assumes facts not in evidence,
of the police surrounding the individuals in what	14	calls for an opinion, speculation. But you may
is known as the kettle?	15	answer.
A It is a picture that represents	16	A At this point, they may be effecting
officers around individuals. We don't in the	17	arrests and there may be resistings occurring. I
police department recognize the term "kettle," so	18	can't say that, at this point in time, that the
p	1 10	four elements of giving the warning, attempting to
any questions that you have on that, I'm a bit	19	
-	20	get those who wished to comply the opportunity to
any questions that you have on that, I'm a bit		get those who wished to comply the opportunity to comply, that has or has not occurred, I can't say,
any questions that you have on that, I'm a bit Q You do know we have two topics that	20	
any questions that you have on that, I'm a bit Q You do know we have two topics that use the term "kettle"?	20 21	comply, that has or has not occurred, I can't say,
any questions that you have on that, I'm a bit Q You do know we have two topics that use the term "kettle"? A Yes, I saw that in here, but	20 21 22	comply, that has or has not occurred, I can't say, but, you know, based on this picture, it is very
	with crowd dispersal, is included within the terms and requirements of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01? A Yes. Q Okay. So to the extent an officer in connection with let's say the kettle understood they were trying to disperse the individuals for failure to heed their warnings of dispersal, that officer's conduct should be judged under Section — the terms of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01? A Yes. Q Okay. MR. DIERKER: Would now be a good time to break for lunch? MR. PRAISS: This is probably less than five minutes. A few questions here and — even though this was previously marked, could you add the stickers? (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 8 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit 8. Do you have that? A Yes, you've given it to me. Q Do you see at the very top it Page 118 indicates this is a picture that was taken from Washington and Tucker? A Okay. Q Do you see the number 2? Do you know what that signifles, if anything, next to Washington and Tucker top left? A I assume it's a camera. Q And if you look carefully on the bottom in blue, you can read that it looks like it was taken around 11:30 p.m. on September 17, 2017. Do you see that? A Yes, it appears to be a time stamp. Q Do you recognize this to be a picture of the police surrounding the individuals in what is known as the kettle? A It is a picture that represents	with crowd dispersal, is included within the terms and requirements of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01? A Yes. Q Okay. So to the extent an officer in connection with let's say the kettle understood they were trying to disperse the individuals for failure to heed their warnings of dispersal, that officer's conduct should be judged under Section — the terms of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01? A Yes. Q Okay. MR. DIERKER: Would now be a good time to break for lunch? MR. PRAISS: This is probably less than five minutes. A few questions here and — even though this was previously marked, could you add the stickers? (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 8 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit 8. Do you have that? A Yes, you've given it to me. Q Do you see at the very top it Page 118 indicates this is a picture that was taken from Washington and Tucker? A Okay. Q Do you see the number 2? Do you know what that signifies, if anything, next to Washington and Tucker top left? A I assume it's a camera. Q And if you look carefully on the bottom in blue, you can read that it looks like it was taken around 11:30 p.m. on September 17, 2017. Do you see that? A Yes, it appears to be a time stamp. Q Do you recognize this to be a picture of the police surrounding the individuals in what is known as the kettle? A It is a picture that represents

		Page 12
1	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And with that in	1 Q To the extent it was used rather than
2	mind, why is the use of a fogger used specifically	2 handheld device, do you agree that that likely
3	in these context, knowing that it, by definition,	3 violated the restrictions about trying to minimize
4	is contrary to the restriction about minimizing the	4 the impact of chemical agents on individuals who
5	harm to other people who are being compliant and	5 were compliant because instead a handheld device
6	lawful?	6 should have been used?
7	A I can't answer that.	7 A Can you give that to me again?
8	Q Again, you're the City's	8 Q Sure. I think we've established that
9	representative, so the buck stops with you for	9 at different points in time, and in particular in
10	better or worse today, Mr. Larson	connection with the kettle, high-capacity,
11	A I understand, sir.	11 extended-range OC spray was utilized; correct?
12	Q and you are on the hot seat.	12 A Correct.
13	A Yes.	13 Q And I think we've established that
14	Q You know, this is the Special Order	the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray
15	that governs the dispersal, the deployment of	15 covers a larger area than a handheld pepper spray
16	chemical agents for crowd dispersal. Under	16 correct?
17	Chemical Agent Equipment it specifically identifies	17 A Correct.
18	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray being issued	18 Q And as a result, the use of
19	to sergeants; correct?	19 high-capacity, extended-range OC spray likely will
20	A Yes.	20 impact individuals who may be lawful and compliar
21	Q That high-capacity, extended-range OC	21 correct?
22	spray, by definition, covers a large area unlike a	22 A It can.
23	handheld pepper spray device; correct?	23 Q And my question to you is, do you
24	A It does.	agree that the use of high-capacity, extended-rang
25	Q Okay. And am I correct that	25 OC spray in the context of, let's say, the kettle,
	D 400	l 5 40
	Page 122	Page 12
1	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact	where there was a significant number of people,
2	_	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got
2	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct?	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld
2 3 4	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was.	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order
2 3 4 5	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy,	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC
2 3 4 5 6	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact?
2 3 4 5 6 7	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions device.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions denot apply to situations that turn violent when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions device is the statement that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order?	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions de not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question.	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions de not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool,	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions de not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a tool that is available for use and, therefore, we	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that. A Of course you are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have — allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as — it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the — on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a tool that is available for use and, therefore, we don't restrict the use of it.	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that. A Of course you are. Q In connection with the kettle and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have — allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as — it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the — on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a tool that is available for use and, therefore, we don't restrict the use of it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You are aware that	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that. A Of course you are. Q In connection with the kettle and I know you may not like the term but it's an easy,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a tool that is available for use and, therefore, we don't restrict the use of it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You are aware that high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that. A Of course you are. Q In connection with the kettle and I know you may not like the term but it's an easy, short-term abbreviation for what happened around.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray was in fact utilized in connection with the Stockley protest; correct? A It was. Q My question to you is, as a policy, why does the City have — allow the use of high-capacity, extended-range OC spray in the context where there is a group of individuals when it's very likely that within them there may be some who are complying and acting lawfully, which would then mean it contravenes the Special Order? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question. A I would say that as — it's a tool, as any other tool, and the application for it depends on the — on the circumstances. So the fact that we are not restricting the tools that are available, it may not have been the best tool to be utilized at the time, but in the event of it, is a tool that is available for use and, therefore, we don't restrict the use of it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You are aware that	where there was a significant number of people, some of whom were acting lawfully and just got caught up in the situation, rather than handheld device, contravened Section XIII of Special Order 1-01 because a handheld device, unlike the OC spray, would have minimized the impact? A No, because the also in Special Order is the statement that the above provisions do not apply to situations that turn violent when persons at the scene present immediate threats to bodily injuries, property damage, things of that nature, so that would take out the minimization requirement there. So I think we agree in principle but perhaps in execution is where we're having the issue. Q I like the fact that you went to that provision as your so-called safety net here, Mr. Larson, but I'm going to probe a little bit about that. A Of course you are. Q In connection with the kettle and I know you may not like the term but it's an easy,

	Page 125		Page 127
1	scene such that they presented an imminent threat	1	trying to effectuate arrests; correct?
2	of bodily harm to persons or damage to property	2	A Yes, they were trying to a mass
3	when officers tried to arrest them?	3	arrest of all the individuals there.
4	A No.	4	Q But none of those individuals are
5	Q Okay. Because I have not seen any	5	acting violently and presenting imminent threat of
6	when I looked at the videos and am I correct also	6	harm to them?
7	that in fact none of the arrests were for acting	7	A I
8	violently? Nobody was charged with violent	8	Q Are you aware of any evidence, sir,
9	destruction of property in connection with the	9	facts, that somebody was acting in a violent manner
10	kettle; correct?	10	and presented imminent threat of harm that was
11	A Not in connection with the kettle.	11	documented by the police from the incident that
12	Q So I'll go back my question where you	12	occurred at 11:30 at Tucker and Washington?
13	resorted to what I'm calling your little safety net	13	A I am not.
14	here.	14	Q Thank you. So again, with that in
15	A Sure.	15	mind, the police officers that were congregating
16	Q In connection with the kettle, where	16	around the people there, they had a choice; would
17	we've now established there was no evidence	17	you agree with me? Option 1, they can go and
18	there is no evidence of anybody acting in a violent	18	effectuate their arrests using a handheld pepper
19	manner that presented imminent threat or bodily	19	spray device; correct?
20	harm to persons or of damage to property, am I	20	A Mm-hmm.
21	correct that the use of the high-capacity,	21	Q Is that a yes?
22	extended-range OC spray, rather than the handheld	22	A Yes.
23	pepper spray, contravened the terms of Section XIII	23	Q Or they can do it using the
24	of Special Order 1-01 because, by definition, it	24	high-capacity, extended-range OC spray; correct?
25	was going to impact a greater number of individuals	25	A Correct.
	Page 126		Page 128
1	who were compliant with law enforcement?	1	Q And by definition, we have
2	A No, I don't think so. I'm confused	2	established that the high-capacity, extended-range
3	over the totality of the circumstances that took	3	OC spray, by its nature, is going to cover a larger
4	place during the effecting the arrests as far as	4	range and spray a bunch of people?
5	utilizing this tool or not utilizing the tool.	5	A Yes.
6	Q I'm going to go through it one more	6	Q If the requirements of the Section
7	time and I'll accept your answer, whatever it is at	7	XIII, the third one is specifically saying that you
8	the end, but I'm a little perplexed here.	8	cannot, per the terms of the Settlement Agreement,
9	A Okay.	9	deploy chemical agents without satisfying all four
10	Q We have a situation where around	10	elements and the third one is to minimize the
11	11:30 there is a kettle, there is a group of	11	impact on individuals, shouldn't those officers
12	people.	12	have been required to use handheld device rather
13	A Okay.	13	than the OC spray?
14	Q You agree with me that it's likely	14	A I'm sorry, I'm processing.
15	that within that group of people some people	15	Q Take your time.
16	weren't even protesters, they just got caught up in	16	A It would have been better to minimize
17	the situation; correct?	17	the effects, I think, that to than using the
18	A I believe that is one of the	18	fogger. So to minimize the effects to those who
19	contentions.	19	are not who are compliant. But yes.
20	Q Yes. And we established that there's	20	Q I'll accept that.
21	no evidence that anybody was acting violently and	21	A Okay.
22	presented imminent threat of harm at that moment in	22	Q Let's do one more picture and then
23	time around 11:30 at night; correct?	23	we'll take a break.
24	A Yes, we have established that.	24	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 9
		25	marked for identification by the court reporter.)
25	Q Okay. Police officers had were	23	marked for identification by the court reporter.

	Page 129	Page 131
1	-	1 Q Thank you.
2		2 MR. PRAISS: Why don't we take a
3	have Exhibit 9 in front of you? A I do.	3 break.
3 4		
5	Q This is another picture, if you look	, , ,
5 6	again at the top, it's indicates from the	
7	Washington and Tucker location? A Yes.	· ·
8		
9	Q And the time stamp on this one is just a few minutes before. It's at 11:25, again on	3 .,
10	September 17, 2017.	9 A I will, thank you. 10 Q I want to close off a few more
11	Do you see that?	11 questions and then we'll move on to a new topic, I
12	A Yes.	12 assure you, but I still want to focus on topics 12
13	Q There is a if you look at the	13 through 15, make sure I've covered everything.
14	picture on the right side of it, do you see a	14 A Okay.
15	police officer with a gas mask on and his right	15 Q If you look at the exhibit that was
16	hand extended?	16 the Declaration of Mr. Baumgartner, do you have
17	A Yes.	17 that? I think it's number 7?
18	Q And can you tell that that officer is	18 A Ido.
19	holding in his right hand an extended	
20	high-capacity, OC spray device?	19 Q And if you go to Exhibit C within 20 that which is Section XIII of Special Order 1-01?
21	A That's what it appears to be.	21 A Yes.
22	Q And do you see just right outside of	22 Q There is the reference under Section
23	it a mist in that area?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Again, in that situation the officer	24 also issued to Sergeants and available at the Area 25 Stations."
	Again, in that situation the officer	25 Stations.
	Page 130	Page 132
1	is directing that OC spray, it appears, based on	1 A Yes.
2	the position of his hand, at the person who is	2 Q I just wanted to ask it, other than
3	standing with his hands up, or I don't know who	3 sergeants, does anybody else have use of had use
4	else. Can you see who else it's pointing at?	4 of extended-range OC spray in connection with the
5	A It's pointing in the general	5 Stockley protests?
6	directions of an individual with his hands up and	6 A It was issued primarily to
7	there is an individual that appears to be in front	7 supervisors. I can't say that it wasn't issued or
8	of the officer. That's moving downward.	8 delegated to someone else, but for the most part,
9	Q Oh, the when you say "moving	9 the high-capacity, extended-range deployment
10	downward," are you pointing to the lady with her	10 canisters was for the sergeants only.
11	hands down?	11 Q And when it has a reference to the
12	A I'm pointing to this individual here.	term "Area Stations," what is that referring to?
13	Q Okay. Do you agree with me that the	13 A That refers to the three area patrol
14	use of the OC spray device in that situation, by	14 divisions. We have a north patrol, a central
15	definition, would impact a great deal of people	patrol, and a south patrol division.
16	because they're all congregating in a very small	16 Q Okay. If you go to on the next
17	area?	page of it, there's Section D, Required Reporting?
18	A Yes.	18 A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Do you agree with me again, it	19 Q And it indicates that, "When chemical
20	would have been preferable, at a minimum, to use	agents are deployed for crowd dispersal, the
21	your language, to use a handheld pepper spray	21 Incident Commander will ensure that an I/LEADS,"
22	device rather than the OC spray if your goal is to	all capital, "report is created to document their
		1 00 # 101
23	be compliant with the terms of Section XIII of	use," and it lays out certain details that have to
	be compliant with the terms of Section XIII of Special Order 1-01? A Yes.	23 use," and it lays out certain details that have to 24 be included. 25 Do you see that?

A It can be done both ways. It's enerally reports are created based on arrest iteria, because when we arrest an individual, we seed their pedigree information, their charge formation, all of that, and that revolves around specific complaint number. If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
enerally reports are created based on arrest iteria, because when we arrest an individual, we seed their pedigree information, their charge formation, all of that, and that revolves around specific complaint number. If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
iteria, because when we arrest an individual, we seed their pedigree information, their charge formation, all of that, and that revolves around specific complaint number. If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
seed their pedigree information, their charge formation, all of that, and that revolves around specific complaint number. If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
formation, all of that, and that revolves around specific complaint number. If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
If multiple arrests are occurring in specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
specific vicinity with the a substantially me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
me group of officers, it could all be included in the complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple complaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
ne complaint number. If this was multiple cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
cations, multiple commanders, then it might be cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
cluded the incidents may come under multiple implaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
omplaint numbers. Q For example, in the situation of the
Q For example, in the situation of the
• •
ettle on September 17, 2017, if at that evening
veral different officers deployed chemical agents
different groups of individual, would it have
een appropriate to have one single I/LEADS repor
several?
A It would most likely be most
propriate to have one large because we're dealing
th one large incident in the downtown area.
owever, that's not to say there weren't other
ports made based on, you know, if other officers
the fourth district may have made an arrest
owntown, that would have a separate complaint
ımber.
Q And to your knowledge, was an I/LEADS
port prepared either in the single or plural in
nnection with the Stockley protests?
A I believe there were reports
epared, yes.
Q Okay. Is it your understanding,
ring to be thorough here, that I/LEADS report,
ther in plural or single, were prepared in
nnection with the protests at Page and Walton
at are referenced in the Molina litigation?
A Yes.
Q And if I understood you correctly
efore, your testimony was that Section XIII of
•
Jecial ()tdet 1-()) that we be looking at applies to
pecial Order 1-01 that we're looking at applies to e same chemical agents that were referenced in
e same chemical agents that were referenced in
e same chemical agents that were referenced in e Templeton Settlement Agreement as well as the
e same chemical agents that were referenced in e Templeton Settlement Agreement as well as the mporary directive; correct?
e same chemical agents that were referenced in e Templeton Settlement Agreement as well as the mporary directive; correct? A Yes.
e same chemical agents that were referenced in e Templeton Settlement Agreement as well as the mporary directive; correct? A Yes. Q And such that this Section XIII of
e same chemical agents that were referenced in e Templeton Settlement Agreement as well as the mporary directive; correct? A Yes.
>t

	Page 137		Page 139
1	A Yes.	1	prepare to testify as a representative with respect
2	Q Okay. And in those situations, to	2	to topic 13?
3	the extent that a police officer used handheld mace	3	A I reviewed the Special Order, I
4	in connection with the kettle, would an I/LEADS	4	reviewed one of the training materials that the
5	report have to be generated or reference that	5	academy provided relative to CDT deployment and the
6	incident?	6	CDT operations which referenced chemical agents and
7	A Yeah, in a mass arrest scenario, if	7	the necessity to provide warrants.
8	an officer was deploying mace to take individuals	8	Q I, off the top of my head, can't
9	into custody, it should be documented, yes.	9	visualize that document, so unless we can go off
10	Q Okay. So this gets to, in my mind a	10	the record.
11	little bit, that blurry line between Section IV,	11	(Off the record.)
12	Special Order 1-01 versus Section XIII. When an	12	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, you just
13	officer deploys handheld pepper spray under the	13	described an exhibit and it sounds like, with
14	terms of Section IV, Special Order 1-01, is an	14	respect to the training exhibit, we were going to
15	I/LEADS report required?	15	try and locate that and later on this afternoon
16	A It is.	16	I'll ask you a few questions on it.
17	Q It is?	17	Other than that one which you
18	A Yes, under Section IV, it's a use of	18	described as a two-page document dealing with
19	force; therefore, it would require an I/LEADS	19	training issues, did you review any other documents
20	report.	20	that relate to topic 13?
21	Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying that	21	A I don't believe so.
22	for me. Just want to now close the loop on some of	22	Q Okay. Other than that document,
23	these topics if you have them in front of you.	23	which we'll look at in more detail later, are you
24	A Sure.	24	aware of any other training materials that were
25	Q Topic 12, I'll read it for the	25	provided to police officers concerning under what
	Page 138		Page 140
1	record, it says, "The City of St. Louis's policies	1	circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents
2	and/or practices concerning under what	2	regardless of size of container?
3	circumstances police officers can use handheld	3	A No, other than what we discussed
4	chemical agents, regardless of size of container."	4	previously today, the training document supplied by
5	Do you see that?	5	the law department in August of 2017. That
6	A Yes.	6	training document I reviewed. I mean, it was a
7	Q Is it fair to say that you have	7	classroom document.
8	provided me strike that.	8	Q No, I'm not looking at things you
9	Is it fair to say that the testimony	9	reviewed. I'm looking at when I'm asking about
10	you've provided with respect to Section IV of	10	training, it's training to the police officers in
11	Special Order 1-01, as well as Section XIII of	11	general.
12	Special Order 1-01, cover all the circumstance when	12	A Right.
1.0	a handheld chemical agent could be used, regardless	13	Q And we the document we looked at
13	of size or container?	14	before, which was Exhibit Number 6, that was, if I
13 14		15	recall your testimony you provided, just the senior
	A Yes.	1 -3	
14		16	staff?
14 15	A Yes.		staff? A Yes.
14 15 16	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the	16	
14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper	16 17	A Yes.
14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper spray in different circumstances?	16 17 18	A Yes.Q And it wasn't disseminated, as far as
14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper spray in different circumstances? A No, I believe those are the two.	16 17 18 19	A Yes. Q And it wasn't disseminated, as far as you know, to all police officers?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper spray in different circumstances? A No, I believe those are the two. Q Okay. Go to topic 13. That one	16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q And it wasn't disseminated, as far as you know, to all police officers? A As far as I know.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper spray in different circumstances? A No, I believe those are the two. Q Okay. Go to topic 13. That one focuses on training with respect to exactly what I	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q And it wasn't disseminated, as far as you know, to all police officers? A As far as I know. Q Okay. Now you have just testified
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q There is no other provisions that the City has that deal with the use of handheld pepper spray in different circumstances? A No, I believe those are the two. Q Okay. Go to topic 13. That one focuses on training with respect to exactly what I just read about Section 12.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q And it wasn't disseminated, as far as you know, to all police officers? A As far as I know. Q Okay. Now you have just testified there's about a two-page document that you do

	Page 141		Page 143
1	discussing the outline of the training program for	1	A Yes.
2	the materials that then Sergeant Jemerson, now	2	Q That was in place in September 2017
3	Lieutenant Jemerson, would have conducted during	3	and still in place today; correct?
4	CDT training.	4	A It is.
5	Q Okay. Other than that outline, are	5	Q It's never been amended?
6	you aware of any actual written materials that Mr.	6	A No.
7	Jemerson or somebody else uses in training police	7	Q Okay. Is there anything about
8	officers concerning under what circumstance they	8	strike that.
9	can use handheld chemical agents?	9	Finally topic 15 goes with topic 14
10	A No.	10	but simply asks for the training that's provided to
11	Q Do you know if any such training	11	police officers with respect to under what
12	materials exist in writing?	12	circumstance they can deploy chemical agents at
13	A To the best of my knowledge, those	13	protesters?
14	would all be incorporated in the document that we	14	A Right.
15	turned over relative to the other deposition	15	Q Okay. Other than the two exhibits
16	request, so but no, I did not review them.	16	that you just referenced in response to my
17	Q When you say "the other document,"	17	questions for topic 13, are you aware strike
18	you're referring to the two-page document?	18	that. I apologize.
19	A I'm referring to that as incorporated	19	The two documents that you just
20	into a large lesson plan of series of materials	20	recently testified with respect to my questions
21	that speak specifically to this issue, I believe.	21	relating to topic 13, is it your understanding that
22	MR. PRAISS: Go off the record real	22	those documents are also responsive to topic 15?
23	quick.	23	A Yes.
24	(Off the record.)	24	Q Okay. Other than those two
25	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Other than the	25	documents, are you aware of any other documents
1	Page 142 two-page document that we'll hopefully get in the	1	Page 144 that have ever been provided to police officers
2		1	
2	next couple hours and the POST document that you	2	concerning under what circumstance they can deploy
3	next couple hours and the POST document that you just referenced, are you aware of any other	2 3	concerning under what circumstance they can deploy chemical agents at protesters?
	•		
3	just referenced, are you aware of any other	3	chemical agents at protesters?
3 4	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to	3 4	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not.
3 4 5	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances	3 4 5	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the
3 4 5 6	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents?	3 4 5 6	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but
3 4 5 6 7	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not.	3 4 5 6 7	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only
3 4 5 6 7 8	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next	3 4 5 6 7 8	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. Sorry.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from – we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy, moving forward since 2014, and that's date of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. Sorry. Q You have given us quite a bit of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy, moving forward since 2014, and that's date of the training program, has been trained in CDT tactics,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. Sorry. Q You have given us quite a bit of testimony with respect to Section XIII of Special	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy, moving forward since 2014, and that's date of the training program, has been trained in CDT tactics, deployment, and when chemical munitions can and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. Sorry. Q You have given us quite a bit of testimony with respect to Section XIII of Special Order 1-01.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy, moving forward since 2014, and that's date of the training program, has been trained in CDT tactics, deployment, and when chemical munitions can and should be used or should not be used in civil
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just referenced, are you aware of any other training materials that have been provided to police officers concerning under what circumstances they can use handheld chemical agents? A No, I am not. Q Thank you. Could you look real quick at the next topic? I think we are on 14. A Okay. Q And this one is similar to topic 12 but focuses about the City's policies and practices concerning under what circumstance police officers can deploy chemical agents at protesters; correct? A Mm-hmm. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. Sorry. Q You have given us quite a bit of testimony with respect to Section XIII of Special Order 1-01. A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	chemical agents at protesters? A I am not. Q Okay. Again, I don't have the benefit of those two documents in front of me but separate from — we know that those are the only documents that you're aware of, that have been used in training police officers about the use of chemical agents, whether in a protest action or in a different situation. How often is that training provided to police officers? A Relating to the documents that I'm referring to, the CDT outline, it is provided during CDT classes at the Police Academy. So every officer who is a graduate of the Police Academy, moving forward since 2014, and that's date of the training program, has been trained in CDT tactics, deployment, and when chemical munitions can and should be used or should not be used in civil disturbance cases.

	Page 145		Page 147
1	A Correct.	1	Q Did you take any steps to learn that?
2	Q Okay. What about officers prior to	2	And I'm not trying to be mean here
3	2014 where we don't have the benefit of this	3	A No.
4	outline?	4	Q but I'm doing my job.
5	A Right. Officers prior to that time,	5	A Right.
6	I mean, the we created the Civil Disobedience	6	Q I need to know, in preparing to
7	Teams and civil disobedience training was conducted	7	testify about topics 12 and 15, did you do any
8	during the creation of those individual teams.	8	what efforts did you take to determine what
9	Since we've been doing ongoing training of getting	9	specific training materials were being used prior
10	more people trained within the City of St. Louis	10	to 2014 with respect to the deployment of chemical
11	Police Department to be CDT qualified, we've been	11	agents?
12	doing that. So there were trainings that took	12	MR. DIERKER: If I could interject, I
13	place to get members onto the CDT team and then	13	assume you're within the relevant time period
14	there's ongoing trainings at the academy. For new	14	starting September 15, 2012. Is that
15	members. And there's refresher training as well	15	MR. PRAISS: Yes, all my questions,
16	that is handled by the SWAT Team on units,	16	but there was a gap from 2012 and 2014 that I'm
17	mobility, lot formations and deployments and how	17	trying to determine.
18	and when they should be deployed.	18	MR. DIERKER: That's fine. I just
19	Q Thank you. Your answer raises a few	19	want to be clear you weren't going back to the
20	follow-up questions.	20	foundation.
21	A I'm sure they do.	21	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question is,
22	Q Yes, but I want to break it in	22	what steps did you take to determine what materials
23	pieces. First of all, two documents that you've	23	were used in training police officers about the
24	referenced in responding to the topics dealing with	24	deployment of chemical agents for the relevant time
25	training, one of them we know is dated 2014; right?	25	period beginning in September of 2012 until 2014?
	Page 146		D 140
	. ago c		Page 148
1		1	A None.
	A Correct.		A None.
2	A Correct.	1 2 3	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no
	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name	2	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training
2	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it?	2	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making
2 3 4	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents.	2 3 4	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption?
2 3 4 5 6	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one,	2 3 4 5	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct.
2 3 4 5	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents.	2 3 4 5 6	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy. The SWAT Team would be responsible for their training related to the use of chemical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents? A I assume they had documents but I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy. The SWAT Team would be responsible for their training related to the use of chemical munitions, launchers, things of that nature. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents? A I assume they had documents but I don't know. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy. The SWAT Team would be responsible for their training related to the use of chemical munitions, launchers, things of that nature. They go through training programs related to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents? A I assume they had documents but I don't know. Q Okay. A I would assume any training that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy. The SWAT Team would be responsible for their training related to the use of chemical munitions, launchers, things of that nature. They go through training programs related to that specific. The SWAT commander, CDT commander,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Correct. Q The second document, what's the name I should use for it? A I believe it would be the POST accreditation documents. Q Okay. What's the date of that one, if you know? A I don't know. Q Is it after 2014 or before? A I don't know. Q Prior to 2014, sitting here today as the corporate representative, do you know what documents, if any, were used in training police officers about the use — the deployment of chemical agents? A Oh, I don't — I don't know. Q Do you know if they had any documents? A I assume they had documents but I don't know. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A None. Q And sitting here today you have no knowledge one way or the other whether any training materials did or didn't exist, you're just making an assumption? A Right. Correct. Q And focusing on the time period, the entire time period, do you know who were the individuals who were responsible for training police officers with respect to the deployment of chemical agents? A There is ongoing training through the Police Academy on the how to use a chemical agent, so how an officer uses their handheld mace. That is an ongoing training process. The records of that would be within the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department Academy. The SWAT Team would be responsible for their training related to the use of chemical munitions, launchers, things of that nature. They go through training programs related to that

	Page 149		Page 151
1	not to use. Related to civil disturbances. And	1	relates to how to use chemical agents and I can't
2	among others. I mean, there were other individuals	2	say on when. I believe it is covered.
3	in that role other than Lieutenant Jemerson at the	3	Q We're not going to spend very much
4	time. He wasn't the SWAT commander during	4	time on it but I'd like to at least cover with you
5	Stockley.	5	briefly topics 16 and 17.
6	Q If you know, the topics 15 topic 13,	6	A Okay.
7	they're focusing not about how to use chemical	7	Q And again, these deal with, for lack
8	agents but when it's appropriate to use it and when	8	of a better term, "kettle"?
9	it's not appropriate.	9	A Mm-hmm.
10	Do you understand that?	10	Q And when I use the term "kettle," you
11	A I do, and that would be part of the	11	understand that's referring to what transpired on
12	on the training on deployment. It's how we do	12	September 17, 2017, around 11:30 at night, downtown
13	it. How and when you would use chemical agents.	13	St. Louis?
14	Q And the sum and substance is that, if	14	A Yes.
15	I want to understand strike that.	15	Q And you've indicated that the City
16	If I want to look at what training	16	itself doesn't use that term at all; correct?
17	materials exist that, to your knowledge, from 2012	17	A That is correct.
18	until the present, with respect to when police	18	Q And nevertheless, if it's okay with
19	officers can employ chemical agents, I just need to	19	you, I'll use it just as an abbreviation; is that
20	look at those two documents, the 2014 document you	20	okay?
21	described as well as the POST accreditation and, to	21	A Correct.
22	your knowledge, there are no other documents that	22	Q To your knowledge, at any time, did
23	have anything in writing that says when it's	23	the City have any policies or practices concerning
24	appropriate to use chemical agents?	24	the use of a kettle, referring to police officers
25	A At this time, that's correct.	25	coming in from four different directions to entrap
		1	
	D 450		D 452
	Page 150		Page 152
1	Page 150 Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in	1	Page 152 a group of people that they want to arrest?
2	-	2	
	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in	2 3	a group of people that they want to arrest?
2	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when	2 3 4	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training	2 3 4 5	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic.
2 3 4	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when	2 3 4 5 6	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used?	2 3 4 5 6 7	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of	2 3 4 5 6	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As	2 3 4 5 6 7	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover use of force during that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters? A We have not. We're waiting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover use of force during that. Q During that use of force, do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters? A We have not. We're waiting on determination of several things to revise or revamp
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover use of force during that. Q During that use of force, do you have personal can you testify as a corporate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters? A We have not. We're waiting on determination of several things to revise or revamp certain aspects of our Special Orders to determine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover use of force during that. Q During that use of force, do you have personal can you testify as a corporate representative under oath that there is actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters? A We have not. We're waiting on determination of several things to revise or revamp certain aspects of our Special Orders to determine we're not looking, as this kettle or mass arrest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. I'll take a look at those in great detail after the deposition. Do you know how long the training takes with respect to the circumstance when chemical agents should be used? A I do not. I know that it is part of I believe a 16-hour course on CDT deployment. As to how many hours or minutes, I don't know. Q And you mentioned something about a refresher, I think, in one of your answers? A Yes. Q Is that refresher done through the PAS System or is that in person? A It's generally a method in which the SWAT Team creates a training day, the members of the CDT have to respond to the training day, and they work on the mechanical tactics and they cover use of force during that. Q During that use of force, do you have personal can you testify as a corporate representative under oath that there is actually information about when it's appropriate to use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a group of people that they want to arrest? A No. We do not have a policy outlining use of that tactic. Q To your knowledge, has the City ever used that tactic prior to September 17, 2017? A To the best of my knowledge, no. Q Did simply the incident commander or other individuals on the ground that evening come up with that approach on their own, out of thin air? A I believe so. Q Gotcha. Since September 17, 2017, has the City considered adopting any guidelines, policies or practices with respect to under what circumstance, if any, it is appropriate to use an approach such as a kettle in dealing with protesters? A We have not. We're waiting on determination of several things to revise or revamp certain aspects of our Special Orders to determine we're not looking, as this kettle or mass arrest scenario is has come become a topic of

	Page 153		Page 155
1	methods should or could be utilize.	1	email. I don't believe l've seen this email
2	Q In a nutshell, am I hearing you	2	previously.
3	correctly that the City basically is not doing	3	Q Just for the record, so at least the
4	anything to modify or change any of its policies or	4	record is clear, this is an email dated October 11,
5	practices that relate to police interaction with	5	2017, from Carl Filler, F-i-I-I-e-r, to the mayor
6	protesters until this case is fully resolved?	6	of the City of St. Louis and Nicole Hudson.
7	A No, I wouldn't make it as declaratory	7	Do you see that?
8	as you have made it. I mean, I think we are	8	A Ido.
9	reviewing all types of information and we're	9	Q And it's referring to "Critical
10	constantly trying to figure out how we can do	10	Incident Review Draft Scope of Works"?
11	things better, how can we gain compliance, what are	11	A Yes.
12	the best practices in this situation. I don't	12	Q If you could just take a minute and
13	think we've determined what the best course of	13	just look at substance of the email from Carl
14	action is yet.	14	Filler to the mayor, as well as the material
15	Q But specifically, has the City, to	15	attached?
16	your knowledge, since the court issued its	16	A Mm-hmm.
17	preliminary injunction, done made any changes to	17	Q Let me know when you have had a
18	whatever to any policies or practices that are	18	chance to just glance at it.
19	relevant to what transpired in connection with the	19	A Okay. Okay.
20	Stockley protest?	20	Q Have you had a chance to look at
21	A No, other than no. No. I don't	21	Exhibit 10?
22	think so.	22	A I have.
23	Q And is the reason the City hasn't	23	Q For the record, I did mention before
24	done that is, is it because the City is waiting for	24	but you see Carl Filler is the senior policy
25	final resolution of the litigation, or is it	25	advisor in the mayor's office?
	Page 154		Page 156
1	Page 154 because it determined that there was no need to do	1	Page 156 A Yes.
1 2	_	1 2	-
	because it determined that there was no need to do		A Yes.
2	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand.	2	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with
2	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in	2 3	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment
2 3 4	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we	2 3 4	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email?
2 3 4 5	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it	2 3 4 5	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw
2 3 4 5 6	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do.	2 3 4 5 6	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this.
2 3 4 5 6 7	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm going to hand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary. Q Okay. With respect to the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm going to hand you what's been marked for identification purposes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary. Q Okay. With respect to the statement by you that it's an ongoing process, I showed you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm going to hand you what's been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary. Q Okay. With respect to the statement by you that it's an ongoing process, I showed you this exhibit because I thought you might be familiar with it showing efforts done by the City. A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm going to hand you what's been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 10. A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary. Q Okay. With respect to the statement by you that it's an ongoing process, I showed you this exhibit because I thought you might be familiar with it showing efforts done by the City.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because it determined that there was no need to do it? That's what I'm trying to understand. A Right, and I think it's somewhere in between. We are trying to determine, A, what we need to do and how we need to do it and what is it that we should do. Q It's been quite a while since the court issued its order and so with respect to the statement that you made about that you're still evaluating, trying to determine, what's taking so long? A It's a process, like anything else, and processes take time. Q Let me ask you a question here on that. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 10 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'm going to hand you what's been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 10. A Yes. Q Are you familiar with Exhibit 10?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Okay. Are you at all familiar with the information that's discussed in the attachment to this email? A No, I am not. I don't believe I saw this. Q Back to the line of questions we had just before I showed you this exhibit. My question to you was if you're aware of any specific changes made by the City of St. Louis with respect to any policies or practices that relate to the Stockley protest since the court issued its preliminary injunction, and you told me that because of the pending litigation and because it's an ongoing evaluation, that has not happened and no changes have been made; is that a fair summary? A I believe that is a fair summary. Q Okay. With respect to the statement by you that it's an ongoing process, I showed you this exhibit because I thought you might be familiar with it showing efforts done by the City. A Correct.

	Page 157		Page 159
1	to evaluate whether it should amend, modify any of	1	Q Okay. Did you review any video of
2	the policies or practices that were at issue in	2	the Stockley protest in preparation for today's
3	connection with the Stockley protests?	3	deposition?
4	A And as I indicated, I guess what I	4	A I did not watch any video.
5	should be clear on, is I understand that I am the	5	Q At any time did you watch any video
6	City's representative. I don't I did not have	6	of the Stockley protests?
7	access to the mayor's email in preparation for	7	A Only if video was being shown during
8	that. Most of my statements I think could be	8	the protest and it would be open source video. But
9	construed more directly with the St. Louis Police	9	no, I did not.
10	Department per se. So if there are events going on	10	Q But not after the protest for some
11	that such as this document on the opinions and	11	reason –
12	scope of work for critical incident review, I may	12	A No, certain no, not
13	not be aware of them or was not aware.	13	Q meet with people and review it?
14	But as I said, it's an ongoing	14	A No. Well, wait a minute. We had
15	process and there are a lot of people who are	15	several POST Stockley training sessions with the
16	interested in making sure that we have a resolution	16	senior command on how things were done. They were
17	and we get this right.	17	kind of an after action review. I cannot recall if
18	Q Just for the record, you do	18	there was video as part of that or not. The
19	understand you're testifying here today not just on	19	sessions were composed at the direction of the
20	behalf of the St. Louis Metropolitan Police	20	chief's office and I believe Lieutenant Jemerson
21	Department but on behalf of the City of which the	21	hosted those and distributed that information.
22	St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department is	22	Q Do you recall approximately how many
23	subsumed within it; correct?	23	of those sessions there were?
24	A Yes, I've been instructed to that.	24	A I believe there were at least two,
25	Q Okay. I just want to make sure that	25	possibly three.
	Page 158		Page 160
1	was clear to you.	1	Q And Jemerson handled all of those?
2	A Yes.	2	A Yes.
3	Q My question to you, Mr. Larson, is	3	Q Do you recall, this was again just
4	again, you make a generic statement that, and I	4	a senior staff?
5	believe you, that the City has an interest in	5	A Senior staff and possibly involved
6	having an ongoing evaluation with you of the	6	commanders, specialized unit commanders.
7	policies and practices and making necessary	7	Q Okay. Were there any specific – was
8	changes. I want more specificity.	8	it a PowerPoint or any other materials used in
9	Can you identify for me sitting here		connection with those meetings?
		9	connection with those meetings?
10	today any specific steps that have been undertaken	10	A I don't recall. I believe there was
11	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate	10 11	-
	today any specific steps that have been undertaken	10	A I don't recall. I believe there was
11 12 13	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since	10 11 12 13	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint.
11 12 13 14	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017?	10 11 12 13 14	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not.
11 12 13 14 15	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No.	10 11 12 13 14 15	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely
11 12 13 14 15 16	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action
11 12 13 14 15 16	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to police officers regarding the City's policies and	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall I'll be honest with you, I'm very confused this
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to police officers regarding the City's policies and practices concerning the use of a kettle."	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall I'll be honest with you, I'm very confused this afternoon.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to police officers regarding the City's policies and practices concerning the use of a kettle." And is it fair to say that there are	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall I'll be honest with you, I'm very confused this afternoon. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I definitely don't
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to police officers regarding the City's policies and practices concerning the use of a kettle." And is it fair to say that there are no such training were provided at any time because	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall I'll be honest with you, I'm very confused this afternoon. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I definitely don't mean to confuse you and again, if you need a break
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	today any specific steps that have been undertaken by the City in evaluating whether it's appropriate to amend, modify any policies or practices that are at issue with respect to the Stockley protest since September of 2017? A No. Q Okay. Back to topics 16 and 17. We covered topic 16. On topic 17, I'll let you catch up. Topic 17 deals with the "Training provided to police officers regarding the City's policies and practices concerning the use of a kettle." And is it fair to say that there are	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't recall. I believe there was a PowerPoint. Q I'm not sure I've ever seen that PowerPoint. MR. DIERKER: I know I have not. A Well, and I could be completely wrong. We've done so many different after action reports related to incidents, I mean, I know we did one related to the workhouse. I can't recall I'll be honest with you, I'm very confused this afternoon. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I definitely don't

Fax: 314.644.1334

marked before as Exhibit Number 6 --

A Yes.

	Page 161		Page 163
1	A Correct.	1	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I hand
2	Q — which is a presentation	2	you what's been marked for identification purposes
3	specifically before the Stockley protest that was	3	as Exhibit 11.
4	given to, and I'm using the term generically,	4	Do you see that?
5	senior staff, including yourself	5	A I do.
6	A Correct.	6	Q And is that your name on the second
7	Q about what the City's	7	page of this?
8	understanding was in terms of the applicable	8	A S.
9	statutory and legal provisions dealing with protest	9	Q So you're definitely familiar with
10	law.	10	this exhibit?
11	A Right.	11	A I am.
12	Q And what you're just testified is	12	Q And this is titled Operational
13	that subsequent to the Stockley protest, there have	13	Planning Major Event - After Action Critique;
14	been several meetings where Mr. Jemerson presented	14	correct?
15	information about, I would call them lessons	15	A Correct.
16	lenders?	16	Q And you prepared this sometime after
17	A Right. And I'll be honest, I believe	17	the Stockley protest; correct?
18	that I am mixing incidents. We had a change of	18	A Correct.
19	command after Stockley and I can't recall what we	19	Q Okay. And it's in your own words,
20	did specifically. I know that we had similar	20	what's an After Action Critique?
21	review we had a review session after the	21	A The After Action Critique is a simple
22	workhouse. We had training and sessions prior to	22	review of the incident that occurred. In this
23	Stockley where we covered material like this. So	23	particular format, it asks a series of questions
24	I'd have to say that I was confused and I answered	24	about the role that an individual played and what
25	in error.	25	things that worked well and things that did not
	Page 162		Page 164
1	Q And I appreciate your testimony.	1	work well.
2	Sitting here today, can you testify as the	2	Q Okay. Is there any strike that.
3	corporate representative with certainty that there	3	If you read, and I'll put it in the
4	have been no meetings and presentations at any time	4	record, that in the block at the top it says, "In
5	addressing or evaluating after action review, After	5	an effort to improve the quality and effectiveness
6	Action Critique, whatever the term is, in terms of	6	of the performance of the St. Louis Metropolitan
7	what I will generally call lessons learned from the	7	Police Department and the handling of Special/Major
8	Stockley protest made to senior staff or anybody	8	Events as well as maintaining," all capitalized,
9	else?	9	"C-A-L-E-A compliance, all detail commanders and
10	MR. DIERKER: I assume you're	10	supervisors are required to complete an After
		1 11	A -41 Culaturus -44
11	excluding litigation.	11	Action Critique after major events."
11 12	excluding litigation. MR. PRAISS: Yes.	12	Did I read that correctly?
			•
12	MR. PRAISS: Yes.	12	Did I read that correctly?
12 13	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot.	12 13	Did I read that correctly? A You did.
12 13 14	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one	12 13 14	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action
12 13 14 15	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other?	12 13 14 15	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders
12 13 14 15 16	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the	12 13 14 15 16	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for
12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other.	12 13 14 15 16 17	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role?
12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other. Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role? A This would be the main form that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other. Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term "After Action Critique"?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role? A This would be the main form that would be submitted or requested to be returned.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other. Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term "After Action Critique"? A I am.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role? A This would be the main form that would be submitted or requested to be returned. Q When you say "the main form," is
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other. Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term "After Action Critique"? A I am. Q Is After Action Critique the same as	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role? A This would be the main form that would be submitted or requested to be returned. Q When you say "the main form," is there a different form?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PRAISS: Yes. A Again, I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You don't know one way or the other? A No. I can't recall one way or the other. Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term "After Action Critique"? A I am. Q Is After Action Critique the same as an after action review?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did I read that correctly? A You did. Q Is this the only form of After Action Critique that's provided to all detail commanders and supervisors? Or is there a different form for different supervisors based on their role? A This would be the main form that would be submitted or requested to be returned. Q When you say "the main form," is there a different form? A No. I mean, not that I'm aware of.

	Page 165		Page 167
1	subordinate an after action review for a particular	1	commented was the "Food provided ranged from
2	incident, they would put that on a memorandum, not	2	adequate to exceptional"; that was your feedback?
3	specifically this form.	3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. To your knowledge, were any	4	Q Okay. And then it talks about "What
5	such memorandum prepared by any detail commanders	5	things worked well?"
6	or supervisors in connection with the Stockley	6	Do you see that?
7	protest?	7	A Yes.
8	A To the best of my knowledge, no.	8	Q And then there's a section of "What
9	Q To the best of your knowledge, is the	9	can be done to make the detail better next year?"
10	After Action Critique that you submitted, which is	10	Right?
11	Exhibit 11, the only After Action Critique that was	11	A Yes.
12	submitted by detail commanders and supervisors	12	Q And not a single commander or
13	after the Stockley protest?	13	supervisor filled out the information about what
14	A As far as I know, it is.	14	can be done to make the detail better next time.
15	Q Okay. When it says here that "all	15	After the Stockley incident; correct?
16	detail commanders and supervisors are," and I	16	A Correct, this is the only one that
17	emphasize the word "required to complete an After	17	I'm aware of.
18	Action Critique," did the other detail commanders	18	Q So now I'm going back to my question,
19	and supervisors fail to meet that requirement in	19	does this in any way refresh your recollection of
20	this case? Kind of an obvious question.	20	whether, after the Stockley protest, there has been
21	A Apparently, they did.	21	any presentations where documents were provided to
22	Q Okay. Have they been reprimanded in	22	police officers with what I'm going to generally
23	any way, to your knowledge, for not complying with	23	term as lessons learned and how to do things better
24	the Major Event - After Action Critique	24	to ensure that the quality and effectiveness of the
25	requirements by the City?	25	performance of the St. Louis Metropolitan Police
	Page 166		Page 168
1	A To my knowledge, no.	1	Department is improved in the future?
2	Q Okay. Is it of a concern, from the	2	A I can't recall any.
3	City's perspective, that pretty significant	3	Q Am I correct that this particular
4	incident occurred in the City of St. Louis, there	4	document, Exhibit 11, was created at Saint Louis
5	is a document that contemplates that all detail	5	University?
6	commanders and supervisors should fill out this	6	A I don't believe so.
	•		
	torm in order to quote "improve the quality and	7	
7	form in order to, quote, "improve the quality and	7 8	Q This was not created by a social work
7 8	effectiveness of the performance of the police	8	Q This was not created by a social work professor?
7 8 9	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the	8 9	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was
7 8 9 10	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern?	8 9 10	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created?
7 8 9 10 11	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not	8 9 10 11	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah.
7 8 9 10 11 12	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted.	8 9 10 11 12	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the
7 8 9 10 11 12	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses	8 9 10 11 12 13	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call information was adequate; correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place. Q But you understood, at the time you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call information was adequate; correct? A Correct.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place. Q But you understood, at the time you filled it, that this form and the requirements
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call information was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q Whether the number of personnel	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place. Q But you understood, at the time you filled it, that this form and the requirements there applied to the events that just had
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call information was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q Whether the number of personnel assigned was adequate; correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place. Q But you understood, at the time you filled it, that this form and the requirements there applied to the events that just had transpired in the Stockley protest?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effectiveness of the performance of the police department," that you're the only one who took the time to fill one out? Is that a serious concern? A It's a concern that more were not submitted. Q The form that you filled addresses things such as the quality of the food; correct? A Correct. Q Talks about whether the roll call location was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q About whether the roll call information was adequate; correct? A Correct. Q Whether the number of personnel	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q This was not created by a social work professor? A Oh, the actual, where the form was created or how the form was created? Q The form, yeah. A Oh, I don't I don't know the history of the form. Q Okay. Yeah, I was referring to the form of it. A The only thing I can tell you, it is Version 1 and it first became department policy in October of 2007, but I have no knowledge of how the mechanics of it took place. Q But you understood, at the time you filled it, that this form and the requirements there applied to the events that just had

	Page 169		Page 171
1	through 6, if you want to look at those.	1	must "agree with such persons to violate any
2	Have you had a chance to review	2	criminal laws."
3	topics 4, 5, and 6?	3	Do you see that?
4	A I have.	4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you see the common denominator to	5	Q The third one, the person must reach
6	all of them deals with unlawful assembly?	6	that agreement to violate the criminal laws "with
7	A Yes.	7	force or violence"; correct?
8	Q And different areas of inquiry about	8	A Yes.
9	unlawful assembly; is that a fair statement?	9	Q If you now flip to page 6 of the
10	A Yes.	10	PowerPoint presentation?
11	Q If you could go back and spend some	11	A Yes.
12	time looking at Exhibit 6 (sic) that we dealt with	12	Q There is a description of, that lays
13	before?	13	out the City's understanding of the provision
14	MR. PRAISS: And I apologize, Judge,	14	574.040 and when someone potential violates it;
15	that's the one we don't have the even pages.	15	correct?
16	MR. DIERKER: That's fine.	16	A Correct.
17	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) If you go to page 5	17	Q And it indicates, and please correct
18	of this document, presentation?	18	me if I am misstating it, that, "Every person who
19	A Mm-hmm.	19	is present and cognizant of the unlawful acts being
20	Q Do you see it's titled Section	20	committed bit other members of the assembly can be
21	574.040?	21	found guilty of being unlawfully assembled"; is
22	A Yes.	22	that correct?
23	Q And your understanding, that's a	23	A That is correct.
24	provision under Missouri law; correct?	24	Q Is it your understanding that that
25	A Correct.	25	statement reflects the policy of the City?
	Page 170		Page 172
1	Q Okay.	1	A Yes, that's the interpretation of the
2	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 12	2	City on this ordinance.
3	marked for identification by the court reporter.)	3	Q Sitting here today as a corporate
4	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Just so you know, in	4	representative, do you believe that's an accurate
5	Exhibit 12, I did a simple Google search and I	5	statement?
6	printed out copies of Section 574.040 of the	6	A I believe it is.
7	Missouri Revised Statutes as well as 574.050 and I	7	Q You're familiar with the word
8	believe there should also be one more, 574.060. So	8	"cognizant"; correct?
9	you have, to the extent you want to refer to the	9	A Its common definition, yes.
10	actual language, because sometimes in the exhibit	10	Q Okay. "Cognizant" means to be aware
11	that we're looking at, Exhibit 6, I believe it has	11	of something; correct?
12	elements but might not have the full language, so	12	A Yes.
13	feel free to refer to both exhibits.	13	Q Okay. Do you agree that a person can
14	A Thank you.	14	be cognizant of unlawful acts being performed by
15	Q Back to page 5 of the exhibit dealing	15	other individuals without agreeing with other
16	with Section 574.040, you see it identifies the	16	individuals to engage in the same unlawful acts?
17	different elements necessary for a person to	17	A Potentially.
18	violate that statutory provision?	18	Q To be cognizant of something is very
19	A Yes.	19	different than to agree to do something; correct?
20	Q And it says, "A person commits the	20	A Correct.
21	offense of unlawful assembly if," and 1, "he or she	21	Q Okay. Am I correct that the
22	must knowingly assemble with six or more other	22	statement on page 6 of the presentation, that does
23	persons"; correct?	23	not include any requirements that in order to be
24	A Yes.	24	found guilty of the crime of unlawful assembly, a
	· · ·		
25	Q Second requirement, that individual	25	person must agree with other individuals to

	Page 173		Page 175
1	actually violate the criminal laws with force or	1	unlawful acts which have risen to the level to
2	violence?	2	declare an unlawful assembly, the persons within
3	A Page 6 does not state that.	3	that group, whether they've committed an a
4	Q So to the extent page 6 does not	4	person in that group could be found guilty of
5	include those requirements of an agreement rather	5	unlawful being unlawfully assembled.
6	than being cognizant and not only an agreement, but	6	Q And I apologize for my hypothetical
7	an agreement to violate the criminal laws with	7	and I appreciate your answer but I'm going to try
8	force or violence, it is incorrect?	8	and distill it, if I may, Mr. Larson.
9	MR. DIERKER: I'll object to the form	9	Is it the City's understanding that a
10	of the question, calling for a legal conclusion.	10	person can be charged with unlawful assembly under
11	A Yeah.	11	circumstance where that person does not reach an
12	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I am not asking for	12	agreement with other individuals to violate the
13	a legal opinion. I am asking from your	13	criminal laws with force or violence? That's my
14	understanding as a corporate representative with	14	question.
15	respect to topics 4, 5, and 6 dealing with unlawful	15	A Well, they have to reach an agreement
16	assembly, when it can be declared, on page 6 of the	16	with because that's an element of the crime.
17	PowerPoint presentation that was provided to senior	17	Q In the slide that we're looking at on
18	staff	18	page 6, is there any requirement there that
19	A Mm-hmm.	19	specifies strike that.
20	Q only one or two weeks before the	20	On page 6, sir, the word "agreement"
21	Stockley weeks before the Stockley protest, it	21	does not appear?
22	suggests, am I correct, that an unlawful assembly	22	A It does not.
23	can be declared even in circumstances where people	23	Q And it specifically says that every
24	are simply cognizant of certain unlawful acts	24	person who is simply present and cognizant of the
25	happening but not agreeing to do so?	25	fact that unlawful acts are being committed by
	Page 174		
	rage 174		Page 176
1	A It doesn't say	1	Page 176 others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly;
1 2	· ·	1 2	· ·
	A It doesn't say		others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly;
2	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go	2	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct?
2	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead.	2 3	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement.
2 3 4	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say	2 3 4	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of
2 3 4 5	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because	2 3 4 5	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis?
2 3 4 5 6	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I	2 3 4 5 6	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was
2 3 4 5 6 7	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the	2 3 4 5 6 7	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal the laws, by force of violence,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal the laws, by force of violence, that that individual, just by standing and being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal the laws, by force of violence, that that individual, just by standing and being cognizant that those other people around him, can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance? A Yes. Q And first, you have to have at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal – the laws, by force of violence, that that individual, just by standing and being cognizant that those other people around him, can be charged with unlawful assembly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance? A Yes. Q And first, you have to have at least two persons; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal the laws, by force of violence, that that individual, just by standing and being cognizant that those other people around him, can be charged with unlawful assembly? A It's very fact dependent in the whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance? A Yes. Q And first, you have to have at least two persons; correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A It doesn't say MR. DIERKER: Same objection. Go ahead. A I was going to say it doesn't say that an unlawful assembly can be declared because people in the crowd are cognizant of the acts. I mean, the point of this slide appears to be the fact that those people who are in the crowd who are aware that the crowd is creating unlawful acts can be found guilty of unlawful assembly. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So again, that's what I'm trying to understand. Is it the City's position that somebody who is simply found, for example, inside the kettle, who is cognizant of the protest around him but hasn't reached any agreement with anybody to do anything unlawful and to break the criminal — the laws, by force of violence, that that individual, just by standing and being cognizant that those other people around him, can be charged with unlawful assembly? A It's very fact dependent in the whole incident I mean, you've laid out a large	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	others can be found guilty of unlawful assembly; correct? A That is the statement. Q And that's the policy of the City of St. Louis? A It was the information that was provided. Q It was provided, not just to anybody but the top people who were then expected to share that information with the people below them; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. If you look at page 8, am I correct that deals with City Ordinance 15.52.010? A It does. Q And it lays out the key elements necessary for a person to violate this ordinance? A Yes. Q And first, you have to have at least two persons; correct? A Yes. Q They have to assemble together;

question because I apologize if I and I should be doing it, I am not, again, Mr. Larson, asking for a legal opinion. What I'm trying to understand is the City, when it sends out police officers to enforce Ordinance 15.52.010, I'm trying to learn, no
for a legal opinion. What I'm trying to understand is the City, when it sends out police officers to enforce
What I'm trying to understand is the City, when it sends out police officers to enforce
5 City, when it sends out police officers to enforce
1
6 Ordinance 15.52.010 I'm trying to learn no
7 different than any other citizen, of under what
8 circumstance, if any, may I be, based on the City's
9 understanding, subject to a violation of this
10 ordinance?
11 So the City must have an
understanding how it enforces it and how it trains
police officers about it and that's all I'm looking
14 for. Not a legal conclusion but an understanding
of the City with respect to the enforcement of this
provision. Does that make sense? And I think I'm
entitled to that and I'm happy to take that to the
18 judge any day, Judge.
19 MR. DIERKER: Well, we'll do that
when and if needed but I'll adhere to my objection.
21 Q (BY MR. PRAISS) But I want it on the
record to be clear what I'm looking for. I am not
looking for, even though I now found out you're an
attorney, I don't want your legal opinion. I want
25 the City's understanding about this. Fair enough?
Page 180
1 When it says in the last clause that
2 "every person present at such meeting or assembly,"
3 is it referring to the two people who assemble
4 together to act in concert? Is that what it's
5 referring to? Is that the City's understanding?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Okay. So to the extent two people
8 get together, as referenced in the first section of
9 Ordinance 15.52.010, and one of them
10 A Well, I would have to may I
11 interject? I'm sorry.
12 Q No problem.
13 A The when go ahead with your
14 question.
15 Q My question is going to be much
easier. I came up with a different approach.
Let's say two people assemble together at a street
18 corner.
19 A Yes.
20 Q And they decide, in concert, as
20 Q And they decide, in concert, as 21 required here, to commit an unlawful act with force
•
required here, to commit an unlawful act with force
required here, to commit an unlawful act with force of violence against the property of the City or

2.4

2.0

Page 181

but I don't even know who the heck they are, but let's say I'm overhearing this thing. Is it the City's understanding that I can be charged with unlawful assembly because I failed to endeavor to prevent the commission or perpetration of such unlawful act?

2.2

2.4

2.0

MR. DIERKER: Same objection.

A And I would have to say yes, because, as we were discussing the first scenario, you indicated the two people together, yes, they are the people who are violating the ordinance. The rest of the ordinance indicates any – therefore, "every person present at such meeting or assembly who shall not endeavor to prevent the commission or perpetration of such unlawful act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."

So by failing to stop them, if you've overheard them, you're involved, failing to notify officers, yes, in theory, you would be subject to.

Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Now change my little hypothetical now, say I don't overhear anything, they're just standing there, they've reached an agreement. I don't hear a thing, I don't have a clue what they're thinking to do. I'm just standing next to them.

Page 183

Page 184

- talking about all kinds of events and prosperity, and these things don't happen in a vacuum. There is all kinds of warnings that would be given, attempts to get crowd people to disperse, so, therefore, being taken into custody at that point could occur to someone who is not necessarily engaged in the unlawful or riotous behavior, violent behavior.
 - Q I'm really focusing on the kettle, and I think you've acknowledged to me that in undertaking the approach of the kettle coming in from four corners, it was reasonable to anticipate that some people would get trapped who were not in fact protesters and acting in any way in connection with other protesters; you recall that?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Knowing that, my question to you is, it is still the City's position that, when you have trapped a very significant, large group of people, by the mere fact that, and not a complicated hypothetical, only two people in the whole group reached some agreement to do something with violence, is my hypothetical, and everybody else is clueless, they're just kinda like, how the heck did I get here, that the police officers at that point,

Page 182

Can I be charged with unlawful assembly even though I have no knowledge of what they're planning to do?

 $\label{eq:MR.DIERKER: Same objection.} \label{eq:MR.DIERKER: Same objection.}$

- Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Again, I'm looking for the City's understanding of how it enforces this provision.
 - A Yes.
- Q So your testimony is, as the corporate representative of the City of St. Louis, that in the connection with let's say the kettle, if, hypothetically, there was two people there who reached some agreement to commit an unlawful act by violence, even though you've already testified there's no evidence to suggest anybody acted with violence, everybody else that was entrapped inside the kettle, the City's position could be charged with unlawful assembly even though they didn't even know who those two people were in my hypothetical and they never talked to them and had no idea what their intent was, but simply because they were in the wrong place at the wrong time, they could be charged with a misdemeanor of violating the law?

A They could be. We're talking about some very fact-dependent circumstances, we're

that evening, could arrest everybody for unlawful assembly because they are at the wrong place at the wrong time; is that the City's position?

MR. DIERKER: Same objection.

A They -- yes. That's the City's position.

Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And since those people, under the City's position, are in violation of the law, even though, again, my fact pattern, they have no idea what's happening with the other people, they're just bystanders, because the City considers them to be engaged in unlawful assembly, that means that under policy Section XIII of Special Order 1-01, the police can deploy chemical agents at them without any warnings?

- A Potentially.
- Q The warnings -- the warning requirement doesn't apply --
 - A Doesn't apply --
- Q -- because they're engaged in unlawful activity, they're no longer peaceful?

A But it would require violence or threat of violence and things of that nature, and again, you're asking very finite questions about very fluid situations.

	Page 185		Page 187
1	Q I'm trying to I'm visualizing the	1	Q Are you aware in prior during the
2	circumstance of what I believe transpired during	2	Stockley protests of a single time when an officer
3	the kettle and you have now explained to me the	3	told someone that, I'm about to deploy chemical
4	City's position, which it is what it is, but that a	4	agents with handheld pepper spray or a fogger and
5	lot of people that night, all of them could have	5	gave them a warning before doing so?
6	been charged with unlawful assembly, and when I go	6	A I know warnings were given.
7	back to Special Order Section XIII, Special	7	Q Warnings about the use of chemical
8	Order 1-01, it specifies that, "Per the Settlement	8	agents.
9	Agreement, chemical agents will not be used to	9	A But I cannot say that an individual
10	disperse groups engaged in non-criminal activity	10	officer did or did not give a warning to an
11	without satisfying the following conditions"	11	individual they may have used chemical agents on.
12	A Correct.	12	Q But under Special Order Section
13	Q one of them being a warning.	13	XIII of Special Order 1-01 they are not required to
14	A Correct.	14	give warnings because of the City's interpretation
15	Q Is it the City's position that all of	15	of what constitutes an unlawful assembly; correct?
16	those people were engaged in criminal activity	16	A Yes.
17	because they were unlawfully assembled, even those	17	Q Okay. If you go to page 9 of the
18	there were no specific articulable facts to	18	presentation. That deals with the offense of riot
19	establish that all of those people had knowledge	19	and that deals with Section 574.050, which is
20	that somebody acted or was going to act in concert	20	A Yes.
21	to violate the laws?	21	Q You have a copy of the full statutory
22	MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of	22	provision in Exhibit 12, if you want to refer to
23	the question, argumentative, calling for a	23	it.
24	conclusion of law.	24	A Yes.
25	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You can answer my	25	Q Do you see on page 9 it lays out the
	Page 186		Page 188
1	question.	1	key elements for the offense of rioting?
2	A Yes, I mean, the unlawful activity	2	A Yes.
3	has occurred, in the situation where given,	3	Q And you got in that situation a
4	announcements are made, opportunities were given to	4	person commits the offense of rioting if "he or she
5	egress. Failure to comply with that opportunity,	5	knowingly assembles with six or more persons";
6	they were people were arrested.	6	correct?
7	Q Okay. A lot of those people were	7	A Yes.
8	arrested for unlawful assembly; correct?	8	Q "Agrees with such persons to violate
9	A I believe so.	9	any criminal laws"; correct?
10	Q So that's why I'm focusing on that	10	A Yes.
11	provision. And again, that evening, those people	11	Q "With force or violence"; correct?
12	that were arrested for unlawful assembly and in the	12	A Yes.
13	process were subjected to chemical agents, it is	13	Q And, "thereafter, while still so
14	the City's position that the officers were entitled	14	assembled, does violate any criminal laws with
15	to use chemical agents against them because they	15	force or violence."
16	were engaged in unlawful assembly; therefore, they	16	Those are all the elements?
17	were acting in a criminal conduct, no warnings had	17	A Yes.
18	to be given. Correct?	18	Q Okay. Again, that statutory
19	A No warnings would have to be given,	19	provision, 574.050, clearly requires force or
20	but warnings were given.	20	violence; correct?
21	Q Warnings that chemical agents would	21	A Yes.
22	be used. I'm focusing on that. Am I correct no	22	Q And do you know if anybody was
23	warnings were given to people that chemical agents	23	actually charged with violation of this statutory
24	were going to be used against them, necessarily?	24	provision in connection with the Stockley protests?
25	A I can't answer that.	25	A I do not.

	Page 189		Page 191
1	Q Okay. Go to page 10. This one deals	1	Q Are you aware of any facts that
2	with Section 574.060.	2	suggest that there was somebody arrested for acts
3	Do you see that?	3	of violence at that time?
4	A Yes.	4	A There were not people arrested at
5	Q And again, feel free to look at	5	that I'm confused because you're asking me
6	Exhibit 12, if you want to look at the entire	6	almost to testify to a specific incident.
7	statutory provision, Mr. Larson.	7	Q Let me help you out. You're familiar
8	A Okay.	8	with, and I don't know his title, Mr. Sachs?
9	Q On page 10 it lays out the key	9	A Yes.
10	elements for committing the crime of refusal to	10	Q Who testified at the preliminary
11	disperse.	11	injunction?
12	Do you see that?	12	A I know Lieutenant Sachs. He was the
13	A Yes.	13	commander of SWAT at the time of the kettling.
14	Q The first element is that the person	14	Q And I'll represent to you I've read
15	has to be "present at the scene of an unlawful	15	his testimony very carefully. If he testified that
16	assembly or riot"; correct?	16	after about 8:30 that evening, where there was
17	A Yes.	17	property damage, there was no evidence any of
18	Q And then there is three other	18	violent activity in the City in the later part of
19	elements that follow, "person has to knowingly fail	19	that evening, do you have any facts to dispute
20	or refuse to obey; a lawful command of a police	20	that?
21	officer"; and "to depart the scene of such unlawful	21	MR. DIERKER: Well, I'm going to
22	assembly or riot"; correct?	22	object to that question because it seems to me that
23	A Correct.	23	that's totally outside the scope of the corporate
24	Q Do you agree, sir strike that.	24	designee deposition. You're asking for knowledge
25	Am I correct that it's the City's	25	of the entire event, specifically specific conduct
	Page 190		Page 192
			Page 192
1	position that, in order to commit the crime of	1	•
1 2	position that, in order to commit the crime of refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying	1 2	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth,
	-		of specific people. I will allow him to answer.
2	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying	2	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth,
2	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to	2	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections
2 3 4	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse?	2 3 4	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was
2 3 4 5	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of	2 3 4 5	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to
2 3 4 5 6	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was engaging in violent conduct that presented imminent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was engaging in violent conduct that presented imminent threat to police officers or property at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took place. My question to you is, I just need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was engaging in violent conduct that presented imminent threat to police officers or property at that point; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took place. My question to you is, I just need to understand, are you aware of any of facts to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was engaging in violent conduct that presented imminent threat to police officers or property at that point; correct? A At that particular moment in time, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took place. My question to you is, I just need to understand, are you aware of any of facts to challenge that testimony by Lieutenant Sachs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	refusal to disperse, there must be an underlying unlawful assembly or riot to trigger the failure to disperse? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, calls for a legal conclusion. A Yes, that would be part of the — it's an element of the crime, so it's required to be there prior to charging an individual with refusal to disperse. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And am I correct that, we've established on several occasions, that in order to have an unlawful assembly or riot — strike that. Focusing again on the kettle, I apologize for belaboring this point but again, you're not aware of any situation where somebody, around 11:30 at night, 11:25 that evening, was engaging in violent conduct that presented imminent threat to police officers or property at that point; correct? A At that particular moment in time, I don't know what was occurring. At that particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of specific people. I will allow him to answer. MR. PRAISS: For what it's worth, you, of all people, should know speaking objections are not exactly appropriate. And I think that was a novel of an objection. You might want to consider shortening it a bit. MR. DIERKER: I'll note your objection to my objection. MR. PRAISS: Okay. And the reason for my question is not trying to go outside the scope and to lay a foundation for the question that he was having difficulty answering, so this is a predicate to my question and that's why I need it. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) So my question back to you is, I'm representing to you what Lieutenant Sachs testified, that after 8:30, there was no evidence of violent activity in the City and definitely none around 11:30 when the kettle took place. My question to you is, I just need to understand, are you aware of any of facts to challenge that testimony by Lieutenant Sachs? A I am not aware of any facts that

	Page 193		Page 195
1	is, in order to declare in order for someone to	1	effective order. Inform the protester how the
2	commit the crime of refusal to disperse, we	2	protester is interfering with official duty and
3	established that a predicate for that is either	3	instruct the protester how to comply."
4	being present at an unlawful assembly or a riot;	4	Do you see that?
5	correct?	5	A Mm-hmm.
6	A Correct.	6	Q Is that a yes?
7	Q And in order to be, have to be at the	7	A Yes. Sorry.
8	scene of an unlawful assembly or a riot, there has	8	Q No problem. Am I correct that in
9	to be acts of violence occurring; correct?	9	this situation, the City's policy is that a warning
10	A Correct, by the statutory definition.	10	is appropriate when an individual is interfering
11	Q And yet	11	with an officer undertaking some police function;
12	A With force or violence.	12	correct?
13	Q And yet assuming Lieutenant Sachs'	13	A This point relates to the best
14	testimony is accurate and there were no acts of	14	practices related to interfering with an officer
15	violence, force or violence occurring at 11:30 at	15	and the explanation on when, what is interference
16	night, what is the basis for charging people with a	16	to warn prior to arrest on the charge by giving an
17	crime of refusal to disperse if there is no basis	17	effective order. So, and I need to be involved in
18	for establishing an unlawful assembly or a riot, is	18	some sort of official act.
19	what I'm trying to understand.	19	Q And it specifically says that in that
20	MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of	20	situation, the best practice is to warn prior to
21	the question, argumentative.	21	arresting someone and by giving them an effective
22	A I can't state I mean, based on the	22	order, and it delineates an example what an
23	hypothetical scenario, assuming that there is no	23	effective order would be; correct?
24	other individual who can say that force or violence	24	A Correct.
25	was occurring at that particular time, the	25	Q And it would be, among other things,
	Page 194		Page 196
1	declaration of the unlawful assembly was up to the	1	to inform the protester how he's interfering with
	incident commander who should have followed the		·
2		2	official duty and instructing him how to comply;
		3	official duty and instructing him how to comply; correct?
2 3 4	statutory guidelines to declare.		
3	statutory guidelines to declare.	3	correct? A Yes.
3 4	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare	3 4 5	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand
3 4 5	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to	3 4	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to
3 4 5 6	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts."	3 4 5 6	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in
3 4 5 6 7 8	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what	3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of what a person needs to do in one — in this context
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly? A Yes. Q Okay. Go to page 15. This deals	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of what a person needs to do in one — in this context and why it's different in the context of an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly? A Yes. Q Okay. Go to page 15. This deals with Interference With An Officer - Best Practice.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of what a person needs to do in one — in this context and why it's different in the context of an interaction with someone who is, again, interfering
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly? A Yes. Q Okay. Go to page 15. This deals with Interference With An Officer - Best Practice. Do you see that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of what a person needs to do in one — in this context and why it's different in the context of an interaction with someone who is, again, interfering with a police officer trying to effectuate an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	statutory guidelines to declare. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. If you go to page 11, please? It says, "The decision to declare a crowd unlawful must be based on reasonable and articulable facts." What is the City's understanding what it means when it says — uses the phrase "reasonable and articulable facts"? A A common sense language definition of what is the standard of reasonableness of an ordinary person, articulable would be demonstrate specificity, specific facts. Q And that's what I was looking for. You agree with me that it requires some level of specificity before you can go out and just declare an unlawful assembly? A Yes. Q Okay. Go to page 15. This deals with Interference With An Officer - Best Practice.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. Could you help me understand why the City considers it a best practice to provide a warning by giving an effective order in the context of an individual interfering with an officer but doesn't believe that any warning is required before spraying someone with pepper mace using a handheld device under Section IV of Special Order 1-01? MR. DIERKER: Object to the form of the question, argumentative. A I cannot. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Can you think of any reason why it's a best practice in one case to give a warning which is giving an effective order of what a person needs to do in one — in this context and why it's different in the context of an interaction with someone who is, again, interfering

	Page 197		Page 199
1	MR. DIERKER: Same objection.	1	And with that in mind, my question
2	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) That's what I'm	2	is, what training is provided to police officers so
3	trying to understand.	3	that they know to use their discretion correctly?
4	A I don't have an answer for this.	4	That they know what it takes, the elements, that
5	Q Okay. Topic number 4, Mr. Larson,	5	they don't abuse their discretion and create havoc?
6	specifically, I'll read it into the record, says,	6	I apologize for the long question but that's what
7	"The City of St. Louis's policies and/or practices	7	I'm trying to understand.
8	concerning who has authority to declare an unlawful	8	MR. DIERKER: I apologize for having
9	assembly."	9	to object but I think the second half of the
10	Do you see that?	10	question is fine but the preface
11	A I do.	11	MR. PRAISS: And I'll
12	Q As of September 2017, did the City	12	MR. DIERKER: injected other
13	have any written policies or practices concerning	13	matters.
14	who has authority to declare an unlawful assembly?	14	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll strike the
15	A No. Any officer can declare an	15	question and do a better job.
16	unlawful assembly based on probably cause. We	16	You agree with me declaring an
17	don't train for officers are trained relative to	17	unlawful assembly is a pretty serious charge;
18	the application of specific ordinances and having	18	right?
19	probable cause to enforce those ordinances.	19	A In matters related to the First
20	However, in standard practice, especially related	20	Amendment, yes.
21	to First Amendment issues, the policy is only a	21	Q And you just explained or testified
22	command rank, a supervisor, sergeant, lieutenant on	22	that any officer has the discretion to declare an
23	up, incident commander, would be responsible for	23	unlawful assembly; correct?
24	declaring an unlawful assembly.	24	A Yes, but common practice is only
25	Q You gave me a long answer and I'm	25	senior officers would do so.
	Page 198		Page 200
1	getting tired and I had a tough time following you,	1	Q But there's nothing prohibiting a
2	Mr. Larson, so I apologize but I'll break it into		
	mir zarson, so r aporogizo bat i ii break it into	1 2	regular officer, not a senior officer, from doing
٠,	nieres	2	regular officer, not a senior officer, from doing it under the policies and practices of the City of
3 4	pieces. Am I correct that any individual	3	it under the policies and practices of the City of
4	Am I correct that any individual	3 4	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct?
4 5	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on	3 4 5	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct.
4 5 6	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful	3 4 5 6	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what
4 5 6 7	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly?	3 4 5 6 7	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police
4 5 6 7 8	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met	3 4 5 6 7 8	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they
4 5 6 7 8 9	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they
4 5 6 7 8 9	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed. Q Among other things, based on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare unlawful assembly to ascertain to what extent they
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed. Q Among other things, based on the City's understanding, as you described earlier,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare unlawful assembly to ascertain to what extent they actually have an accurate working knowledge of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed. Q Among other things, based on the City's understanding, as you described earlier, everybody that's congregating there, regardless of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare unlawful assembly to ascertain to what extent they actually have an accurate working knowledge of the elements?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed. Q Among other things, based on the City's understanding, as you described earlier, everybody that's congregating there, regardless of what their mental state is and what their agreement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare unlawful assembly to ascertain to what extent they actually have an accurate working knowledge of the elements? A I don't believe there is a test.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Am I correct that any individual police officers at any point can declare, based on his or her own opinion, that there's an unlawful assembly? A If the elements of the law are met and the officer has probable cause to believe that the elements are met, yes, they could. Q Okay. Am I correct there is nothing written to provide guidance to an officer with respect to when to declare unlawful assembly other than the language of the statute? A Correct. Q Okay. There's pretty significant consequences when an officer declares an unlawful assembly; right? A Agreed. Q Among other things, based on the City's understanding, as you described earlier, everybody that's congregating there, regardless of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it under the policies and practices of the City of St. Louis; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. My question to you is, what specific training is provided to every police officer about the standards pursuant to which they can declare an unlawful assembly to make sure they get it right? A The training is based on all of our training, which is probable cause, to ensure that the elements of the crime are present prior to effecting either an arrest or a declaration of unlawful assembly. There's no specific training focused at specifically unlawful assembly. Q To what extent does the City actually test the police officers' knowledge about the relevant elements of the provision to declare unlawful assembly to ascertain to what extent they actually have an accurate working knowledge of the elements?

	Page 201		Page 203
1	respect to this element that happens on a monthly,	1	(Off the record.)
2	biyearly, yearly basis, to make sure that officers	2	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 13
3	know the elements of the crimes they are about to	3	marked for identification by the court reporter.)
4	charge people, in those situations where the	4	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Back on the record,
5	discretion to do so?	5	Mr. Larson. We are going to deal with topics 7
6	A To the best of my knowledge, and I'm	6	through 1, and if you look at Exhibit 2, which is
7	I may have gotten lost, no. Not on that	7	the notice of this deposition, the common factor to
8	specific point or element on unlawful assembly.	8	topic 7-11, if you look at it, is dispersal orders.
9	Obviously it's a topic that we're concerned about	9	Do you see that?
10	and it's something that we want to make sure we're	10	A Correct.
11	doing correctly.	11	Q And I'm going to cover those topics
12	Q Would one way to do that would simply	12	but maybe to help start the process, I've handed
13	to give an open-ended test to police officers and	13	you what's been marked as Exhibit 13.
14	ask them on a piece of paper write down the four	14	A Okay.
15	elements for an unlawful assembly and realize that	15	Q This was recently produced us to in
16	probably less than 5 percent of them could do it	16	the litigation. Have you ever seen Exhibit 13
17	correctly would be my guess, no disrespect.	17	before today?
18	MR. DIERKER: I'll object to the	18	A I have not seen it in this format. I
19	statement.	19	am familiar with the dispersal order and the
20	A I'm not sure that that was a	20	warning of deployment of munitions.
21	statement more than a question, but no, I mean,	21	Q Okay.
22	there's no I've already answered I think there's	22	A I see it's dated September 1, 2017.
23	no specific training related to that.	23	Q Do you know who created this
24	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. Topic 5, I'll	24	document?
25	give you a second. It is a long one. I'll let you	25	A I do not.
	Page 202		Page 204
1	read it yourself, Mr. Larson.	1	Q What specific steps did you take to
2	A Okay.	2	prepare to testify with respect to topics 7 through
3	Q In a nutshell, that one focuses on	3	11?
4	the City's policies, practices when an unlawful	4	A Specifically, I reviewed the order on
5	assembly maybe declared; correct?	5	dispersal in which is the chemical munitions
6	A Yes.	6	order we have talked about, Special Order 1-01,
7	Q And it's pursuant to any legal	7	Section XIII, talks about dispersal orders.
8	authority. I want to make it general; okay?	8	Q Okay. But in terms of, you know,
9	A Yes.	9	topics 7 through 11 deal with other issues such as
10	Q Am I correct that the exhibit that	10	who have authority to issue it
11	you have in front of you, I believe this is Exhibit	11	A Yes.
12	6, the PowerPoint presentation	12	 Q when should it be declared and
13	A Yes.	13	training with respect to dispersal orders, very
14	Q — dated August of 2017 and the	14	different, Section XIII of Special Order 1-01
15	testimony that you've given me in the last 45	15	doesn't cover those things?
16	minutes captures the City's policies and practices	16	A No, and it's basically it's built
17	on this issue?	17	off of those series of questions were related to
	A Yes.	18	what I consider the probable cause requirement of
18	Q Is there anything other than what's	19	what meets probable cause to declare the unlawful
18 19	and a many and the Fridelick Calendales Charles It acres	20	assembly, when the dispersal order should be given
	referenced in Exhibit 6 that the City believes		
19	permits declaring an unlawful assembly under any	21	relative to the deployment of chemical munitions or
19 20	-	21 22	the opportunity to comply, things of that nature.
19 20 21	permits declaring an unlawful assembly under any		
19 20 21 22	permits declaring an unlawful assembly under any provision of law?	22	the opportunity to comply, things of that nature.

	Page 205	Page 2	 Э7
1	Q Do you know if there was any similar	dispersal or prior to September 1, 2017, which is	
2	documents dealing with the language for issuing a	2 the date of the dispersal order language in Exhibit	
3	dispersal order prior to that date that the City	3 13.	
4	used?	4 A Yes.	
5	A I don't let me I could look at	5 Q And so with that in mind, did you	
6	the Special Order and I believe that was dated	6 find anything in the operations plan relating to	
7	what the date is on that. There is some language	7 the Stockley protest dealing with dispersal orders?	?
8	in there that is very similar to this so I know	8 A I did not. I did not locate it.	
9	that this was a topic of discussion as we were	9 Q Thank you. So sitting here today as	
10	preparing the operations order, I believe it's	the corporate representative, are you aware wheth	ner
11	encapsulated in here on dispersal somewhere.	there was anything in writing prior to September 1	,
12	Q What are you looking at?	2017, that dealt with instructions for the issuance	
13	A Right now I'm looking at the	of an unlawful assembly and dispersal orders?	
14	operations plan.	14 A lam not.	
15	Q Gotcha. The OPs plan that you	15 Q Okay.	
16	referenced before, yep.	16 A And can you tell me where this came	
17	A Yes.	17 from, Exhibit 13?	
18	Q And please take your time but let me	18 Q I will let your attorney explain that	
19	know if you find anything specifically in the OPs	because he sent it to us. If he wants to put that	
20	plan that deal with dispersal orders that was in	on the record, that would be helpful.	
21	effect prior to September 1, 2017.	MR. DIERKER: We produced that to the	
22	MR. DIERKER: Off the record.	22 plaintiffs within the last week.	
23	(Off the record.)	23 THE WITNESS: I understand. Okay.	
24	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, I know	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And again, you have	
25	there's a question pending but I'm going to strike	25 never seen this particular Exhibit 13 before	
	Page 206	Page 20	38
1	Page 206 it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit.	Page 20	28
1 2	•	-	80
	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit.	1 today's deposition; correct?	08
2	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it	 today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It 	
2	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit.	 today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a 	
2 3 4	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14	 today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin 	
2 3 4 5	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.)	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would	
2 3 4 5 6	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14?	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am.	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record?	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by the	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal.	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict.	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes.	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused.	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articular	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articulat facts, that all the elements necessary for an	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articulat facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct?	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given.	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articulat facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes.	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given. Q Okay. During in the last few	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articular facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes. Q And it continues whereby the person	g ne
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given. Q Okay. During in the last few minutes you had a chance to look through this	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articular facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes. Q And it continues whereby the person giving the dispersal order orders the individuals	g ne
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given. Q Okay. During in the last few minutes you had a chance to look through this exhibit; correct?	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articulal facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes. Q And it continues whereby the person giving the dispersal order orders the individuals "to disperse from this area by moving," and it has	g ne s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given. Q Okay. During in the last few minutes you had a chance to look through this exhibit; correct? A Correct.	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articular facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes. Q And it continues whereby the person giving the dispersal order orders the individuals "to disperse from this area by moving," and it ha it in quotes, "to the sidewalk and walking (give a	g ne s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it and ask you a new one based on this exhibit. Give me a second to have the court reporter mark it as an exhibit. (Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 14 marked for identification by the court reporter.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Are you familiar with Exhibit 14? A I am. Q Could you identify it for the record? A It was the operational Civil Disobedience Response Operations Plan relative to the expected Stockley verdict. Q And it's dated A September 27, 2017. Q So this was prepared after? Or before the Stockley protest, is what I'm confused. A It would have been issued the preparation went prior but probably would have been issued on the date that it was given. Q Okay. During in the last few minutes you had a chance to look through this exhibit; correct?	today's deposition; correct? A I wouldn't necessarily say that. It relates to dispersal language. I am not I am a little confused because it has just a blank headin so it's not an email. I can't say whether I would have gotten it through email or not. I am familiar with the dispersal order language. It is the standard language that we use on or that is supposed to be used by th incident commanders when issuing a dispersal. Q Gotcha. Let's focus on the language for a dispersal order. It begins with, "This is an unlawful assembly"; correct? A Yes. Q So the first thing that has to happen is someone has to determine, based on articulal facts, that all the elements necessary for an unlawful assembly have taken place; correct? A Yes. Q And it continues whereby the person giving the dispersal order orders the individuals "to disperse from this area by moving," and it has	g ne s

	Page 209		Page 211
1	A Yes.	1	individuals how far they have to leave the area?
2	Q So am I correct that the dipersal	2	A No. The no.
3	order language first of all, is this language	3	Q Is there a reason, from the City's
4	mandatory?	4	perspective, that is now a policy, why it would not
5	A This verbatim languatory?	5	want to include some parenthetical applicable to
6	Q Yes.	6	the relevant situation but that tells people, in
7	A No. It is not, the verbatim language	7	order for you to comply with this dispersal order,
8	is not mandatory per se.	8	you need to leave the current area where there's an
9	Q At any time since September of 2012	9	unlawful assembly and go three blocks, go past the
10	to the present, the operative time period for this	10	park, go to the intersection?
11	deposition, has the City had a requirement that	11	A I think it's the City's position that
12	specific dispersal order language was mandatory to	12	a dispersal order is common sense. I mean, to
13	be used?	13	disperse, you have to break apart, you have to go
14	A Yes, we are required to give a	14	away, you have to move. You can't merely take your
15	dispersal order prior to the deployment of chemical	15	group and move 50 feet down the street or into
16	munitions and it should follow this form. It may	16	another area. You need to cease congregating. You
17	be paraphrased, it may not be exact word for word,	17	need to go different directions.
18	but the intent is to provide this language and this	18	Q Since you used the language "cease
19	language was most likely prepared to give hands to	19	congregating," it highlight my question before
20	people, who would have to make these statements, a	20	A I believe you used the language,
21	document to refer to.	21	"cease congregating."
22	Q I think in your answer you used	22	Q Yes, but you used it now. My
23	language like it could be paraphrased, it can be	23	question is, again, that language doesn't appear in
24	and that it doesn't have to be the exact word for	24	the dispersal order; correct?
25	word, so that's what I'm trying to focus on. The	25	A No.
1	Page 210 person giving the dispersal order, is there a	1	Page 212 Q It doesn't tell people that you need
2	specific requirement that he or she actually has	2	to disperse and not recongregate anywhere; correct?
3	this language in front of her and the only thing	3	A No, it doesn't say that.
4	that they are modifying is potentially the what	4	Q Okay. Am I correct the dispersal
5	to the color of th		
	is the clear course of egress that applies there	5	order language in Exhibit 13 doesn't include any
6	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim?	5 6	order language in Exhibit 13 doesn't include any language and instructs individuals for how long
6 7			
	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim?	6	language and instructs individuals for how long
7	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question.	6 7	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct?
7	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person	6 7 8	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful
7 8 9	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language	6 7 8 9	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the
7 8 9 10	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and	6 7 8 9 10	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say
7 8 9 10 11	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order?	6 7 8 9 10 11	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time
7 8 9 10 11 12	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical. Q Okay. Am I correct that the language	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that? A Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical. Q Okay. Am I correct that the language of the dispersal order shown in Exhibit 13 does not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that? A Yes. Q There's no language that says you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical. Q Okay. Am I correct that the language of the dispersal order shown in Exhibit 13 does not include any language that instructs individuals to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that? A Yes. Q There's no language that says you cannot recongregate downtown for the next three
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical. Q Okay. Am I correct that the language of the dispersal order shown in Exhibit 13 does not include any language that instructs individuals to cease congregating together?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that? A Yes. Q There's no language that says you cannot recongregate downtown for the next three hours or this evening because that's what that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but the rest of it is going to be read verbatim? That is my question. Or as you can describe it, the person is basically familiar with this general language and kind of paraphrases, to use your words, and gives a dispersal order? A We have to issue a dispersal order and the language that we use would be substantially similar to this. Q Substantially similar but does not require it to be identical? A Does not require it to be identical. Q Okay. Am I correct that the language of the dispersal order shown in Exhibit 13 does not include any language that instructs individuals to cease congregating together? A No, there is nothing in here that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	language and instructs individuals for how long they have to leave the area; correct? A No. It's the once the unlawful assembly is declared, there is no return to the incident location. So there is no reason to say you have to come back in 15 minutes or put a time limit on it. It's over. You have to disperse. You have to leave. Q Right. But, for example, there's language at the end of it that says, "You have five minutes to comply with this order." Do you see that? A Yes. Q There's no language that says you cannot recongregate downtown for the next three hours or this evening because that's what that person declaring the dispersal order is

	Page 213		Page 215
1	A That language is not present.	1	authority to declare an unlawful assembly and I
2	Q So how does a group of people that's	2	think your testimony was pretty much any officer,
3	congregating, and now they're being told they're	3	based on his or her assessment and the presence of
4	engaged in unlawful assembly based on the City's	4	articulable facts, could declare an unlawful
5	interpretation as you explained it to us earlier,	5	assembly and now I want to focus on who has
6	how are they supposed to know that let's say	6	authority to issue a dispersal order.
7	that happens at 8:30 in the evening and then three	7	And as of September 2017, did the
8	hours later that same group of people, by	8	City have any policies or practices related to who
9	coincidence, comes back together and starts	9	had authority to issue a dispersal order?
10	congregating again. Because people communicate by	10	A The standard policy and practice,
11	social media quite a bit these days. It doesn't	11	much like the declaration of an unlawful assembly,
12	take much. Where somebody says, hey, I see a group	12	would be that any officer would issue the dispersal
13	of people hanging, protesters, in this area.	13	order. In common practice, especially in large
14	The original dispersal at 8:30 didn't	14	scale events like this, it would be up to the
15	tell them you can't ever come back to the City	15	incident commander to make those declarations and
16	•	16	
17	tonight. How are they supposed to know that, that	17	to ensure that those dispersal orders are given.
	they're not going to be violating a dispersal		Q To your knowledge, have there ever
18	order?	18	been situations where the incident commander was
19	MR. DIERKER: I'll object to the form	19	not the person who gave the dispersal order but
20	of the question, it assumes facts not in evidence,	20	some other officers did so using their discretion
21	it's argumentative.	21	in connection with any protests in the City since
22	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) You may answer my	22	September of 2012?
23	question.	23	A Not I'm sorry, that's a big
24	A I don't know what the a person is	24	question. Could you break that down for me?
25	supposed to know or not supposed to know.	25	Q I'm just trying to figure out, to
	Page 214		Page 216
1	Q Well, how is the person possibly	1	your knowledge, as the City's representative,
2	supposed to know that he or she is not supposed to	2	dealing with the dispersal orders and prior
3	come back to the City three hours later if the	3	protests, the topic we've been dealing with, have
4	dispersal order didn't tell them that?	4	there been situations where an officer other than
5	MR. DIERKER: Same objection.	5	the incident commander issued a dispersal order?
6	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Do you see the	6	A That was not directed by the incident
7	problem?	7	commander to issue? So the incident commander did
8	MR. DIERKER: Same objection.	8	not direct officer X to provide that. I am not
9	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Is there a reason,	9	aware of any time when an officer, on their own
10	sir, why the City, when it was drafting this	10	volition, during any protest event, would have
11	dispersal order language, didn't include language	11	issued a dispersal order on their own without
12	specifying what the expectations are in terms of	12	approval from a commander responsible for that
13	the time period during which people could not	13	incident.
14	recongregate in a certain area?	14	Q Is there a reason, if I'm hearing you
15	MR. DIERKER: Same objection.	15	correctly that the City has what you've
16	A I don't know the reason.	16	characterized as a standard practice, that even
17	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) And since the	17	though any officer has the right to declare an
18	Stockley protesters were not aware of any attempts	18	unlawful assembly or issue a dispersal order, the
19	to modify this language such that if we have other	19	standard practice is it's only people at a higher
20	situations, potentially, the problems we've been	20	level do so.
21	discussing could be eliminated; is that a fair	21	Why isn't that delineated in writing
	-	22	•
	statement?		as a requirement to eminimate the risk that
22 23		23	as a requirement to eliminate the risk that officers who are not maybe as familiar with the
22			officers who are not maybe as familiar with the procedures do so incorrectly?

Fax: 314.644.1334

MR. DIERKER: Objection,

25

Q Okay. We talked before about who had

25

	Page 217		Page 219
1	argumentative.	1	1-01, Section XIII.
2	A I don't have an answer.	2	Q Did you when you talk about the
3	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Okay. Have the	3	training document, you were looking at Exhibit, I
4	City's policies or practices related to who has	4	believe that's 6 in front of you, Mr. Larson?
5	authority to issue a dispersal order changed in any	5	A Yes.
6	way since the Stockley protests?	6	Q Did you look at any actual training
7	A No.	7	materials that are used to educate police officers
8	Q Topic 8, if you look at it, deals	8	about dispersal orders?
9	with the circumstances when a dispersal order may	9	A I did not. I did not review any
10	be issued; correct?	10	PowerPoints other than the material that we talked
11	A Yes.	11	about.
12	Q And we looked at the statutory	12	Q Okay. Again, in the operations plan
13	provision, I believe that was Missouri Revised	13	that was issued shortly after the Stockley
14	Statute 574.060, which is in Exhibit 12, if you	14	protests, you weren't able to find there's no
15	have that?	15	training materials there referenced about the
16	A Are we discussing 574.060?	16	issuance of a dispersal order; correct?
17	Q Yes.	17	A No.
18	A Okay.	18	MR. PRAISS: Okay. Let me mark up
19	Q Am I correct, looking again at topic	19	another exhibit here.
20	8, that the circumstance under which a dispersal	20	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 15
21	order may be declared require that there has to be	21	marked for identification by the court reporter.)
22	people present at the scene of either unlawful	22	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Do you have Exhibit
23	assembly or at the scene of a riot; correct?	23	15 in front of you?
24	A An unlawful assembly, the statutory	24	A Yes.
25	language.	25	Q I think earlier today you referenced
			- Tamik carrier today you referenced
	Page 218		Page 220
1	Q In fact, the language of dispersal	1	a two-page document of that provided an outline
2	order, back to Exhibit 13, begins with "This is an	2	of training materials used by Sergeant Jemerson.
3	unlawful assembly"; correct?	3	Do you recall?
4	A Yes.	4	A Yes.
5	Q So before some police officer	5	Q Is Exhibit 15 that document?
6	declares a dispersal order, there has to be all of	6	A It is.
7	the facts necessary to establish an unlawful	7	Q Okay. And this is kind of the
8	assembly present; correct?	8	outline for the course that Sergeant Jemerson
9	A Yes, sir, the elements of the crime.	9	provides in connection with the Civil Disobedience
10	The unlawful assembly would need to be present	10	Team?
11	before a declaration or a dispersal order should be	11	A Yes.
12	given.	12	Q And this one's dated September 2014.
13	Q So topics 9 and 11 specifically deal	13	Do you see that?
14	with training provided to police officers relating	14	A Yes.
15	to the City's policies and practices under	15	Q And there's a long list of topics
16	circumstances dispersal order should be declared	16	included on this two-page document; correct?
17	and made and enforced.	17	A Yes.
18	Do you see that?	18	Q Okay. And the instructional goal it
19	A Yes.	19	talks about "preparing team members for the task of
20	Q What specific steps did you take to	20	staging a coordinated, safe, constitutionally sound
21	prepare to testify with respect to topics 9 and 11?	21	response to this civil disobedience events";
22	A I reviewed the training document	22	correct?
23	prepared on protests on unlawful assembly which I	23	A Yes.
24	believe talks about dispersal orders. Again, I	24	Q So one objective is to make sure that
25	reviewed the Special Order on chemical munitions,	25	the Civil Disobedience Teams knows how to act in a
		1	

	Page 221		Page 223
1	constitutional, sound manner; correct?	1	of any other training materials used to train
2	A Yes.	2	police officers about the use of chemical agents,
3	Q With respect to the use I'm sorry.	3	about dispersal orders or unlawful assembly or any
4	With respect to dispersal warnings and the	4	of the other topics we've discussed so far today;
5	requirements regarding the use of chemical	5	is that a fair summary?
6	munitions, that's identified in the fourth topic;	6	A That is a fair summary, I am not
7	correct?	7	aware of anything other than what we've discussed.
8	A Yes.	8	Q Thank you. We are going to the last
9	Q Have you yourself, in your individual	9	topic and that is topics 18 through 24. So there
10	strike that.	10	is a large group there.
11	As a corporate representative or in	11	A Yes.
12	your individual capacity, have you actually ever	12	Q I will try and cover them here in the
13	seen the material specific underlying materials	13	next maybe 45 minutes or so and we'll be done.
14	that Randy Jemerson uses to teach the elements laid	14	(Plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) Exhibit 16
15	out in the fourth bullet point here?	15	marked for identification by the court reporter.)
16	A I did not.	16	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Mr. Larson, you've
17	Q In preparing for today's deposition	17	been handed what's been marked for identification
18	you didn't take the time to do that?	18	purposes as Exhibit 16.
19	A No.	19	Do you see that?
20	Q Do you know if he actually has any	20	A Yes.
21	handwritten materials, any typed materials that he	21	Q This is a Declaration by Charles Wall
22	actually provides the members of the Civil	22	regarding Exhibit A, which is attached to his
23	Disobedience Teams to make sure they act in a	23	Declaration.
24	constitutionally sound manner in issuing dispersal	24	Do you see that?
25	warnings and releasing chemical munitions at	25	A Yes.
	Page 222		Page 224
1	protesters?	1	Q And you identified early on today
2	A I do not.	2	that Mr. Charles Wall was actually, if memory
3	Q Would it be a concern for you to find	3	serves me correctly, the only individual other than
4	out that there are no such documents prepared and	4	attorneys that you met with to prepare for today's
5	provided to the members of the Civil Disobedience	5	deposition; is that correct?
6	Team?	6	A Yes.
7	A It would be a concern; however, I	7	Q Okay. And in paragraph 2 Mr. Wall
8	know that in order to have an outline such as this	8	
8	know that in order to have an outline such as this prepared, that there would have to have materials	8 9	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis
	prepared, that there would have to have materials	9	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to
9 10	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape,	9	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and
9 10 11	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are	9 10 11	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters.
9 10 11 12	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that	9 10 11 12	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that?
9 10 11 12 13	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available.	9 10 11 12 13	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that	9 10 11 12 13 14	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.)	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were present here to hear it. My question to you is,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to your knowledge, first of all, Mr. Wall the author
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were present here to hear it. My question to you is, other than Exhibit 6, which is a presentation that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to your knowledge, first of all, Mr. Wall the author of Exhibit A?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were present here to hear it. My question to you is, other than Exhibit 6, which is a presentation that was given to senior staff on August 16, '17, and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to your knowledge, first of all, Mr. Wall the author of Exhibit A? A I believe he created the spreadsheet
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were present here to hear it. My question to you is, other than Exhibit 6, which is a presentation that was given to senior staff on August 16, '17, and the two-page outline used by Mr. Randy Jemerson	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to your knowledge, first of all, Mr. Wall the author of Exhibit A? A I believe he created the spreadsheet with possibly help from the IT department to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prepared, that there would have to have materials that would support this outline in some way, shape, or form, and, therefore, I believe that there are that that is being that is occurring, that those training materials are available. MR. PRAISS: Go off the record a second. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Moving on. We had a long discussion between counsel, and you were present here to hear it. My question to you is, other than Exhibit 6, which is a presentation that was given to senior staff on August 16, '17, and	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identifies that he is employed by the St. Louis City division of police and is current assigned to the police legal unit, and assist in discovery and related matters. Do you see that? A Yes. Q That's what you referenced here today; correct? A Yes. Q Gotcha. I want to focus on really the substance of Exhibit A in particular. Is to your knowledge, first of all, Mr. Wall the author of Exhibit A? A I believe he created the spreadsheet

	Page 225		Page 227
1	were the source of all this information?	1	determined the name that's included in Exhibit A?
2	A I believe there were the records	2	A I would assume Charlie Wall, that
3	management system, I/LEADS we've discussed was one	3	Sergeant Wall did, or the from the original
4	source. I believe there was a source from the	4	list, the Action Name is the group that was
5	intelligence division/Real Time Crime Center that	5	associated with the incident. So there's several
6	contributed to this, and I also believe that there	6	obviously different groups, that was the that's
7	were possibly information received from a CDT or	7	how it would be determined the Action Name.
8	SWAT supervisor that had a list of incidents that	8	Q Okay. The next column is the number
9	contributed to these.	9	of protesters. What information did Mr. Wall rely
10	Q You identified I believe three	10	on to come up with the numbers identified?
11	different sources?	11	A The estimated numbers from the
12	A Yes.	12	reports and wherever we could glean that
13	Q For each one, if you could go slower	13	information from. So most likely a records
14	for me and identify what that source was and what	14	management system.
15	type of information, if any, you believe that	15	Q The Details Summary column has more
16	source would have provided for any of the columns	16	language than other columns.
17	identified in Exhibit A?	17	Do you see that?
18	A Well, the the sources are I'm	18	A Yes.
19	going to do this rather generally at first. The	19	Q And again, this was inputted by Mr.
20	information, as far as detail summary, the units	20	Wall: correct?
21	involved, the disposition of arrests, things like	21	A Yes.
22	that, that all came from the records management	22	Q Did anybody provide an input with
23	system.	23	that, or what information did he use to describe
24	The actual protests themselves, or,	24	the details that he laid in?
25	we got two lists from the two groups, we compared	25	A He would have used the information
	Page 226		Page 228
1	them to the records management system or searched	,	
		1	that was provided from the two lists, checking that
2	parameters within the record management system, and	2	that was provided from the two lists, checking that against the records management system, reviewing
2	parameters within the record management system, and then created this list of potential of cases		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	- ·	2	against the records management system, reviewing
3	then created this list of potential of cases	2	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary.
3 4	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on	2 3 4	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would
3 4 5	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size.	2 3 4 5	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information?
3 4 5 6	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a	2 3 4 5 6	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system,
3 4 5 6 7	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need.	2 3 4 5 6 7	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records
3 4 5 6 7 8	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	then created this list of potential — of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides — covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then created this list of potential — of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides — covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving arrests would have come from the I/LEADS report.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then created this list of potential — of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides — covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place? A Yes. Q Start Time, pretty self-explanatory,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving arrests would have come from the I/LEADS report. Q Okay. The Report number, what does
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then created this list of potential — of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides — covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place? A Yes. Q Start Time, pretty self-explanatory, it's the start time of the protest?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving arrests would have come from the I/LEADS report. Q Okay. The Report number, what does that refer to?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then created this list of potential — of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides — covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place? A Yes. Q Start Time, pretty self-explanatory, it's the start time of the protest? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving arrests would have come from the I/LEADS report. Q Okay. The Report number, what does that refer to? A That refers to the incident case
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then created this list of potential of cases that met the criteria of protest events based on size. Q Okay. I may follow up on that in a few minutes, but let's keep going and I'll decide how much more detail I need. Am I correct the summary in Exhibit A provides covers protests in the City from March 15, 2012, until the last one identified is July 24, 2018? A Yes. Q I want to go through the headings on Exhibit A, and obviously the first one is Date. Am I correct that just reflects the date of when that particular protest took place? A Yes. Q Start Time, pretty self-explanatory, it's the start time of the protest? A Yes. Q Location is where the protest took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	against the records management system, reviewing the reports, and then creating a detail summary. Q Okay. Police Manpower, where would he have gotten that information? A From the records management system, who was involved. Q Okay. When you say "records management system," you used that phrase twice now. What specifically are you what system are you referring to? A The I/LEADS reports. Q I just wanted to make sure we were on the same page. Thank you. Disposition includes various information and level of detail. Where would that information have come from? A From the dispositions involving arrests would have come from the I/LEADS report. Q Okay. The Report number, what does that refer to? A That refers to the incident case number that we were discussing earlier, the I/LEADS

	ERIC LARSO	JIN 7/0	3/2013
	Page 229		Page 231
1	only one, others there's a whole series of them.	1	report is not prepared, a code might be given,
2	And again, how is that determined whether there's	2	responded to monitor protest, no police action
3	one or a series with respect to one protest?	3	needed, put me back in service. The P number is
4	A The size of the event, the number of	4	closed.
5	the arrests, the individual charges, how it, just	5	But the P number is just there, I
6	it's determined on a case-by-case basis.	6	don't know if he was, what purpose he had it on
7	Q Okay. How are those reports	7	that list for, if it was something he was going to
8	maintained by the City?	8	go back and do or if if there was, if we decided
9	A They're maintained within the I/LEADS	9	not to follow up on that information.
10	system.	10	Q Very few of these entries have a P
11	Q So if I wanted a report identified	11	number referenced in this column.
12	here, how difficult would it be to locate it?	12	Do you see that?
13	A It would not be difficult at all.	13	A Yes.
14	Q How difficult is it, if at all, to	14	Q Help me understand what is the
15	determine all of the applicable reports that	15	significance of a P number that's identified on
16	correspond to a particular protest?	16	those few line items where it's present, and
17	A I'm a little confused by the	17	equally, what is the significance of the fact that
18	question.	18	there is no P number on the majority of them?
19	Q Yeah. So when Mr. Wall was preparing	19	A The majority of the P numbers, it
20	putting this document and, let's say, take the	20	appears from this list, don't have arrests
21	first line item, Occupy Midwest, and the one dated	21	associated with them. So we knew there was a
22	March 15, '12.	22	protest, we monitored the protest, and no protest
23	A Mm-hmm.	23	number or call for service.
24	Q How hard is it for him to run a	24	Now, I am also looking at, it looks
25	search and locate all the applicable reports that	25	like chronologically, the inclusion of the P number
	Page 230		Page 232
1	relate to that one? I guess different way of	1	becomes more prevalent in more recent time lines
2	asking it is, when he came across the report number	2	and it may have been to associate potential
3	identified here, how does he know there aren't any	3	information with those, with these incidents
4	other reports out there?	4	internally.
5	A The search parameter, primarily from	5	Q Let's look at the entry for the
6	the IT department, information technology, would	6	Stockley verdict protest on September 15, 2017.
7	have put in specific parameters, keyword phrases	7	There is a long list of P numbers associated there.
8	keyword searches, protest, arrests, date and time.	8	Do you see that? On the bottom of the page and
9	So once we could narrow down the date and time of	9	it's page 4 of 9 of this filed with the court?
10	particular incidents, we could run a search through	10	A Yes.
11	the records management system, I/LEADS, we could	11	Q I'm just using that one as an example
12	search it by various parameters to determine that	12	where
13	we have everything that's available.	13	A Page 4 of 9. Yes. 9/15, yes, at the
14	Q Good. The next column is P and a	14	bottom of the page.
15	number sign. What does that stand for?	15	Q And you see under the column
16	A P and the number sign, I believe that	16	associated with the P number, there is quite a few
17	would be the, quote, P number. So a P number is a	17	entries there; right?
18	number that is in our computer-aided dispatch	18	A Yes.
19	network.	19	Q If I was to get a copy of the first
20	So an officer is sent to a location.	20	one, P1709150933, what would I be looking at?
21	A P number is generated. P number associates that	21	A You would be looking at a call log in
22	officer to the call for service. If there is a	22	the computer-aided dispatch that relates to a
23	report written, obviously there is a complaint	23	dispatch request.
24	number drawn, complaint number is associated to a P	24	Q And what's the significance of having
25	number, and then the report is prepared. If the	25	a P number in connection with a protest, if any?

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

	Page 233		Page 235
1	A There is no I mean, we use P	1	the word "mace," in his mind is he saying that's
2	numbers for every police call for service.	2	the use of the fogger, or the handheld, or both?
3	Q Okay. Is there can you think of a	3	A I would say that it is exactly that,
4	reason why Mr. Wall included this column and what	4	it is mace. And it doesn't delineate which,
5	significance, if any, does it have? Is what I'm	5	whether it was the small canister or the high
6	trying to understand.	6	output canister, in the preparation of that. But
7	A I think the significance is that a P	7	it was separate from the chemical munitions being
8	number was located related to the incident.	8	launched by SWAT.
9	Q But the fact that the P number was	9	Q So when SWAT launches chemical
10	referenced here has no significance in terms of	10	munitions, using the different techniques that they
11	what the police conduct was or what the protesters	11	have, that's under chemical munitions, and for
12	did or what level of force was used or any other	12	purposes of this chart, when he uses the word
13	issues?	13	"mace," it applies to mace regardless the manner in
14		14	
15	A No, I don't believe so.	15	which it's deployed, whether it's a handheld device
_	Q Simply a call to dispatch is all it		or a fogger; correct?
16	is?	16	A Yes.
17	A Yes.	17	Q Gotcha. Thank you for that
18	Q Okay. I think that more than enough	18	clarification. Every time there is a reference
19	covers the P number issue.	19	here to "mace" or "chemical munitions," am I
20	(Off the record.)	20	correct that under the Special Order and I/LEADS
21	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) The last two columns	21	report, was supposed to have been prepared?
22	there titled Resistance and Force. Do you see	22	A An I/LEADS report should have been
23	those, Mr. Larson?	23	prepared.
24	A Yes.	24	Q On this chart which record would
25	Q What is your understanding of where	25	identify I/LEADS report that corresponded that
	Page 234		Page 236
1	Mr. Wall would have gotten the information that he	1	would reflect the use of mace or chemical munitions
2	included in those two columns?	2	in connection with that protest?
3	A That would have come from the I/LEADS	3	A The report number.
4	reports that were created.	4	Q So the report number is the key
5	Q Under the column involving Force, do	5	document if I'm interested to learn about the use
6	you see some places identify the use of mace?	6	of chemical munitions or mace for each protest?
7	A Yes.	7	A Yes.
8	Q And other places identify the use of	8	Q Gotcha. Do you remember we looked a
9	chemical munitions; correct?	9	little before at that After Action Critique that
-	A Yes.	10	you submitted?
10		1	,
10 11	Q Is there, for purposes of this chart,	11	A Yes.
11			
11 12	are those two terms mutually exclusive?	12	Q You recall you were the only one who
11 12 13	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this	12 13	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to
11 12 13 14	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The	12 13 14	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that
11 12 13 14 15	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate – would relate more to	12 13 14 15	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique
11 12 13 14 15 16	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those	12 13 14 15 16	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be	12 13 14 15 16 17	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also encompass a situation where a fogger is used?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them. Q Okay. Am I correct that when it
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also encompass a situation where a fogger is used? A It could.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them. Q Okay. Am I correct that when it talks in the After Action Critique about the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also encompass a situation where a fogger is used? A It could. Q Do you know one way or the other?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them. Q Okay. Am I correct that when it talks in the After Action Critique about the handling special/major events, that each of these
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also encompass a situation where a fogger is used? A It could. Q Do you know one way or the other? Rather than could, does it, is my question?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them. Q Okay. Am I correct that when it talks in the After Action Critique about the handling special/major events, that each of these protests would qualify as a special/major event?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are those two terms mutually exclusive? A I would say for the purposes of this chart, they are not mutually exclusive. The chemical munitions relate — would relate more to the launching the gas guns, the pepper balls, those type of things. When he has mace, that would be much more akin to the handheld canister. Q When he uses "mace," does it also encompass a situation where a fogger is used? A It could. Q Do you know one way or the other?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You recall you were the only one who did so after the Stockley verdict? My question to you is, is there any reference on this chart that identifies whether or not an After Action Critique was issued and submitted by all of the detail commanders and supervisors with respect to the special/major events identified in these protests? A There is not a column for them. Q Okay. Am I correct that when it talks in the After Action Critique about the handling special/major events, that each of these

	Page 237	Pag	e 239
1	we had to respond quickly to. So an operations	1 row, correct, where mace was used?	
2	plan would not have been created if there was an	2 A Yes.	
3	operations plan for any of any of these, and I'm	3 Q Okay. Please take a few seconds,	
4	not presently aware which ones may have had one and	4 we'll go off the record and identify and mark,	for
5	which ones wouldn't. The larger ones would have	5 your benefit and mine, those incidents where	
6	had one if we were prepared for civil disturbance.	6 see dispersal orders issued over the PA, unla	•
7	Q So to have an operational planning	7 assembly declared, in the columns under Dis	
8	document prepared, if I'm understanding correctly,	8 and in the column under Force where it indicates	
9	the City has to have some kind of a notice that an	9 that mace and chemical munitions were used	
10	event's going to happen and in that situation,	going to focus on those.	
11	subsequent to that event is when the detail	11 A Okay.	
12	commanders and supervisors are supposed to submit	12 (Off the record.)	
13	their After Action Critique; correct?	13 A Thank you, I believe I'm finished.	
14	A Yes.	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr.	
15	Q Okay. From your understanding of the	Larson, for doing that. It will make the	
16	systems used by the City, how difficult is it to	questioning go much, much quicker, trust me	
17	search for and determine to what extent an	17 A Lunderstand.	
18	operational plan was created for a particular	18 Q You notice that on topics 18 through	I
19	protest that's identified here?	24 it uses the phrase "prior protests," which is	s a
20	A It would not be difficult. The	defined term; right? In the definitions section	of
21	operational planning unit would keep a record of	21 the notice?	
22	the events that they have created details for and	22 A Correct.	
23	they would be on file.	Q Okay. And it makes a distinction	
24	Q And how difficult would it be to	between those situations when individuals ar	е
25	determine whether or not all the detail commanders	protesting police conduct or are not; do you	
	Page 238	Pag	e 240
1	_	_	e 240
1 2	Page 238 and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an	Pag 1 understand that? 2 A Yes.	e 240
	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique	1 understand that?	
2	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an	1 understand that?2 A Yes.	f
2	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for	 understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask or 	f nk and
2 3 4	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because	 understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask or you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in 	f nk and and to
2 3 4 5	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning	 understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows 	f nk and and to 's
2 3 4 5 6	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filling just as you received mine.	 understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City 	f nk and and to r's testers
2 3 4 5 6 7	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.)	 understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro 	f ak and and to 's testers rite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to we	f nk and and to r's testers rrite now of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to we maybe the abbreviation I came up with just	f nk and and to 's testers rrite now of t. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to w maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct.	f and to and to s's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask or you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to we maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand	f and to and to s's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filling just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to w maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.)	f and to and to s's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to we maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct.	f and to and to s's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to we maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr.	f ak and and to and to r's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to w maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise.	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ling of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to w maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ling of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do?	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to w maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and	f nk and and to r's testers rrite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do? A Yeah. You're asking for okay.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to way maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and identified with the abbreviation PPC for products.	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do? A Yeah. You're asking for okay. Q Let's slow down. Let's make sure	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to way maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and identified with the abbreviation PPC for propolice conduct in applicable rows, for Marce.	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ding of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filling just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do? A Yeah. You're asking for okay. Q Let's slow down. Let's make sure we're doing the same thing.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to way maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and identified with the abbreviation PPC for propolice conduct in applicable rows, for Marc 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the St	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ding of the stesting the steeting the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filing just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do? A Yeah. You're asking for okay. Q Let's slow down. Let's make sure we're doing the same thing. A Mm-hmm.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve pro challenging police conduct, find a way to we maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and identified with the abbreviation PPC for propolice conduct in applicable rows, for Marc 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the St protest took place, during that time period,	f nk and and to and testers and of and testing and testing and testing and testing by and testing and testing by and testi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and supervisors submitted an After Action Critique after that event, assuming that there was an operational plan issued for A It shouldn't be difficult because they would have been sent to operational planning for filling just as you received mine. (Off the record.) Q (BY MR. PRAISS) I'll give you my highlighter. A Okay. Thank you. Q I would appreciate I've gone and done my best but I doubt that I did a very good job so I need to you help me. Highlight those rows and as you go through it, identify on the record maybe some information, the date, for example, where officers issue a dispersal order and/or use chemical munitions or mace in connection with a protest that's outlined here. See what I'm asking to you do? A Yeah. You're asking for okay. Q Let's slow down. Let's make sure we're doing the same thing.	understand that? A Yes. Q So I have one other favor to ask of you. I'll give you my pen because it's red in take your time and go through all the rows the extent the particular protest, as the City representative, you understand involve prochallenging police conduct, find a way to way maybe the abbreviation I came up with just PPC, standing for protesting police conduct what I want is to have the City's understand which of these protests involved protesters protesting police conduct. (Off the record.) A Okay, I'm done. Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Thank you, Mr. Larson. Now this should be just an exercise looking at your markings. If you look at the Exhibit A, that you've now highlighted and identified with the abbreviation PPC for propolice conduct in applicable rows, for Marc 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the St	f nk and and to r's testers rite now of t. So ling of testing h 15, tockley please in the

	Page 241	Page 243
1	an unlawful assembly or issued a dispersal order in	1 where you have identified that the City declared an
2	responding to a protest.	2 unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order, of
3	A I'm sorry, both conditions need to be	3 those 15, how many have the abbreviation PPC for
4	met?	4 protests of police conduct included? Do you
5	Q Either condition. No, no, either	5 understand my question?
6	one.	6 A I do. I'm
7	A Can you give that to me again?	7 Q Of the 15 you've just identified, how
8	Because I want to make sure I marked this	8 many have involved the public protesting the police
9	correctly.	9 conduct?
10	Q Okay. I'm looking for any protest	10 A It looks like 12.
11	from March 15, '12, the beginning, until the	11 Q Fair to say that the vast majority of
12	Stockley protest, where the police declared an	the instances where the City, the police declare an
13	unlawful assembly or issued a dispersal order.	13 unlawful assembly or issue a dispersal order, they
14	A Okay.	14 involve situations where the public was protesting
15	Q So it will be in the column	15 the police conduct?
16	obviously, we're looking under the times when	16 A I think that there's numerous
17	you highlight the column under Disposition, and	17 explanations for that, but yes, based on the list
18	just count those for me.	18 that we've prepared and the questions that you've
19	A Okay. If my math is correct, it	19 asked me, those things are yes.
20	appears to be 16 times dispersal orders were given.	20 Q If you could do me a favor and refer
21	Q Okay. Again, my question was, either	21 back to your the Exhibit A, focusing again on
22	dispersal or an unlawful assembly. I'm combining	the time period from March 15, 2012, up to and
23	the two. I'm not drawing a distinction.	23 including the Stockley protests, identify the
24	A That either a dispersal order or an	number of instances where the police used either
25	unlawful assembly was committed.	25 chemical munitions or mace in responding to a
	Page 242	Page 244
	1 age 242	T ugc Z++
1	Q Okay. So just so the record is	1 protest, and let me know how many instances you
1 2	· ·	
	Q Okay. So just so the record is	protest, and let me know how many instances you
2	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with.
2 3	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you
2 3 4	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir.
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up.	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15.	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number.	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about prior to September 15?	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of use, it's referring to deployment through the SWAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about prior to September 15? MR. PRAISS: Up through including the	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of use, it's referring to deployment through the SWAT Team or — but not of mace through a handheld
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about prior to September 15? MR. PRAISS: Up through including the Stockley protest.	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of use, it's referring to deployment through the SWAT Team or – but not of mace through a handheld device.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about prior to September 15? MR. PRAISS: Up through including the Stockley protest. A And I counted through the entire	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of use, it's referring to deployment through the SWAT Team or – but not of mace through a handheld device. A I believe 5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. So just so the record is clear, and I apologize, but from your review of your highlighting on Exhibit A, it's your testimony there appear to be 16 different instances from March 15, 2012, until September 17, 2017, when the City declared an unlawful assembly or issued dispersal order in responding to a protest; is that correct? A Yes, the number appears to be 16. Q I'm puzzled because I only came up with 13, but I believe you. You probably found instances I forgot to highlight, so I'm looking again at my work real quick. Looking for the words "dispersal order" or "unlawful assembly" under the column of Disposition and trying to get those added up. A This time I came up with 15. Q Okay. Let's go with your number. MR. DIERKER: You were talking about prior to September 15? MR. PRAISS: Up through including the Stockley protest.	protest, and let me know how many instances you come up with. A I want to make sure I understand you correctly, sir. Q All I'm asking now is if you look at the use of Force column, use of Force and look for any situations where either "chemical munitions" or "mace" appears, and let me know how many rows you come up there. A Thirteen. Q Just to make sure we're referring to the same thing, it's your testimony that there is 13 rows in Exhibit A where under the use of Force column the words "mace" or "chemical munitions" appear? A Yes. Q Okay. Of those 13, how many specifically identify chemical munitions, which you have explained is distinct in this case because of use, it's referring to deployment through the SWAT Team or – but not of mace through a handheld device.

	Page 245		Page 247
1	chemical munitions are referenced, of those, how	1	but I think we may be close to an end, if not
2	many rows also include the abbreviation PPC that	2	already there.
3	you've marked indicating that not only were	3	(Off the record.)
4	chemical munitions or mace used but involved a	4	MR. PRAISS: Mr. Larson, I very much
5	protest where the public was protesting police	5	appreciate your patience today and throughout this
6	conduct?	6	whole process. I have no further questions for you
7	A Five.	7	and thank you very much.
8	Q Was there ever a Code 1200 in effect	8	MR. DIERKER: Well, regrettably, I do
9	during the Stockley protests?	9	have a couple.
10	MR. DIERKER: Object to form, lack of	10	MR. PRAISS: Which is always a risk
11	foundation.	11	that I may ask a bunch of new questions.
12	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) Do you understand my	12	(Off the record.)
13	question, sir?	13	EXAMINATION
14	A I do. I do believe you're asking if	14	QUESTIONS BY MR. DIERKER:
15	a formal Code 1200 was declared, and I know that we	15	Q So, Major, I would like to clarify
16	had mutual aide units involved, St. Louis City, the	16	for the record, we've talked about foggers in
17	Highway Patrol, those would come under the auspices	17	connection with mace, and is a fogger also known by
18	of a 1200. Whether we actually, quote, declared a	18	another term?
19	1200, I can't say we did using that terminology but	19	A It could be known by a streamer a
20	we did coordinate with St. Louis County, the	20	high output mace.
21	Highway Patrol unit agencies, which would fall	21	Q Okay. And that device, what is the
22	under an umbrella of a potential 1200.	22	difference between that device and the hand the,
23	Q In order to bring in those resources,	23	what I'll describe as the individual handheld
24	is it a prerequisite that you have to declare a	24	device?
25	Code 1200?	25	A It's a larger container and it shoots
	Page 246		Page 248
1	A Not as a prerequisite. 1200, because	1	a stream farther, dissipates.
2	this was more of a planned response to a proposed	2	Q And as far as its usage, is its usage
3	issue or potential issue, we would do that. The	3	when would an officer use a streamer as opposed
4	1200 is really an emergency operations all hazard	4	to the smaller handheld device?
5	plan for what we would do in the case of a	5	A The they would use the a
6	spontaneous type event. So I can't tell you that	6	sergeant or above would be issued the larger
7	we declared a 1200 in principle or verbiage but the	7	device. It would be used as the same as the
8	spirit of having mutual aid was performed.	8	handheld device but when you need a greater range.
9	Q Gotcha. Did the City consider the	9	Q So it would depend on the
10	Stockley protest to constitute a 7250 at any point?	10	circumstances in which the officer feels that it
11	MR. DIERKER: Objection, form, lack	11	needs to be deployed against an individual or more
12	of foundation.	12	than one individual?
13	A A 17250, as far as I believe is	13	A Potentially, yeah, it's used
14	that the unlawful assembly? Or	14	primarily with crowd dispersal and crowd control.
	Q (BY MR. PRAISS) The Jemerson	15	Q Okay. I'd like to call your
15		16	attention to Deposition Exhibit 14 and I'd like to
15 16	training document I think refers to that?	16	
		17	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY
16	training document I think refers to that?	17 18	•
16 17	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250.	17	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY
16 17 18	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250. Q Yes.	17 18	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY 00421. Can you read the headings?
16 17 18 19	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250. Q Yes. A barricaded subject. I'm sorry.	17 18 19	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY 00421. Can you read the headings? A The heading is Civil Disobedience
16 17 18 19 20	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250. Q Yes. A barricaded subject. I'm sorry. No, it would not have fallen under the barricaded	17 18 19 20	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY 00421. Can you read the headings? A The heading is Civil Disobedience Response Protocols.
16 17 18 19 20 21	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250. Q Yes. A A barricaded subject. I'm sorry. No, it would not have fallen under the barricaded subject type of incident command.	17 18 19 20 21	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY 00421. Can you read the headings? A The heading is Civil Disobedience Response Protocols. Q And what circumstances does that
16 17 18 19 20 21	training document I think refers to that? A Oh, you're talking about a 7250. Q Yes. A A barricaded subject. I'm sorry. No, it would not have fallen under the barricaded subject type of incident command. Q Gotcha. Okay.	17 18 19 20 21 22	call your attention to what's Bates stamped CITY 00421. Can you read the headings? A The heading is Civil Disobedience Response Protocols. Q And what circumstances does that address, in summary?

	Page 249		Page 251
1	Q And any specific protocol or	1	whom?
2	circumstance that it's designed for?	2	A The software is licensed from the
3	A I mean, I'm not sure I understand	3	Genetec company who is currently assisting us
4	your question, sir.	4	trying to resolve the issue with the corrupted
5	Q I'll rephrase it. To what extent, if	5	data.
6	any, does it pertain to dispersal orders or	6	MR. DIERKER: If I could huddle with
7	announcements?	7	Andrew for just a second?
8	A Oh, it talks about the importance of	8	(Off the record.)
9	respecting the individuals' First Amendment rights	9	MR. DIERKER: Nothing further.
10	and that law violations and dispersement issues are	10	RE-EXAMINATION
11	given appropriately, that warnings need to be	11	QUESTIONS BY MR. PRAISS:
12	given, we need to allow for the appropriate time	12	Q I have a series of new questions for
13	for persons to vacate the area, and then afterward,	13	you, my friend. I'm sorry.
14	the appropriate time, an incident commander or	14	A I knew you did.
15	operation commander can indicate that arrests will	15	Q And going in reverse order, the last
16	need to be made for violations of law.	16	series of questions dealt with video, that is, I
17	Q And with regard to the shorthand	17	believe, topic 25 of your deposition, the one that
18	terminology of "kettle," I would like to refer you	18	you were unable to the City's unable to retrieve
19	to page CITY 00427 in Exhibit 14, if you could read	19	at this point; you understand that?
20	the headings on that?	20	A Correct. I believe we provided a
21	A The Civil Disobedience Team Civil	21	file in all of the files that we have provided and
22	Disobedience Team Arrest Procedure.	22	it was determined that we have that that file
23	Q Is there another heading below that?	23	was not functioning properly.
24	A Next is Civil Disobedience Hand-Off	24	Q It's the only file from the only
25	Team.	25	camera that we cannot access; you understand that?
	Page 250		Page 252
1	Q And in your understanding, does that	1	A My understanding was that there were
2	address the situation where arrests from one to far	2	two files from that particular camera on two
3	more than one would have to be dealt with?	3	different dates that couldn't be accessed.
4	A Yes.	4	Q But it's that one camera I meant to
5	Q I would like to put on the record	5	say?
6	that when we had a recess, did we undertake to try	6	A Yes.
7	to get some answers to the camera maintenance	7	Q It's only one camera among all the
8	issues that were raised earlier?	8	cameras that were used to record the Stockley
9	A Yes.	9	protest for which which is critical in our eyes
10	 Q And is it your understanding what, 	10	but, coincidentally, we don't have the ability to
11	if anything, is your understanding as to who	11	view what it recorded. You do understand that's
12	maintains the camera at 14th and Locust?	12	what we're talking about?
13	A The maintenance of the camera would	13	A Ido.
14	be the street department, City of St. Louis. That	14	Q And it sounds like at some point
15	was the agency I alluded to in my prior testimony	15	during the break you made some inquiries about that
16	as working with us who maintains the camera. I	16	subject; correct?
17	wasn't sure I wasn't 100 percent sure it was the	17	A Yes.
18	street department and I didn't want to state that	18	Q Who did you call?
19	without having that confirmed knowledge.	19	A We talked with Lieutenant Brent Feig
20	Q And with regard to the video that's	20	of the intelligence division, Real Time Crime
21 22	actually recorded, is that a matter of software or	21 22	Center.
	hardware?	23	Q Okay. And did you ask him if there
23 24	A My understanding is it is a matter of	24	was any written communications between anybody on the City side and Genetec with respect to the
25	software, not hardware. Q And the software is maintained by	25	circumstances relating to the malfunction of this
۷.5	And the software is maintained by		circumstances relating to the manufiction of this

	Page 253		Page 255
1	particular camera and the fact that these video	1	Team Arrest Procedure and Civil Disobedience
2	recordings are not retrievable?	2	Hand-Off Team 1?
3	A I did not ask him that.	3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Is there a reason why you	4	Q Am I correct neither of those
5	didn't?	5	subjects have anything to do with the use of
6	A No. I mean, I know that we're	6	chemical agents, dispersal orders, or unlawful
7	working on trying to get a resolution to this one	7	assemblies?
8	way or the other, but no, I did not.	8	A No. That's correct.
9	Q So sitting here today you still have	9	Q Okay. And if you go, please, to the
10	no knowledge, no different than it was many hours	10	other page that you were directed to, I believe
11	before we were here today, in terms of at what	11	it's CITY Bates number 421 and 422, and am I
12	point in time somebody first realized that that	12	correct the heading for that section is Civil
13	camera was malfunctioning back around September 15,	13	Disobedience Response Protocols?
14	2017?	14	A Yes, that is correct, sir.
15	A No.	15	Q Okay. Am I correct that the sum and
16	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that,	16	substance of that section under Civil Disobedience
17	sitting here today as the representative of the	17	Response Protocols is five paragraphs, about half a
18	City on topic 25, you are making an assumption that	18	page?
19	the camera never recorded rather than that the	19	A That is an appropriate description,
20	recording was somehow lost subsequent to?	20	Sir.
21	A No, based on my inquiry into the	21	Q Am I correct that the phrase the
22	topic, there is data present in the file.	22	terms "unlawful assembly" and "failure to disperse"
23	Therefore, the belief is that something was	23	appear only one time in a parenthetical on the
24 25	recorded and that somehow the software has not	24 25	bottom of CITY 421? A Yes.
25	either adequately recorded it or for play back,	45	A res.
	Page 254		Page 256
1	that's what the whole process is, the investigation	1	Q Am I correct there is nothing in this
2	is ongoing trying to determine what is there and is	2	section in terms of protocols explaining or
3	it recoverable, but there is data present is what I	3	providing guidance under what circumstance, if any,
4	am told.	4	unlawful assembly or failure to disperse should be
5	Q And at this point, do you know one	5	made by police officers?
6	way or the other if at any time since September of	6	A I'm sorry, you lost me, but I
7	2017, that camera has consistently, up until the	7	Q I'll ask it again.
8	last few weeks, malfunctioned consistently and	8	A Please. Thank you.
9	never been able to record for the same software	9	Q Am I correct that, other than the
10	problem that you reference or, to the contrary,	10	fact that the terms "unlawful assembly" and
11	somewhere along the line somebody realized there	11	"failing to disperse" appear in a parenthetical,
12	was a problem and they fixed the camera?	12	there is nothing in the section that we're looking
13	A I don't have that answer.	13	at, the Civil Disobedience Response Protocol, that
14	Q Okay. Counsel asked you a series of	14	advises police officers under what circumstance
15 16	questions about Exhibit 14, the OPs plan?	16	they can declare an unlawful assembly or issue a failure to disperse declaration?
16 17	A Yes. Q Do you have that?	17	A Yes.
18	A Yes.	18	Q There is no such, nothing else;
19	Q Again, I'll work backwards. I think	19	correct?
20	the last sequence of questions dealt with what's on	20	A There is nothing there that
21	Bates number CITY 427 and 428. I'll let you catch	21	references that.
22	up with me.	22	Q Am I correct there is nothing in this
23	A Okay. 427, 428.	23	section under Civil Disobedience Response Protocols
24	Q Am I correct the headings on page 427	24	that in any way mentions the – under what
25	in that situation deals with a Civil Disobedience	25	circumstance, if any, it's appropriate to use

	Page 257		Page 259
1	chemical agents, whether it's handheld pepper spray	1	Q Okay. And do you recall very early
2	or other chemical agents?	2	on today we looked at, for example, what we marked
3	A No, I don't believe so.	3	at Exhibits 8 and 9 of your deposition. In
4	Q Okay. And then finally, there was a	4	particular Exhibit 8, do you recall you testified
5	reference at the very beginning of the questioning	5	that there is a significant area that it's in
6	from counsel about the term "foggers"; do you	6	the light blue color – that is indicative of a
7	recall?	7	very large spray range, based on the use of a
8	A Yes.	8	fogger is what it appears to be?
9	Q And you said that there is a name for	9	A Potentially based on the fact that
10	it also as streamers?	10	that's assuming that this is in fact that that
11	A Some officers refer to it as	11	cloud is an OC mace chemical.
12	streamers. I think there is a lot of confusion on	12	Q Can you think of anything else that
13	the on the topic itself because people tend to	13	was used by the police in connection with the
14	use different nomenclature for similar items.	14	kettle that would generate that kind of a spray
15	Q Okay. And that's what I'm trying to	15	mist over a group of people?
16	focus on. There is only one actual object, whether	16	A No, I cannot, other than inert smoke
17	it's called a fogger or a streamer, there's not	17	perhaps, but I don't believe that that would be
18	different types, it's one device	18	applicable.
19	A Right.	19	Q You would not use inert smoke gas
20	Q that deploys pepper mace at a very	20	with a group of people surrounding you?
21	high rate and over a larger area; correct?	21	A No, generally not.
22	A Yes.	22	MR. PRAISS: I have no further
23	Q Okay. Because the questioning made	23	questions.
24	me at least confusingly understand that you were	24	MR. DIERKER: I think for the record,
25	suggesting there is different types, and that's	25	we already made it clear that there were some loose
	suggesting there is different types, and that's		we direddy filade it cical that there were some loose
	Page 258		Daga 260
	ğ		Page 260
1	incorrect?	1	ends that we need to tie up and produce some
1 2		1 2	_
	incorrect?		ends that we need to tie up and produce some
2	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the	2	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some
2	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is	2 3	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the
2 3 4	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names.	2 3 4	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6)
2 3 4 5	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called,	2 3 4 5	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but
2 3 4 5 6	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by	2 3 4 5 6	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a	2 3 4 5 6 7	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider range than a handheld device?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait a few minutes. If you have access to it right now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider range than a handheld device? A I don't have an answer as far as like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait a few minutes. If you have access to it right now, I would ask let's print it out and let me ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider range than a handheld device? A I don't have an answer as far as like I feel like you're asking a very technical question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait a few minutes. If you have access to it right now, I would ask let's print it out and let me ask the witness some questions rather than waiting a month.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider range than a handheld device? A I don't have an answer as far as like I feel like you're asking a very technical question about the stream. It goes out. It goes out at a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait a few minutes. If you have access to it right now, I would ask let's print it out and let me ask the witness some questions rather than waiting a month. It makes no sense. I'm here, Jessie's here, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	incorrect? A No, it's one particular. There's the handheld canister and the high output, which is called by multiple different names. Q Regardless of what name it's called, is it fair to say that the high output fogger, by its nature, disperses a greater amount and over a larger area of pepper spray than the handheld device? A I think it launches pepper spray at a greater distance. Q But also not only at a greater distance but over a wider range? A It could spread, I would assume. Q It's not necessarily you're not telling me it's designed to only fire a very narrow stream that goes a longer distance; it also has the capability and it does in fact spray over a wider range than a handheld device? A I don't have an answer as far as like I feel like you're asking a very technical question about the stream. It goes out. It goes out at a distance. As it's going out, it could disperse and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ends that we need to tie up and produce some additional documents, and because there was some duplication in topics between this case and the Molina case, we are anticipating a further 30(b)(6) deposition involving the Molina case primarily, but I just want to put on the record that we are agreeing that the additional materials that are provided in connection with this case can be the topic of further deposition at the time of the Molina 30(b)(6). MR. PRAISS: Since you're putting this on the record, I'll ask one point question. I mean, I am here and clearly I would love to wrap up this issue about the training. And my understanding, Andrew, from what you said, is that you followed up and determined that there is in fact additional training materials, a PowerPoint. And my question is, I'm happy to wait a few minutes. If you have access to it right now, I would ask let's print it out and let me ask the witness some questions rather than waiting a month. It makes no sense. I'm here, Jessie's here, and I think that document is — it's a single document.

	Page 261	Page 263
So if you have it right now, print it out, no different than all the printed out so far today. I can wait minutes. MR. WHEATON: That was requested that it be sent as soon a Unfortunately, I don't have these as materials yet. I don't anticipate have within the next few minutes. I do a having them within the next few date MR. PRAISS: I'm sorry to he with that in mind, I have no further THE REPORTER: Signature MR. DIERKER: We'll read a (Wherein, the taking of the deposition ceased at 5:24 p.m.) (Deposition to be read and the witness.)	let's ones you've a few 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES April 12, 2019 Mr. Robert Dierker OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNSELOR 1200 Market Street, Room 314 St. Louis, Missouri 63103 IN RE: MALEEHA AHMAD, et al. v. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI Dear Mr. Dierker: Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of ERIC LARSON taken on April 8, 2019 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N 11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filling. Sincerely,
25	24	24
	Page 262	Page 264
1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 I, TARA SCHWAKE, a Registere 4 Professional Reporter and Notary Public 5 for the State of Missouri, do hereby cer 6 the witness whose testimony appears i 7 foregoing deposition was duly sworn b 8 testimony of said witness was taken by 9 best of my ability and thereafter reduced 10 typewriting under my direction; that I a 11 counsel for, related to, nor employed b 12 parties to the action in which this depo 13 taken, and further that I am not a relative 14 employee of any attorney or counsel e 15 parties thereto, nor financially or otherword 16 interested in the outcome of the action 17 18 19 20 Notary Public in and for The State of Missouri	c within and tify that n the y me; that the me to the ed to me neither y any of the sition was ve or mployed by the wise	11 Reason for change:
21 The State of Missouri 22 23 24 25	21 22 23 24 25	Should read:

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 67 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	Page 265	
1	STATE OF)	
2	·	l
3	COUNTY OF)	l
4		
5	I, ERIC LARSON, do hereby certify:	
6	That I have read the foregoing deposition;	
7	That I have made such changes in form	l
8 9	and/or substance to the within deposition as might	l
10	be necessary to render the same true and correct; That having made such changes thereon, I	
11	hereby subscribe my name to the deposition.	l
12	I declare under penalty of perjury that the	
13	foregoing is true and correct.	
14	Executed this day of,	
15	20, at	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	ERIC LARSON	
21 22		
23	NOTARY PUBLIC	
24	My Commission Expires:	
25	my commission Expired.	

67 (Page 265)

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 68 of 110 PageID #: 4023

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	•	1		
Α	96:12 172:4	77:2 81:14,15	adequate	109:16,21
a.m 7:13	193:14 200:21	81:20,24	166:17,20,23	110:19 111:4,6,8
abbreviated	accurately	82:19 84:10,15	167:2	111:12 112:9
105:21	88:25	84:18 85:9	adequately	116:18 120:6,8
abbreviation	acknowledged	active/passive	26:21 253:25	121:16 123:4
22:6 106:8	183:10	74:8	adhere 179:20	128:9 132:20
124:23 151:19	acknowledgm	actively 72:20	adjudicated	133:22 134:23
240:9,20	105:16,17,20	72:23 73:7	51:2	134:24 135:17
243:3 245:2	ACLU 5:4 7:19	74:24 75:24	adopted 116:13	136:18 138:4
Abby 5:19	90:24	77:8 81:6	adopting 109:8	139:6 140:1
abilities 30:11	act 60:14,24	82:15	152:13	141:9 142:6,15
	81:24 176:25	activities 28:9	advantageous	142:25 143:12
ability 9:4 30:19 40:17 41:17	177:3,7,11,19,21	28:25 73:17	31:9	144:3,10
252:10 262:9	177:22 178:10	activity 16:7 17:3	advisable 31:6	146:15 147:11
	180:4,21 181:6	27:18 28:1	advise 85:9	147:24 148:11
able 47:13 52:5 219:14 254:9	181:15 182:13	46:25 94:18	96:2	149:8,13,19,24
above-refere	185:20 195:18	94:24 95:19	advises 256:14	150:5,23,24
	220:25	95:23 99:25	advising 76:18	151:1 184:15
263:10	221:23	100:11 101:22	advisor 155:25	185:9 186:13
absent 96:15 99:21 100:8	acted 60:22	103:14 104:24	afternoon 4:15	186:15,21,23
	182:15 185:20	105:8 184:21	131:7 139:15	187:4,8,11
absolutely 71:17	acting 11:21	185:10,16	160:20	223:2 255:6
100:17	76:17 93:19	186:2 191:18	afterward	257:1,2
absorb 104:19	122:10 124:2	192:17	249:13	ago 8:11 18:4
abundantly	124:25 125:7	acts 171:19	age 7:9	21:15 49:2
120:1	125:18 126:21	172:14,16	agencies	53:19 57:4
abuse 199:5	127:5,9 183:14	173:24 174:6,9	245:21	agree 22:4,12
academy 81:13 139:5 144:16	186:17	175:1,25 191:2	agency 54:16	22:16,23 57:8
144:17,25	action 3:8 78:14	193:9,14	152:23 250:15	71:11 78:24
145:14 146:24	78:15 80:3,4,6	actual 29:13	agent 90:7 111:7	96:19,24 97:7
146:24 148:13	80:15 96:5	78:12 106:7	112:5 121:17	98:3 99:21
148:17	144:10 153:14	141:6 168:9	134:17 138:13	100:8 113:11,15
	159:17 160:16	170:10 219:6	148:14	119:23 123:2
accept 126:7 128:20	162:5,6,19,21	225:24	agents 22:20	123:24 124:13
	162:22 163:13	257:16	29:4,9,13 67:1	126:14 127:17
acceptable 9:1 9:2 22:13	163:20,21	add 79:16 117:18	67:10,23 76:8	130:13,19 171:1
60:9	164:11,14,25	added 242:15	84:24 85:2	172:13,19,25
access 42:2	165:1,10,11,18	additional 10:24	89:7,12,21	178:4,5
45:13 157:7	165:24	260:2,7,17	91:8,12 94:11	189:24 194:16
251:25 260:19	226:25 227:4	261:7	94:16,23 96:3	199:16
accessed	227:7 231:2	address 248:22	96:9,14 97:11	Agreed 7:1
252:3	236:9,15,21	250:2	97:16,19 98:8	198:19
accreditation	237:13 238:1	addresses	98:17,23 99:9	agreeing 172:15
146:5 149:21	262:12,16	166:13	99:13 100:2	173:25 260:7
accurate 9:5	actions 61:15	addressing	101:20 103:7	agreement 2:21
40:15 73:2	113:19,22	162:5	103:12,19,22	92:1,6,11,14,16
TU.13 / 3.2	active 74:10,23	adduced 10:10	105:1 108:24	92:22,24
				<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 69 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

93:9 94:3,7,16	20:24 23:20	anticipation	168:22	213:13 214:14
97:12,20 98:9	66:5,17 143:5	88:12	applies 104:4,13	249:13 257:21
98:14,20 99:7	Amendment	anybody 24:23	115:1 136:17,23	258:8 259:5
99:14,21 100:8	30:4 32:15	71:18 87:8	210:5 235:13	areas 15:16
102:24 103:5	34:21 197:21	91:2 93:19	apply 22:8,17	41:15 169:8
103:18,23	199:20 249:9	125:18 126:21	22:24,25	argumentative
104:3 109:1,6	amount 37:20	132:3 162:8	124:9 184:18	72:15 75:12
109:11 111:2,13	81:19 258:7	174:16 176:8	184:19	79:8 185:23
111:22 112:9,14	and/or 138:2	182:15 188:22	appreciate 10:11	193:21 196:14
116:7,10 128:8	197:7 238:16	227:22	17:22 28:12	213:21 217:1
136:19 171:6	263:12 265:8	252:23	99:5 162:1	arm 78:18
173:5,6,7	Andrew 5:20	anyways 57:7	175:7 238:11	arms 74:22
174:15 175:12	251:7 260:15	apart 64:12	247:5	82:17
175:15,20	announcement	211:13	approach 103:4	arrest 32:24
177:25 181:23	65:13	apologize 41:8	152:9,16	50:12,15,24
182:13 183:22	announceme	43:1 58:21	180:16 183:11	69:21 70:4,5
185:9 198:23	186:4 249:7	91:4 143:18	appropriate	70:13,16,18
198:24	answer 17:22	169:14 175:6	97:8 135:19	71:5,13 72:9
Agrees 188:8	18:5 48:13	179:1 190:16	135:22 149:8	79:2 80:19,20
ahead 174:3	65:6 72:15	198:2 199:6,8	149:9,24	82:1 83:3
180:13	75:13 76:22	242:2	150:22 152:15	85:11 113:21
Ahmad 1:5 4:5	78:5 79:18	apparently 24:8	158:11 192:4	119:5 125:3
7:20 10:3,5	97:17 99:4	51:14,23	195:10 249:12	127:3 133:11
18:12 24:10	107:25 108:1,5	165:21	249:14 255:19	135:3,4 136:1
263:7 264:2	120:15 121:7	appear 175:21	256:25	137:7 152:1,21
aid 246:8	126:7 145:19	211:23 242:4	appropriately	184:1 194:25
aide 245:16	175:7 185:25	244:15	77:7 90:23	195:16 196:23
air 152:10	186:25 192:1	255:23 256:11	249:11	200:14
akin 234:18	197:4,25	APPEARANC	approval 216:12	249:22 255:1
al 1:5 4:5 92:5	209:22	5:1	approved 50:3	arrested 32:17
263:7 264:2	213:22 217:2	appears 118:12	approximately	32:17 50:23
Alaris 6:3 263:1	254:13	119:5 129:21	11:12,18 12:3	186:6,8,12
allow 42:2	258:20,24	130:1,7 174:7	68:22 159:22	191:2,4
122:6 192:1	answered 17:13	231:20	April 1:19 4:14	arresting 32:16
249:12	58:22 161:24	234:24	12:7 13:15,18	195:21
allowed 17:1	201:22	241:20 242:9	263:3,10	arrests 113:8
71:21 74:18	answering	244:8 259:8	264:3	120:17 125:7
allowing 76:15	27:16 192:12	262:6	area 15:18 46:21	126:4 127:1,18
allows 75:3	answers 8:21	applicable 161:8	96:25 100:5	135:8 225:21
77:25	9:5 54:2,4	211:5 229:15	105:3 119:15	228:18 229:5
alluded 250:15	104:1 150:10	229:25	119:21 120:24	230:8 231:20
Alpha 33:13	250:7	240:21 259:18	121:22 123:15	249:15 250:2
Altogether 14:11	anti-crime 14:7	application	129:23 130:17	arson 12:24
amazing 12:11	anticipate 8:18	78:8,10 122:15	131:24 132:12	articulable
amend 157:1	183:12 261:8,9	197:18	132:13 135:23	185:18 194:7
158:12	anticipating	applied 77:19	208:22 211:1,8	194:10,13
amended 2:13	260:4	95:4 116:12	211:16 212:7	208:16 215:4
				•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 70 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	•	•		
ascertain	198:7,13,18	assume 8:25	28:17	В В
200:20	199:17,23	21:5 34:14	August 12:3	B 101:24 102:2
asked 55:9	200:9,15,16	41:11 44:19,25	86:10 140:5	108:17
58:21 79:25	200:20 201:8	45:5 50:15	202:14 222:21	back 28:14
104:2 206:25	201:15 202:5	118:7 134:14	auspices	30:10 37:5
243:19 254:14	202:21	146:19,22	245:17	52:13 54:22
asking 8:15	204:20	147:13 162:10	author 224:19	55:13 54:22 55:13 56:2
54:6,7 76:2	207:13 208:13	227:2 258:14	authority 93:22	57:13 70:19
79:2 88:22	208:18 211:9	assumed 33:7	94:4 197:8,14	76:10 82:23
140:9 173:12	212:9 213:4	42:4	202:8 204:10	100:19 125:12
173:13 177:14	215:1,5,11	assumes 120:13	215:1,6,9	131:6 147:19
179:2 184:24	216:18 217:23	213:20	217:5	156:7 158:16
191:5,24	217:24 218:3	assuming	auto 14:8	167:18 169:11
230:2 238:18	218:8,10,23	119:24 193:13	auxiliary 37:10	170:15 185:7
238:20 244:5	223:3 239:7	193:23 238:2	available 42:19	192:14 203:4
245:14 258:21	241:1,13,22,25	259:10	95:15 111:9	212:11 213:9
asks 71:14	242:6,14	assumption	122:18,20	213:15 214:3
143:10 163:23	243:2,13	54:23 148:5	131:24 222:13	218:2 231:3,8
aspect 32:20	246:14	253:18	230:13	243:21
aspects 28:8	255:22 256:4	assure 10:17	aviation 14:5	244:24
152:20	256:10,15	131:12	avoid 78:23	253:13,25
assemble	assert 79:14,15	attach 106:7	152:25	background
170:22 176:22	assessment	attached 3:5,21	aware 8:9 9:16	9:22 11:4
180:3,17	215:3	3:25 101:24	19:23 20:13	backside 62:18
assembled	assigned 25:14	155:15 223:22	27:3 28:15	backwards
171:21 175:5	25:18 27:23	attachment	30:7 31:16	254:19
185:17 188:14	33:16,20 61:13	18:11 156:3	44:2 46:18	balls 234:16
assembles	61:25 166:23	attempt 28:22	49:3 53:10	barricaded
188:5	224:9	29:3 74:24	57:23 64:16	246:19,20
assemblies	assignment	79:2	84:12 87:11	based 64:25
255:7	14:2 25:13	attempting	114:8,14,16,20	98:9 104:8
assembly 3:14	62:4 65:5	120:19	116:20,22	120:22 130:1
169:6,9 170:21	assist 25:18	attempts 183:4	122:22 124:24	135:3,25
171:20 172:24	44:22 68:20	214:18,23	127:8 139:24	164:17 179:8
173:16,22	224:10	attention 44:21	141:6 142:3	193:22 194:6
174:5,10,20	assistant 16:18	61:3 86:1	143:17,25	197:16 198:5
175:2,10 176:1	16:23 19:2	248:16,17	144:8 156:9	198:20 200:11
178:8,15,16,18	87:19	attorney 7:19	157:13,13	206:1 208:16
180:2 181:4,13	assisting 251:3	75:15,17,23,24	164:22 167:17	213:4 215:3
182:2,18 184:2	associate	179:24 207:18	172:10 174:9	226:4 243:17
184:12 185:6	232:2	262:14	187:1 190:17	253:21 259:7
186:8,12,16	associated 38:6	attorneys 20:7	191:1 192:21	259:9
187:15 189:16	58:12 227:5	24:22 25:3,6	192:23 207:10	basically 8:15
189:22 190:3	230:24 231:21	25:23 26:15	214:18,23	41:10 93:20
190:13 193:4,8	232:7,16	26:24 87:8	216:9 222:25	94:14 134:6
193:18 194:1,18	associates	110:11 224:4	223:7 237:4	153:3 204:16
197:9,14,16,24	230:21	audio 27:13		155.5 204.10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 71 of 110 PageID #: 4026

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	_	_	_	_
210:9	48:1,14 52:2	23:14 29:11	232:8,14	calling 20:4
basis 74:4 77:17	52:16,24 53:9	30:21 32:4	255:24	79:9 125:13
77:18 193:16	56:25 57:17	46:11 47:1,2	break 57:9	173:10 178:21
193:17 201:2	60:25 64:3	52:7 53:7	73:20 100:16	185:23
229:6	67:9,13,16	60:6 81:4	100:20,22	calls 44:5 75:12
basket 37:24	68:4 73:3	122:18 141:13	117:14 128:23	120:14 190:6
Bates 62:18	81:12 85:17	152:6 153:12	131:3,7,8	camera 30:22
63:10 83:14	86:18 87:20	153:13 165:8,9	145:22 160:22	36:2 41:25
83:20 84:2	87:24 88:5,5	194:21 195:13	174:16 198:2	42:6,17 43:5,9
248:17 254:21	88:14 91:23	195:20 196:6	202:25 211:13	43:11,23 44:14
255:11	92:12,12,18	196:17,23	215:24 252:15	44:16,20
Baumgartner	93:11,13,13	201:6 238:12	Brent 252:19	45:18,22
2:23 101:9	99:10 102:21	258:24 262:9	brief 106:2	47:12 48:1
131:16	107:7 110:5	better 79:21	briefing 61:11	49:21 51:13,19
Baumgartner's	111:17 112:22	121:10 128:16	briefly 11:3 151:5	51:23,25
101:18 102:3	126:18 133:5	151:8 153:11	bring 44:21	52:12,17,21
105:13 108:14	136:7 138:19	167:9,14,23	70:24 245:23	53:6,10,15,18
108:17 109:24	139:21 140:23	199:15	broad 15:14,15	54:11,21,23
Bear 30:16,17,19	141:21 146:4	beyond 43:15	broadly 15:10	55:12,17 56:15
30:23,25 31:4	150:7,25 151:2	56:16,16	brought 85:25	56:19 57:5,21
began 11:16	152:11 155:1	Bicycle 28:10	buck 121:9	57:24 58:6,17
beginning	156:5,17 158:5	29:20	built 204:16	118:7 250:7,12
147:25 241:11	159:20,24	big 37:24 65:17	bulk 17:3	250:13,16
257:5	160:10 161:17	215:23	150:25	251:25 252:2
begins 83:13	168:6 170:8,11	bit 9:22 11:8	bullet 194:24	252:4,7 253:1
208:12 218:2	172:4,6 185:2	12:20 16:12	221:15	253:13,19
behalf 1:18 9:14	186:9 196:9	37:17 68:10	bunch 119:3	254:7,12
93:19 157:20	198:9 200:23	73:21 118:19	128:4 247:11	cameras 27:25
157:21	202:11,23	124:18 137:11	burned 59:2	27:25 28:4,7
behavior 183:7	205:6,10	142:19 171:20	button 107:20	28:11 29:21,25
183:8	211:20 217:13	192:6 213:11	bystanders	41:13,20 42:3
belaboring	218:24 219:4	biyearly 201:2	184:11	42:4,8,9,14,22
190:16	222:11 224:21	blank 208:4		42:22 43:20
belief 253:23	225:2,4,6,10	blended 116:19	<u>C</u>	45:14 51:18
believe 12:3	225:15 230:16	block 51:18,18	C 109:23 131:19	54:17 57:19
16:4,23,25	233:14 239:13	164:4	C-A-L-E-A 164:9	61:14 252:8
18:13 19:9	242:11 244:23	blocks 211:9	call 45:1 64:7	canister 234:18
21:21 28:9,22	245:14 246:13	blue 118:9	66:12 161:15	235:5,6
28:24 29:3,8	251:17,20	259:6	162:7 166:16	258:3
30:12 31:20	255:10 257:3	blurry 137:11	166:19 230:22	canisters 132:10
32:7 33:22,23	259:17	bodily 99:22	231:23 232:21	capabilities
34:3 36:15,20	believes	100:9 124:11	233:2,15 248:15,17	30:15 31:1
37:10 40:1,5,11	202:20	125:2,19	252:18	capability 30:8
41:23 42:3,11	benefit 81:3,4	bomb 12:24	called 42:20	31:3,13 39:23
42:20 43:4,19	144:6 145:3	bother 91:2	257:17 258:4	41:11 43:14,15
44:11 45:16	239:5	bottom 93:17	257.17 256.4 258:5	45:16 258:18
47:19,23,23	best 17:20 21:13	99:11 118:9	200.0	capacity 221:12
	•	-	•	-

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 72 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

capital 132:22	198:9 200:12	chair 82:18	check 115:23	236:1,6
capitalized	204:18,19	challenge	checking 228:1	238:17 239:9
164:8	causing 80:9	192:22,24	chemical 22:20	243:25 244:7
captain 12:1,23	CCR 6:2	challenging	29:4,9,13 31:5	244:14,18
14:4	CD 33:13,13	240:8	67:1,10,23	245:1,4 255:6
captains 87:20	CDT 32:7 33:2	chance 104:19	76:8 84:24	257:1,2 259:11
capture 28:5	33:3,10,23	155:18,20	85:2 89:7,11	chief 2:18 15:19
37:21	34:13,16 40:11	169:2 206:21	89:21 90:7	16:14,18,20,23
captured 46:24	40:14 59:24	change 153:4	91:8,12,16,18	17:14 19:2
captures 119:1	61:9,21 139:5	161:18 181:20	91:21 94:11,16	87:19,19
202:16	139:6 141:4	264:7,11,15,19	94:22 96:2,6	chief's 159:20
capturing 41:10	144:15,16,19	264:23	96:9,14 97:11	choice 127:16
car 48:1 49:21	145:11,13	changed 217:5	97:15,16,19,24	choose 111:3
57:19,21,23	146:23 148:22	changes 15:22	98:4,8,17,22	Christine 87:25
58:6,10,17	148:24 150:7	15:23 16:4	99:9,13 100:2	chronological
cards 36:2	150:16 225:7	17:8,11 44:1	101:20 103:7	11:5
career 12:12	cease 210:21	64:6,14 65:16	103:12,19,22	chronologically
68:11	211:16,18,21	66:8,16 153:17	105:1 108:24	231:25
carefully 118:8	ceased 261:16	156:9,15 158:8	109:16,21	circumstance
191:15	center 28:3	263:12 265:7	110:19 111:4,6,7	72:6 73:2
Carl 3:5 155:5	29:19 35:21	265:10	111:8,12 112:5,8	95:4 103:6
155:13,24	36:21,24 41:2	Chapter 3:9	116:18 120:6,8	138:12 141:8
cars 57:21,22	41:6,16,17,22	characterize	121:16,17 123:4	142:14 143:12
case 1:7 2:22	43:21,22 45:8	15:11	128:9 132:19	144:2 150:4
4:7 7:20,22	45:11,20 46:9	characterized	133:21 134:16	152:15 175:11
10:8,10 18:14	46:19 47:8	216:16	134:22 135:17	179:8 185:2
20:18,25 24:11	48:2 49:7	charge 13:13	136:18 138:4	217:20 249:2
24:12 38:14,21	50:8 53:22	14:3,16 37:4,11	138:13 139:6	256:3,14,25
38:25 39:8	54:15 58:6	37:18 135:5	140:1 141:9	circumstances
45:24 55:15	69:13 225:5	194:25 195:16	142:6,15,25	51:5 55:16
64:11 133:15	252:21	199:17 201:4	143:12 144:3	57:1 71:20
133:20 134:13	central 132:14	charged 125:8	144:10,20	73:19 85:10
153:6 165:20	certain 28:7	174:20 175:10	146:15 147:10	94:11 99:21
196:17 228:21	30:11,19 34:25	181:3 182:1,17	147:24 148:11	100:8 113:9,12
244:19 246:5	93:22 106:12	182:23 185:6	148:13,19	113:13 115:2
260:3,4,5,8	132:23 152:20	188:23 198:24	149:7,13,19,24	122:16 126:3
263:10 264:2	159:12 173:24	charges 229:5	150:5,23,23	138:3,18 140:1
case-by-case	214:14	charging 190:9	151:1 184:14	142:5 173:23
229:6	certainly 31:14	193:16	185:9 186:13	182:25 217:9
cases 10:3 24:9	85:22	Charles 3:21	186:15,21,23	218:16 248:10
36:1 89:10,15	certainty 162:3	25:9,21 26:4	187:3,7,11	248:21
144:22 226:3	CERTIFICATE	26:8,25	204:5,21	252:25
catch 158:17	262:1	223:21 224:2	209:15 218:25	citizen 32:14
254:21	Certified 4:19	Charlie 227:2	221:5,25	179:7
caught 124:3	4:20,20 7:4	chart 234:11,14	223:2 234:9	city 1:8 2:16,16
126:16	certify 262:5	235:12,24	234:15 235:7	2:17,19 4:8,16
cause 197:16,19	265:5	236:14	235:9,11,19	4:17 5:14 7:21
			·	1

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 73 of 110 PageID #: 4028

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

9:14 15:3 21:1	202:20 205:3	248:19,25	coincidentally	15:20 16:17
22:5,6,7	209:11 213:15	249:21,21,24	252:10	73:24 86:19
23:24 27:7,11	214:3,10 215:8	254:25 255:1	colleague	87:13,16,18
28:4,15,22	215:21 216:15	255:12,16	246:25	88:3 159:16
29:3,8,15	224:9,25	256:13,23	collect 40:17,19	161:19 189:20
30:14 31:7	226:10 229:8	clarification	59:25	197:22 246:21
39:23 41:3,11	237:9,16	32:5 33:5	collected 40:21	commander
41:12,15,20	240:25 242:6	83:23 235:18	59:19	12:9 13:14,17
42:5,9,10,12	243:1,12	clarified 246:24	collecting	13:20 14:3
43:10,10,19,24	245:16 246:9	clarify 247:15	59:22 65:14	37:10 69:12
43:25 44:5,15	248:17 249:19	clarifying 137:21	collection 33:14	132:21 133:3,5
44:22 45:7,14	250:14	classes 144:16	40:14 51:15	148:22,22
45:18 46:20	252:24	classified 39:7	collectively	149:4 152:7
47:15 48:8,16	253:18 254:21	classroom	97:15	167:12 191:13
49:17 50:7,19	255:10 254:21	140:7	colonel 14:4	194:2 197:23
50:19 51:6	263:5,7 264:2	clause 180:1	16:17	215:15,18
52:5,21,22	City's 31:2 41:23	clear 8:20 20:5	colonels 87:19	216:5,7,7,12
53:15 54:11,16	61:3 73:1 76:7	22:25 33:4	color 259:6	249:14,15
55:7,18,19	76:14 88:25	56:5 58:23	column 227:8	commander/d
57:6,19 58:3	96:1 110:11,22	95:7,25 96:13	227:15 230:14	12:9
62:18 72:5	110:25 112:13	100:1 104:25	231:11 232:15	commanders
75:2 76:6	112:19 121:8	120:1 147:19	233:4 234:5	92:13 135:12
77:22,24	142:13 157:6	155:4 157:5	236:19 239:8	160:6,6 164:9
83:14,17 84:2	158:19 161:7	158:1 179:22	241:15,17	164:15,23
85:7 86:9	166:3 171:13	208:24 210:5	242:15 244:6	165:5,12,16,18
88:17 94:1,6	174:12 175:9	242:2 259:25	242:13 244.0	166:6 208:10
94:18 109:9,14	174.12 173.9	clearest 88:21	columns 225:16	236:17 237:12
109:19 111:3	179:8,25	clearly 34:19	227:16 233:21	237:25
116:4,13,17	180:5 181:3	42:7 188:19	234:2 239:7	commands
122:6 133:25	182:6,17	260:13	combining	120:9
138:1,17	183:18 184:3,5	close 57:14	241:22	commenced
142:23 145:10	184:8 185:4,15	68:24 113:13	come 15:20	7:13
151:15,23	186:14 187:14	131:10 137:22	34:12,13 36:2	commented
152:4,13 153:3	189:25 194:8	247:1	52:18 56:2	167:1
153:15,23,24	195:9 198:21	closed 231:4	61:3 66:3	comments 10:12
155:6 156:10	202:4,16 211:3	cloud 259:11	79:12 135:13	commercial
156:21,25	211:11 213:4	clue 181:24	152:8,22	14:6
157:21 158:5,11	216:1 217:4	clueless 183:24	212:11 213:15	commission
165:25 166:4	218:15 240:6	code 231:1	214:3 227:10	107:19 178:9
171:25 172:2	240:11 251:18	245:8,15,25	228:16,18	181:5,14
		cognizant 171:19	234:3 244:2,9	265:24
176:4,14 179:5 179:11.15	civil 2:15 3:15,18 33:5 73:6	172:8,10,14,18	245:17	commissioned
180:22 182:10	144:21 145:6,7			11:11 105:15
184:11 191:18	144:21 145:6,7	173:6,24 174:6	comes 45:22 66:12 213:9	
192:17 196:6		174:14,19 175:24		107:6
197:7,12	220:9,21,25 221:22 222:5		coming 66:13,13 151:25 183:11	commissioners 105:24
200:3,17	221:22 222:5	coincidence 213:9		
200.3,1/	222.24 231.0	Z 13.3	command 12:4	commit 10:25

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 74 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

				1
180:21 182:13	105:25 164:10	compound 79:8	confirm 19:11	247:17 259:13
190:1 193:2	165:17	comprehensive	30:13 63:17	260:8
commits 170:20	completed 35:8	36:16	111:14	conscious 116:5
188:4	45:4,6	computer 134:3	confirmed	consequences
committed	completely	134:4	250:19	198:17
171:20 175:3	27:16 56:16	computer-aid	conflate 113:13	consider 192:6
175:25 241:25	160:15	230:18	confuse 160:22	204:18 246:9
committing	complex 79:9	232:22	confused 43:2	considered
74:23 189:10	compliance	concern 152:23	88:20 89:22	30:14 102:14
common 169:5	70:25 75:8	166:2,10,11	98:24 126:2	107:16 112:2,3
172:9 177:21	79:21,23 80:2	222:3,7	160:19 161:24	152:13
194:11 199:24	80:25 96:15	concerned	191:5 206:16	considers
203:7 211:12	153:11 164:9	56:8 201:9	208:4 229:17	184:12 196:6
215:13	compliant 70:11	concerning	confusingly	consistent
communicate	77:4,4 84:19	138:2 139:25	257:24	108:25 109:10
213:10	121:5 123:5,20	141:8 142:5,14	confusion	111:1 120:11
communicated	126:1 128:19	142:24 144:2	257:12	consistently
44:17 114:22	130:23	151:23 158:20	Congratulation	254:7,8
communicating	complicated	158:23 197:8	12:11	constantly
44:24	183:20	197:13	congregating	153:10
communication	comply 50:17	concert 176:25	127:15 130:16	constitute
17:17 19:3,7,11	70:13 71:16,25	177:11,19,22	198:22 210:21	246:10
19:13 45:7	73:11 74:5,15	177:25 180:4	211:16,19,21	constitutes
107:18 178:2	76:20 77:6	180:20	213:3,10	81:19 187:15
communicatio	78:17,19 79:3	185:20	conjunction	constitutional
20:4,7,14	79:25 80:1,19	conclusion	43:21	95:1 100:13
114:21 252:23	80:20 81:23	75:13 79:9	connected	105:10 221:1
company 43:3	82:12,15,16,17	173:10 177:14	41:16	constitutionally
43:4 251:3	82:18,25 96:5	179:14 185:24	connection	220:20
compare	96:8,20	190:6	25:19,23	221:24
102:22 111:10	120:20,21	conclusions	31:25 33:10	construed 157:9
compared	186:5 195:3	178:22	34:1,9,16 39:6	contact 43:10
96:22 225:25	196:2,25	concrete 16:9	39:22 40:24	52:18
compatible	204:22 211:7	condition 241:5	55:14 60:22	contained
42:23	212:16	conditions	69:21 73:4	88:24
compiled 35:10	complying	185:11 241:3	101:10 116:25	container 138:4
compiling	71:24 95:14	conduct 60:5	117:6 122:2,24	138:14 140:2
52:25	96:18 97:5	60:12 117:9	123:10 124:21	247:25
complaint 38:6	120:8 122:10	186:17 190:19	125:9,11,16	contemplates
38:9,22,24	165:23	191:25 233:11	132:4 136:6,12	96:14 166:5
39:2,3,8,13,17	components	239:25 240:8	136:24 137:4	contemplating
49:14 50:10	33:23	240:10,13,21	153:19 157:3	212:23
58:12 135:7,11	composed	243:4,9,15	160:9 165:6	content 154:25
135:14 136:2	159:19	245:6	182:11 183:14	contentions
230:23,24	composition	conducted	188:24 215:21	126:19
complete 14:25	91:16,19,21	141:3 145:7	220:9 232:25	context 10:5
36:25 68:1	98:5	confident 10:20	236:2 238:17	90:2,14 93:14

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 75 of 110 PageID #: 4030

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

103:7 121:3	191:23 207:10	166:20,21,23	255:21 256:1	142:2 247:9
122:8 123:25	221:11 222:25	166:24 167:15	256:9,19,22	course 3:18,18
196:8,19,20	correct 12:19	167:16 168:3	257:21 265:9	24:6 32:15
196:23	13:10,22 18:15	169:24,25	265:13	39:10 51:14
continues	24:14 25:17	170:23 171:7	corrected 55:1	78:13,15
208:20	26:5 29:21,22	171:15,16,17,22	115:21	86:24 100:24
continuum	35:1,5 40:6	171:23 172:8,11	correcting	124:20 150:7
70:21	41:4 45:15	172:19,20,21	115:20	153:13 208:24
contractor	49:10 52:3	173:22 176:2	corrections	210:5 220:8
42:13	55:10,23	176:11,14,20	263:12	court 1:1 4:1,20
contrary 64:18	61:22 62:21	176:23 177:3,5	correctly 13:12	6:1 8:16 17:25
121:4 254:10	63:14,16 81:1	185:12,14	16:13 37:1 48:7	19:4 20:17,21
contravened	88:9 89:16,24	186:8,18,22	49:1 52:9	56:1 62:15
124:4 125:23	90:3,4 91:8,10	187:15 188:6,9	53:13 57:18	83:11 86:5
contravenes	91:11,18 92:23	188:11,20	72:25 82:11	93:6 101:2,13
122:11	94:8,9,12,19	189:16,22,23	92:21 108:5	101:23 109:2
contributed	94:20 95:2,4	189:25 190:11	136:15 153:3	117:20 128:25
225:6,9	95:9,12 97:25	190:21 193:5,6	164:12 199:3	153:16 154:8
control 248:14	98:5,7,13,17,18	193:9,10 195:8	201:11,17	154:17 156:12
conveyed 38:7	98:19,23 99:5	195:12,23,24	216:15 224:3	162:25 170:3
107:3	99:9,10 100:14	196:3 198:4,11	237:8 241:9	203:3 206:2
convoluted	102:8,11,12,14	198:15,25	244:4	206:5 219:21
22:11	103:3,10,14,15	199:23 200:4	correspond	223:15 232:9
cooperate 72:11	103:16,21	200:5 202:5	229:16	courts 15:1
75:5	104:6,12,20	202:10	corresponded	cover 10:3
coordinate	105:5 109:2	203:10	235:25	21:23 28:12
245:20	109:24 110:17	204:24	corresponde	47:22 66:23
coordinated	111:22 112:17	206:22,23	10:14	78:18 128:3
220:20	115:16 116:14	208:1,13,18	corresponds	138:12 150:17
copies 35:23	119:12 121:19	209:2 210:18	119:11	151:4 203:11
91:4 170:6	121:23,25	210:24 211:24	corrupted 55:4	204:15 223:12
263:9	122:3 123:11,12	212:2,4,7,25	55:5 251:4	covered 21:23
copy 18:21	123:16,17,21	217:10,19,23	cost 31:14	75:19 91:8
20:24 90:22	125:6,10,21	218:3,8 219:16	counsel 7:2,2	99:12 112:25
93:9 105:25	126:17,23	220:16,22	23:12 26:18	113:2 114:25
187:21 232:19	127:1,19,24,25	221:1,7 224:5	222:18 254:14	114:25 131:13
263:12	133:18,22	224:15 226:9	257:6 262:11	151:2 158:17
corner 119:7	134:17 136:20	226:16	262:14	161:23
180:18	140:24 142:15	227:20 234:9	Counselor 4:17	covering 98:25
corners 183:12	143:3 144:24	235:15,20	5:14 263:5	covers 46:19
corporate 53:14	145:1 146:1	236:20	count 241:18	61:19 67:9,10
53:24 64:15	148:6 149:25	237:13 239:1	counted	81:11 121:22
67:20 84:22	151:16,17,21	239:22 241:19	242:23	123:15 222:24
116:24 146:12	156:22 157:23	242:8 251:20	County 245:20	226:10 233:19
150:20 162:3	161:1,6 163:14	252:16	265:3	create 65:24
172:3 173:14	163:15,17,18	254:24 255:4	couple 18:7	199:5
178:23 182:10	166:14,15,17,18	255:8,12,14,15	35:13 115:13	created 65:11,12
L	l			I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 76 of 110 PageID #: 4031

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

66:11 132:22	165:10,11,18,24	253:22 254:3	139:18 145:24	256:15 265:12
133:21 134:3,4	236:9,15,21	database 134:8	152:16 161:9	declared 173:16
135:3 145:6	237:13 238:1	date 47:12 52:5	170:15 173:15	173:23 174:5
168:4,7,10,10	crossed 79:1	59:15,19	205:2 206:25	202:5 204:12
203:23	crossing 74:19	63:24 94:3	207:7 216:2,3	212:9 217:21
224:21 226:3	74:22	102:8,13	deals 49:9	218:16 239:7
234:4 237:2	crowd 67:10	106:24 144:18	84:23 158:18	240:25 241:12
237:18,22	77:18 90:9,10	146:6 205:3,7	169:6 176:14	242:6 243:1
creates 150:15	108:24 109:16	206:19 207:2	187:18,19 189:1	245:15,18
creating 133:8	109:21 113:6	226:15,16	194:20 217:8	246:7
133:11 174:9	113:23 117:1	230:8,9	254:25	declares 198:17
174:25 228:3	121:16 132:20	238:15 264:3	dealt 169:12	218:6
creation 116:8	133:22 174:6	dated 3:16,19	207:12 250:3	declaring
145:8	174:8,9 183:4	86:10 88:8	251:16 254:20	197:24 199:16
crime 11:15 13:4	194:6 248:14	145:25 155:4	Dear 263:8	202:21 212:22
28:3 29:19	248:14	202:14	debate 10:13	Defendant 1:9
30:1 35:21	crowds 73:18	203:22	December 13:15	4:9 5:13 7:3
36:21,24 41:2	CRR 6:2	204:23 205:6	13:19 21:16	Defendant's
41:16,17,21	CS 112:1,1	206:13 220:12	decide 114:10	101:9
43:21,22 45:8	CSR 6:2	229:21	180:20 226:7	defendants
45:10,20 46:9	cuffed 82:23	dates 36:10	decided 231:8	93:19
46:19 47:8	current 15:4	51:22 56:16	decision 116:6	defensive
48:2 49:7	211:8 224:9	66:4 252:3	194:5	74:23 81:12
50:8 53:22	currently 25:10	day 4:13,16 66:3	declaration	define 111:8
54:15 58:5	31:8 54:24	150:15,16	2:23 3:21	defined 22:16
172:24 175:16	251:3	179:18 265:14	101:8,15,18	239:20
189:10 190:1,8	curve 41:9	day-to-day	102:3 105:13	defines 81:9
193:2,17	custody 35:15	37:12	108:14,17	definitely
198:25 200:13	35:18,24 37:2	days 39:16	109:24 131:16	160:21 163:9
218:9 225:5	37:5,8,12 38:7	45:24 50:13	194:1 200:14	192:18
252:20	38:8,11,12	79:12 213:11	215:11 218:11	definition 22:7
crimes 12:24	49:16,23	261:10 263:17	223:21.23	22:11,13,16,19
61:2 201:3	58:12 60:2	de-escalate	256:16	22:23 85:1
criminal 60:13	74:25 76:24	78:25	declarations	97:10,18,23
171:2,6 173:1,7	77:1,5,12 81:16	de-escalation	215:15	98:7,16,22
174:17 175:13	81:18 137:9	79:20	declaratory	99:9,13 103:18
185:16 186:17	183:5	deal 36:23 67:1	153:7	103:21 110:19
188:9,14		91:5 114:17	declare 175:2	111:3,5,11,12
criteria 135:4	D	130:15 138:17	193:1 194:3,5	112:8 116:18
226:4	D 2:1 132:17	151:7 203:5	194:17 197:8	121:3,22
critical 3:6	damage 124:11	204:9 205:20	197:14,15	125:24 128:1
155:9 157:12	125:2,20	218:13	198:5,13	130:15 172:9
252:9	191:17	dealing 49:3	199:22 200:9	177:21,25
Critique 3:8	damn 56:5	57:15 76:8,10	200:19 204:19	177:21,23
162:6,19,21	data 36:16	84:4,23 90:14	215:1,4 216:17	194:11
163:13,20,21	45:21 50:12	91:13 92:8	243:12	definitions 22:2
164:11,15	51:21 251:5	115:16 135:22	245:24	111:15 239:20
				3 203.20

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 77 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

delegated	174:21	18:24 20:24	desired 263:13	123:2,5 124:4
132:8	depending	21:8,11 23:8,10	destroy 60:14	124:5 127:19
deleted 60:23	35:12 45:22	23:20 24:4,16	60:19	128:12 129:20
61:6	depends 41:24	24:24 25:24	destroyed	130:14,22
deleting 60:4	122:16	26:4 27:2	46:16 57:5	134:6 196:11
deliberate 31:11	deploy 70:16	54:18 55:11	destruction	235:14
delineate 84:14	77:9,13 109:16	56:20 65:4	51:5 56:23	244:22
235:4	109:21 128:9	66:20 76:1	57:2 61:1	247:21,22,24
delineated	142:15,24	85:6 86:14,22	125:9	248:4,7,8
216:21	143:12 144:2	92:17 93:12	detached 25:10	257:18 258:9
delineates	184:14 187:3	110:8 117:22	25:12	258:19
195:22	deployed 31:24	141:15 150:2	detail 28:13	dictionary
demonstrate	40:23 68:15	159:3 178:24	32:6 62:1,2	177:24
194:13	68:17,20 69:3	191:24 203:7	139:23 150:2	Dierker 2:7 5:18
denominator	69:10,11 73:18	208:1 209:11	164:9,15 165:5	9:25 10:20
169:5	75:1 76:19	221:17 224:5	165:12,16,18	11:2 20:2
depart 189:21	96:9 98:11,15	248:16 251:17	166:5 167:9,14	23:14 48:11
department	98:21 99:8,12	259:3 260:5	225:20 226:8	56:6,12 57:10
2:17,20 3:12	104:9,14 112:16	260:9 261:16	228:3,15	62:10 65:3
11:7 12:25	132:20 133:22	261:17 262:7	236:16 237:11	72:13 75:11
13:17 14:1 15:9	134:17,23,24	262:12 263:9	237:25	76:21 78:3
15:25 16:3,15	135:17 145:18	265:6,8,11	details 132:23	79:7,11,13
16:21 17:16	235:14 248:11	depositions	227:15,24	85:22 90:25
19:19,22,25	deploying 69:9	30:25	237:22	93:3 114:2
20:15 25:1,10	137:8	deputy 13:16,20	determination	115:7,10,22
25:14,18	deployment	14:3	46:6 152:19	117:13 120:12
29:24 37:9	29:13 70:6	describe 81:4	determine	122:12 147:12
39:1 42:1	78:12 96:6	210:8 227:23	54:20 55:5	147:18 160:14
43:17,19 44:1,3	104:11 108:24	247:23	147:8,17,22	162:10 169:16
44:7,9 45:7	113:9 121:15	described	152:20 154:4	173:9 174:2
47:25 49:19	132:9 139:5	28:20 72:6	154:10 208:16	177:13,20
65:18 86:9	144:20 146:14	139:13,18	229:15 230:12	178:20 179:19
87:24 88:6	147:10,24	149:21 198:21	237:17,25	181:7 182:4
102:16 107:7,8	148:10 149:12	describing	254:2	184:4 185:22
118:18 140:5	150:7 203:20	106:11	determined	190:5 191:21
145:11 148:17	204:21 209:15	description 2:11	16:10 153:13	192:7 193:20
157:10,21,22	244:20	3:2 171:12	154:1 227:1,7	196:13 197:1
164:7 166:9	deployments	255:19	229:2,6	199:8,12
168:1,17	145:17	design 178:1	251:22 260:16	201:18 205:22
224:22 230:6	deploys 31:5	designated 9:14	determining	207:21 213:19
250:14,18	137:13 257:20	23:24 46:15	80:4 152:24	214:5,8,15
department's	depo 21:8	designation	device 71:14	216:25 242:19
48:5	deposed 8:6	65:4	90:2 91:20	245:10 246:11
departments	deposition 1:17	designed 249:2	96:22 97:2,7	247:8,14
13:2	2:14 4:12 7:3	258:16	98:16 104:14	251:6,9
depend 248:9	7:13,20 9:12	designee	104:15 112:17	259:24 261:14
dependent	9:13 10:19	191:24	114:13 121:23	263:4,8
		•	•	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 78 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

dierkerr@stlo	255:10	15:16 141:1	215:9,12,16,19	248:1
5:21	directing 130:1	181:9 214:21	216:2,5,11,18	distance 258:11
difference 81:5	direction 15:1,19	217:16 228:22	217:5,9,20	258:13,17,23
84:9,14 85:8	15:23 16:9	discussion	218:1,6,11,16	distill 175:8
247:22	159:19 262:10	205:9 222:18	218:24 219:8	distinct 244:19
different 23:21	directions 119:2	discussions	219:16 221:4	distinction 81:7
26:9,17 27:17	130:6 151:25	26:1	221:24 223:3	239:23
29:15 31:7	211:17	disgruntlement	238:16 239:6	241:23
33:20 35:14	directive 101:20	56:13	241:1,13,20,22	distinguish
39:11,12,12	102:4,12,15,19	disk 35:17	241:24 242:7	98:9 104:7
40:23 42:18	102:23 103:4	49:23 50:25	242:14 243:2	distinguishes
56:3 58:7	103:11,17,23	58:11 59:2	243:13 248:14	58:4
91:24 94:10	104:7,13,21	disobedience	249:6 255:6	distributed
114:24 116:19	105:6,14,24	2:15 3:15,19	disperse 73:18	159:21
118:23 119:2	106:1,4 108:16	33:5 73:6	103:13 113:23	district 1:1,2 4:1
123:9 133:18	111:14,22	145:6,7 206:11	117:7 183:4	4:2 11:12 12:2
135:17,18	112:10,15 116:5	220:9,21,25	185:10 189:11	14:2 101:23
138:18 144:11	116:9 136:20	221:23 222:5	190:2,4,10	109:1 136:1
151:25 160:16	directly 157:9	222:24	193:2,17	disturbance
164:16,17,21	director 11:22	248:19,25	208:22 211:13	144:22 237:6
169:8 170:17	11:24	249:21,22,24	212:2,12	disturbances
172:19 179:7	disagree 57:8	254:25 255:1	255:22 256:4	149:1
180:16 196:20	discourse 34:2	255:13,16	256:11,16	division 1:3 4:3
204:14 211:17	discovered	256:13,23	258:23	28:6 29:20
225:11 227:6	57:4	dispatch 230:18	dispersement	36:4 132:15
230:1 235:10	discovery 10:4	232:22,23	17:2 67:11	224:9 252:20
242:4 252:3	10:16,24 35:19	233:15	113:5 249:10	division/Real
253:10 257:14	51:17 52:25	dispersal 3:14	disperses	225:5
257:18,25	53:18 55:15	67:22 77:18	258:7	divisions 132:14
258:4 260:24	56:17 224:10	90:10,11 91:24	dispersing	document 3:6
261:2	discretion 80:4	108:24 109:16	94:17 95:21	27:18 28:7,25
differently	83:4 93:22	109:21 113:24	99:24 101:21	29:12 32:14
49:12 50:1	94:4 196:24	117:1,8 121:15	103:8 104:22	38:2 47:22
96:23	199:3,5,22	121:16 132:20	disposal 28:1	48:9 62:25
difficult 24:5	201:5 215:20	133:22 136:25	disposed 50:3	65:17,25,25
83:2 113:12	discuss 16:6	203:8,19	disposition	68:7,9 83:21
120:23 134:14	26:22 47:25	204:5,7,13,20	225:21 228:14	85:15 86:8,13
229:12,13,14	84:18	205:3,11,20	239:7 241:17	86:21 87:3,4,5
237:16,20,24	discussed	207:1,2,7,13	242:15	87:6,9 88:3,16
238:4	18:24 30:22	208:3,7,10,12	dispositions	89:2 90:13
difficulty 192:12	49:16 51:17	208:21 209:12	228:17	106:7 132:22
digital 36:4	52:17 89:18	209:15 210:1	dispute 191:19	133:9,11,14
dipersal 209:2	89:23 114:19	210:11,12,19,24	disrespect	139:9,18,22
direct 62:25	140:3 156:3	211:7,12,24	201:17	140:4,6,7,13
96:7 216:8	178:18 223:4,7	212:4,22	disseminated	140:22,25
directed 18:20	225:3	213:14,17	92:13 140:18	141:14,17,18
60:7 216:6	discussing	214:4,11 215:6	dissipates	142:1,2 146:2
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 79 of 110 PageID #: 4034

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

149:20 157:11	43:24 69:4	87:1 198:21	35:24 43:8	employees
166:5 168:4	74:17 145:9,12	213:5 219:25	49:4,21 55:25	19:18
169:18 203:24	147:4 153:3	228:22 250:8	69:16 100:20	employment
209:21 218:22	179:2 187:5	early 12:6,17	136:5,11 193:3	11:4
219:3 220:1,5	200:2 201:11	224:1 259:1	200:14 217:22	empty 77:11
220:16	238:22	easier 96:20	240:25 241:5	encapsulated
229:20 236:5	239:15	180:16	241:5,21,24	205:11
237:8 246:16	Dotson 2:22	EASTERN 1:2,3	243:24 244:7	enclosed 263:9
260:23,23	92:5	4:2,3	244:25	263:10
documentation	double 115:23	easy 36:12	253:25	Enclosures
27:24 29:16	doubt 238:12	47:10 124:22	element 76:24	263:25
30:1 31:22,24	download 60:18	educate 219:7	95:20 175:16	encompass
32:6,8,25	downloaded	education 75:19	189:14 190:8	134:22
33:3,15,20,25	35:16 46:3	effect 15:5 17:9	201:1,8	234:20
34:6,8,12 35:4	49:14,22	27:21 59:4,8	elements 107:11	encompassed
35:9 36:8,10	50:25 58:10	71:24 72:2	120:19 128:10	260:25
36:25 37:21	59:1	96:8 101:22	170:12,17	endeavor 178:9
39:6,22 40:3	downtown	102:13,16	176:16 188:1,16	181:4,14
40:23 47:5	135:23 136:2	205:21 245:8	189:10,19	ends 83:17
49:5 50:2,8	151:12 212:20	effecting 120:16	198:8,10 199:4	260:1
58:5,24 59:6	downward	126:4 200:14	200:13,19,22	enforce 93:20
59:8,10,16,21	130:8,10	effective 195:1	201:3,15	179:5 197:19
59:25 60:21	Draft 155:10	195:17,21,23	208:17 218:9	enforced 218:17
61:6,10,23	drafting 214:10	196:7,18	221:14	enforcement
62:3 63:15,18	drawing 241:23	effectiveness	eliminate	12:10 13:13,15
64:3,9,19,22	drawn 230:24	164:5 166:8	216:22	13:21,24,25
65:1 146:25	drive 35:17	167:24	eliminated	14:8 69:7
documented	50:25	effects 80:11	214:21	93:21 94:4
49:20 127:11	drug 14:8	128:17,18	email 2:12 3:5	120:9 126:1
137:9	due 54:1 56:12	effectuate	17:16 18:8,20	177:18 179:15
documenting	82:8	70:13 71:5,12	19:20 20:12	enforces 179:12
32:19	duly 262:7	72:8 83:2	44:24 45:1	182:6
documents	Duncan 5:19	85:11 113:21	56:8,9 57:3	engage 172:16
23:7,9,12,15	duplicate 10:7,9	120:2 127:1,18	66:8 85:19	engaged 55:19
26:20 67:21	duplicating	196:22	154:25 155:1,1	73:17 94:18,23
84:13 85:7,12	10:18	effort 15:3 38:1	155:4,13 156:4	95:18,22
87:2 110:7	duplication	56:7 87:7	157:7 208:5,6	99:25 100:11
114:16 139:19	35:19 260:3	164:5	•	101:21 103:13
143:19,22,25	duties 69:6	efforts 15:9	emergency 246:4	104:23 105:7
143:19,22,23	duties 09.0 duty 40:19	20:14 26:25	emphasize	183:7 184:12
	53:25 195:2	34:13 147:8	165:17	184:20 185:10
144:8,14 145:23 146:5	196:2	156:21,24,25		185:16 186:16
		' '	employ 149:19	213:4
146:13,18,19	dynamic 73:16	egress 95:15 186:5 208:24	employed 11:6 224:8 262:11	
149:20,22	E	210:5	262:14	engaging 17:2 73:6 190:19
167:21 205:2 222:4 260:2	E 2:1			190:25
	earlier 83:23	eight 4:14 either 27:25	employee 107:13 262:14	
doing 32:9	Carnor 00.20	CIUICI 27.23	107.13 202.14	ensconce

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 80 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

				1
152:25	escalation	event's 237:10	exception	163:3,10 165:11
ensure 95:15	70:20 71:21	events 32:2	89:20	168:4 169:12
132:21 167:24	especially	63:3 66:1	exceptional	170:2,5,10,11
200:12 215:16	197:20 215:13	157:10 164:8,11	167:2	170:15 187:22
ensuring	essential 103:11	168:22 183:1	excluded 46:16	189:6 202:10
106:23	essentially 11:10	215:14 220:21	excluding	202:11,20
entail 13:24	12:22 13:1,25	226:4 236:18	24:22 162:11	203:2,6,13,16
entails 12:21	33:15 45:21	236:22	exclusive	204:23 206:1
entered 19:4	59:1 112:7	237:22	234:12,14	206:3,4,7,22
35:18 38:18	174:24	everybody	exclusively	207:2,17,25
58:11 134:7	establish 185:19	14:10 182:16	113:2	210:19 212:5
entire 39:5	218:7	183:23 184:1	excuse 9:25	217:14 218:2
46:20 106:3,3	established	198:22	48:11 72:13	219:3,19,20
148:8 189:6	98:2 112:12	evidence 11:20	75:6 79:7	219:22 220:5
191:25 242:23	123:8,13	30:2 33:14	115:7 154:23	222:20
entirety 106:20	125:17 126:20	36:19 38:9,10	execute 61:12	223:14,18,22
107:14,17	126:24 128:2	38:12,19,19	Executed	224:18,20
entities 42:2	190:12 193:3	40:13,13,17,20	265:14	225:17 226:9
45:15	establishing	40:20 49:13	execution	226:15 227:1
entitled 20:9	193:18	49:14 50:24	124:14	240:19 242:3
179:17 186:14	estimate 21:14	51:1 59:3,12,18	exercise 240:17	243:21 244:13
entity 42:7	24:4,17 26:13	59:25 60:14	exercising	248:16 249:19
entrap 151:25	estimated	60:19 61:1	34:21 94:25	254:15 259:4
entrapped	227:11	120:13 125:17	100:13 105:9	exhibiting 71:16
182:16	et 1:5 4:5 92:5	125:18 126:21	exhibit 2:12,13	exhibits 2:10 3:1
entries 231:10	263:7 264:2	127:8 182:15	2:15,17,19,21	3:25 143:15
232:17	evaluate 15:4,11	191:17 192:17	2:23,24 3:3,5	170:13 259:3
entry 232:5	157:1	213:20	3:7,9,11,15,18	exist 58:1 141:12
envelope 59:3	evaluating	exact 108:12	3:21,22 17:24	148:4 149:17
59:12,18	154:10 158:11	209:17,24	18:2,6 20:20	existence 44:9
envision 113:12	162:5	exactly 78:1	20:23 21:1	exit 100:4 105:3
equally 113:21	evaluation	112:7 138:21	22:1 62:8,14	expectation
231:17	156:15 158:6	192:4 235:3	83:9,10,13	10:8
equip 31:13	evening 135:16	Examination	86:3,4,8,8	expectations
equipment 111:7	152:8 184:1	2:6,7 7:14	87:9 88:23	212:24 214:12
112:6 121:17	186:11 190:18	247:13	93:5,8 101:1,4	expected 3:16
equipped 31:12	191:16,19	examined 4:13	101:10,14,24	176:9 206:12
57:21	212:21 213:7	7:10	102:2 108:17	Expires 265:24
Eric 1:17 2:5 4:12	event 3:8 27:19	examiner 11:17	109:23 117:19	explain 12:20
7:8 8:1 66:13	28:2 50:20	example 34:18	117:23 128:24	32:11 76:12,14
263:10 264:1	61:16 63:1	57:7 73:14	129:2 131:15	85:7 207:18
265:5,20	122:19 163:13	106:14,15	131:19 139:13	explained 185:3
errata 263:11,13	165:24 191:25	111:19 113:16	139:14 140:14	199:21 213:5
263:16 264:1	216:10 229:4	135:15 160:24	154:16,20,22	244:19
error 161:25	236:23 237:11	174:14 195:22	155:21 156:8	explaining
escalating	238:2 246:6	212:14 232:11	156:20	256:2
77:24 78:23	248:25	238:15 259:2	160:25 162:24	explanation
	I	I	I	I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 81 of 110 PageID #: 4036 ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

80:14 83:20	182:25	203:19 206:6	51:16,22 55:3	224:19 225:19
195:15	factor 94:21	208:7 210:9	55:5 ^{251:21}	226:15 229:21
explanations	203:7	216:23	252:2	232:19
243:17	factors 73:13	224:24	filing 238:6	238:24 249:9
explore 68:10	facts 64:25	familiarize	263:18	253:12
expressly 7:6	120:13 127:9	19:22	fill 166:6,10	firsthand 34:4
60:4	185:18 191:1,19	far 17:2,7 26:6	filled 166:13	five 117:16
extended	192:21,23	41:25 48:4	167:13 168:21	212:15 245:7
129:16,19	194:7,10,14	49:16,19 56:7	Filler 3:5 155:5	255:17
extended-ran	208:17 213:20	80:16 84:17,18	155:14,24	fix 52:19
121:18,21 122:1	215:4 218:7	107:10 126:4	final 11:1 153:25	fixed 254:12
122:7,23	fail 80:20	140:18,20	finally 143:9	flail 70:23
123:11,14,19,24	165:19 189:19	164:24 165:14	257:4	flailing 81:15
125:22 127:24	failed 53:19	211:1 223:4	financially	82:2
128:2 131:23	181:4	225:20	262:15	flash 35:17
132:4,9	failing 80:18	246:13 248:2	find 36:12 54:13	50:25
extent 10:17	96:5 181:17,18	250:2 258:20	85:13 87:2	fleeing 82:1,3
14:19 20:8	256:11	258:24 261:3	114:9 134:10	flip 171:9
42:6,14 43:8	fails 70:19	farther 248:1	205:19 207:6	fluid 184:25
60:20 68:4	failure 56:1	fashion 83:1	219:14 222:3	focus 12:15
73:4 91:5 94:1	117:8 186:5	fault 115:24	240:8 263:9	74:14 81:17
103:3 116:25	190:3 255:22	favor 240:3	finds 31:4	131:12 168:25
117:5 123:1	256:4,16	243:20	fine 8:5 10:23	208:11 209:25
137:3 170:9	fair 8:21 16:5	FBI 13:4	37:16 147:18	215:5 224:17
173:4 180:7	26:23 34:21	February 11:10	169:16 199:10	239:10 257:16
200:17,20	34:22 42:9,11	11:18	finish 8:17,20	focused 200:16
237:17 240:6	47:9 55:21	feedback 167:2	71:7	focuses 138:21
249:5	58:14 63:3	feel 52:3 170:13	finished 239:13	142:13 202:3
eyes 252:9	73:12 83:5	178:22 189:5	finite 184:24	focusing 44:12
F	88:15,23	258:21	fire 258:16	45:11 82:6
	97:20 104:1	feels 248:10	firearm 11:16	96:10 99:2
F-i-l-l-e-r 155:5	109:4,8 138:7	feet 211:15	first 14:16 21:4,7	148:7 149:7
face 78:18	138:9 156:16	Feig 252:19	21:9,13 24:16	183:9 186:10
fact 40:11 57:3 57:18 64:18	156:17 158:21	felt 10:21	30:4 31:21	186:22 190:15
	169:9 179:25	fight 70:6,10	32:15 34:21	243:21
122:1,17,23 124:16 125:7	214:21 223:5	81:15	52:20 55:8	fogger 91:20,22
154:24 174:8	223:6 243:11	fighting 82:4	67:12 76:18	96:22 98:22
174:21 175:25	253:16 258:6	figure 55:6	81:22 93:16,18	99:8 104:15
183:14,20	fall 245:21	153:10 215:25	94:14 95:4,6	112:17 119:20
184:9 196:24	fallen 246:20	figured 18:6	95:24 96:10	120:10,23
218:1 231:17	familiar 7:22	file 36:22 59:2	100:1104:24	121:2 128:18
233:9 253:1	21:1 30:18	101:13 237:23	133:2,7 145:23	187:4 234:20
256:10 258:18	91:25 154:22	251:21,22,24	168:17 176:19	235:2,15
259:9,10	154:24,25	253:22	178:18 180:8	247:17 257:17
260:17	156:2,21 162:18 163:9	filed 50:6,22 232:9	181:9 189:14 197:21 199:19	258:6 259:8
fact-dependent	172:7 191:7	files 46:4 51:14	208:15 209:3	foggers 96:24 247:16 257:6
	1/2./ 191./	111 CS 40.4 31.14	200.13 209.3	247.10 237.0

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 82 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

follow 16:12	190:5 193:20	66:20 69:13	225:19 259:21	231:1 241:20
59:22 92:4	196:13 209:16	129:2 130:7	generate 39:2	249:11,12
189:19 209:16	213:19 222:11	137:23 144:6	107:22 259:14	gives 73:24
226:6 231:9	245:10 246:11	160:24 202:11	generated	78:14 210:11
follow-up	265:7	210:3 219:4	39:13 50:11	giving 71:23
145:20	formal 245:15	219:23	137:5 230:21	75:8 76:18
followed 194:2	format 65:20	full 94:19 170:12	generating	80:16 83:5
260:16	163:23 203:18	187:21	66:16	120:19 194:25
following 50:4	formations	fully 153:6	generic 158:4	195:16,21
71:10 94:5	145:17	function 13:5	generically	196:7,18
177:24 185:11	formed 178:1	33:18 195:11	161:4	208:21 210:1
198:1	forth 89:1 94:5	functioning	Genetec 42:20	glance 155:18
follows 7:11	forward 10:5	53:11 251:23	42:23 43:2,11	glean 227:12
food 166:14,25	81:24 144:18	functions 15:22	43:16 51:21	go 21:25 28:14
167:1	forwarded	32:10 61:12	52:10,12 55:19	32:12 43:12
force 70:20,21	26:18 35:23	63:1	251:3 252:24	54:3 61:14
71:17,22 80:8	found 53:1	funded 41:14	Gerald 133:6	62:10 63:22
80:12,21	171:21 172:24	further 247:6	getting 10:25	66:4 70:22
106:15,16,18	174:10,13	251:9 259:22	43:1 44:22	75:21 77:10
107:9,12	175:4 176:1	260:4,9	108:8 145:9	80:7 81:13,24
137:19 150:18	179:23 242:11	261:12 262:13	198:1	84:2 89:4
150:19 171:7	foundation 5:4	future 31:10	give 8:14 11:3	90:15 96:7
173:1,8 174:17	147:20 192:11	168:1	29:6 72:9	101:17 102:2
175:13 177:3,8	245:11 246:12		73:14 77:15	104:18 105:12
180:21 188:11	four 14:16 33:22	G	78:6 80:23	107:7 109:23
188:15,19	33:23 40:3,11	gain 79:22	83:6 96:4	125:12 126:6
193:12,15,24	40:22 95:3,17	153:11	123:7 187:10	127:17 131:19
233:12,22	119:2 120:19	gap 147:16	187:14 196:17	132:16 138:20
234:5 239:8	128:9 151:25	gas 97:24,24	201:13,25	139:9 141:22
244:6,6,13	183:12 201:14	98:10,15,21	206:2 208:23	148:21 169:11
foregoing	fourth 2:13	99:7 104:8	209:14,19	169:17 174:2
262:7 265:6	20:24 21:3	111:19 112:1,1,2	238:8 240:4	180:13 185:6
265:13	23:20 136:1	129:15 234:16	241:7 246:24	187:17 189:1
forenoon 4:15	221:6,15	259:19	258:25	192:10 194:4
forgot 242:12	frame 37:14	gee 56:10	given 11:23	194:17,20
form 20:3 21:8	39:19 43:23	general 27:17	52:11 53:9	211:9,9,10,13
72:14 75:11	68:19 69:8	32:23 47:21	57:1 61:14	211:17 222:14
78:4 79:8	framework	48:9 60:8,10	70:8 80:17	225:13 226:14
112:16 114:3	134:6	66:8 77:9	83:12 89:11,21	231:8 238:14
120:12 122:12	free 52:3 170:13	90:8 113:8	117:24 142:19	239:4,16
164:14,16,18	189:5 196:24	130:5 140:11	161:4 183:3	240:5 242:18
164:20,21,23	Friday 85:20	202:8 210:9	186:3,4,18,19	255:9
165:3 166:7,13	friend 251:13	generally 15:18	186:20,23	goal 78:23
168:9,10,11,13	frightening	76:23 77:3	187:6 202:15	79:19 130:22
168:15,21	94:25 100:12	81:14 93:18	204:20	220:18
173:9 177:13	105:9	135:3 150:14	206:19 215:16	goes 38:12
178:21 185:22	front 20:12 62:6	162:7 167:22	218:12 222:21	143:9 258:17
	•	•	•	•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 83 of 110 PageID #: 4038

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

258:22,22,24	208:11 224:17	guideline 107:8	128:12 130:21	harm 71:4,18
going 8:19,25	235:17 236:8	248:24	136:23 137:3	99:22 100:9
9:17 10:5 12:15	246:9,22	guidelines	137:13 138:3	121:5 125:2,20
21:20 22:16	gotten 37:17	152:13 194:3	138:13,17 140:1	126:22 127:6
27:19 36:8,17	201:7 208:6	guilty 171:21	141:9 142:6	127:10
36:18,23 46:2	228:5 234:1	172:24 174:10	148:14 187:4	havoc 199:5
49:16 54:6	governed	175:4 176:1	196:11 234:18	hazard 246:4
55:9 61:12	113:20,22	178:10 181:15	235:2,14	head 139:8
62:17 66:3	governs 121:15	guns 234:16	244:21	heading 22:1
68:6 70:16	grab 80:7		247:23 248:4	92:4 116:20
71:9,25 72:1	graduate 144:17	H	248:8 257:1	208:4 248:19
72:10,10 74:7	graduated	half 26:11,16,16	258:3,8,19	249:23
74:11,12,12	75:18	199:9 255:17	handing 86:6	255:12
75:6 76:10	grand 66:2	Hall 4:17 34:9,19	handle 76:3	headings
77:10,11,16,17	190:24	61:22,24	handled 145:16	226:14 248:18
78:13,16 80:19	granted 94:3	63:18 64:18	146:23 160:1	249:20
80:24 81:24	grants 93:21	Hall's 63:11 65:5	handling 164:7	254:24
90:11,18 93:7	Gras 63:4	hand 20:22	236:22	headquarters
99:18 114:11	great 130:15	62:17 68:8	hands 70:18,22	41:7
117:22 124:18	150:2	77:11 82:21	74:18,19 79:1	hear 92:21
125:25 126:6	greater 28:13	93:8 101:4	80:7 82:22	181:23 222:19
128:3 139:14	97:1 125:25	117:22 129:16	83:1 130:3,6,11	261:11
147:19 151:3	248:8 258:7	129:19 130:2	209:19	heard 57:18
154:18 157:10	258:11,12	134:3 154:18	handwritten	72:24 82:11
167:18,22	ground 80:8	163:1 247:22	47:16 221:21	hearing 48:7
174:4 175:7	152:8	Hand-Off	hanging 213:13	53:13 101:11
180:15 185:20	group 16:21	249:24 255:2	happen 44:23	153:2 216:14
186:24 191:21	44:22 73:23	handcuffed	64:14,14 183:2	heck 113:23
203:5,11	122:8 126:11	72:21	208:15 237:10	181:1 183:24
205:25 210:6	126:15 134:25	handcuffs 70:12	happened 17:19	heed 95:11
213:17 223:8	135:10 152:1	72:21 74:3	36:13 56:4,10	100:4 105:3
225:19 226:7	174:25 175:3,4	82:3,22	61:5 64:17	117:8
231:7 237:10	183:19,21	handed 63:6	124:23 156:15	held 11:9 35:18
239:10	211:15 213:2,8	203:12 223:17	happening 57:5	35:22 36:4
244:24 251:15	213:12 223:10	handheld 71:13	173:25 184:10	49:15 51:1
258:23	227:4 259:15	74:4 76:15	happens 45:21	helicopter 30:8
good 7:16,17	259:20	90:2 91:20,22	201:1 213:7	30:11
91:6 100:16	groups 94:17	96:21 97:2,7	happy 56:17,19	hell 75:6
117:13 230:14	95:21 99:24	98:16 99:23	99:19 179:17	help 15:8 110:21
238:12	101:21 103:8,13	100:10 104:14	246:24	110:24 120:4
Google 170:5	104:22 135:18	104:21 105:7	260:18	191:7 196:5
Gotcha 21:17	185:10 225:25	112:17,20,25	hard 17:7 91:2	203:12
38:21 83:7	227:6	113:3 114:13,24	229:24	224:22 231:14
90:13 95:24	guess 31:1 157:4	115:16 116:24	hardest 100:19	238:13
96:17 99:16	201:17 230:1	121:23 123:2,5	hardware 43:5	helpful 207:20
110:10 119:10	guidance	123:15 124:3,5	43:9 250:22	hey 213:12
152:12 205:15	198:12 256:3	125:22 127:18	250:24	high 235:5
.522 255.75	100.12 200.0		200.2	9 200.0

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 84 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

				1
247:20	214:3 253:10	225:17 226:11	109:5	160:17 161:18
257:21 258:3	huddle 251:6	227:10 229:11	importance	224:23 225:8
258:6	Hudson 155:6	230:3 231:15	249:8	230:10 232:3
high-capacity	hundred 14:14	236:18 237:19	important	239:5
121:18,21 122:1	hurt 72:1,11 75:6	238:24	28:22,25	include 31:3
122:7,23	Hutson 87:25	240:20 243:1	29:3,8	85:21 111:3,5
123:10,14,19	hypothetical	243:7	impression	112:15 116:17
123:24 125:21	64:17 174:23	identifier 39:3	37:18 40:12	172:23 173:5
127:24 128:2	175:6 181:21	58:16	improper 60:5	177:6 210:20
129:20 131:23	182:19 183:21	identifies 63:14	improve 164:5	210:25 211:5
132:9	183:23 193:23	84:9 108:25	166:7	212:5 214:11
higher 82:7	hypothetically	121:17 170:16	improved 168:1	245:2
216:19	44:13 182:12	224:8 236:15	inability 90:22	included 61:10
highlight 211:19	44.13 102.12	identify 47:10	inappropriate	91:13 97:11
238:13 241:17		59:9,14 158:9	73:9	98:16,22 99:8
242:12	i.e 49:19 52:10	206:9 224:23	inappropriately	104:4,4 107:18
highlighted	I/LEADS 132:21	225:14 234:6	60:22	111:6,15,21,24
240:19	133:8,9,16,17	234:8 235:25	incident 3:6	112:20,22 117:1
highlighter	133:21 134:2,11	238:14 239:4	28:10 34:10,19	132:24 135:10
238:9	135:19 136:4	243:23	34:23 35:4	135:13 220:16
	136:10 137:4	243.23 244:18	38:5,10,25	227:1 233:4
highlighting 242:3	137:15,19		39:1,4,21 50:5	234:2 243:4
	225:3 228:12	ignorance 41:8		
highlights 222:23	228:18,22	ignored 113:24 IL 4:20	53:4,11 58:13	includes 85:2
· -	229:9 230:11		60:21 63:24	97:23 103:11
Highway 245:17 245:21	234:3 235:20	illegal 60:13	63:25 64:10	103:18 120:5 228:14
	235:22,25	imagine 71:10	64:13 127:11	
hindering 61:1	idea 182:20	immediate 70:7	132:21 133:3,5	including 30:15 120:24 161:5
history 56:14	184:10	101:22 120:24	134:24 135:23	
168:13	identical 91:20	124:10	137:6 152:7	242:21
hit 107:21	91:23 103:24	immediately	155:10 157:12	243:23
holding 35:25	210:16,17	45:13	163:22 165:2	inclusion
74:17 82:18	identification	imminent 71:3	166:4 167:15	231:25
129:19	17:25 20:21	71:18 99:22	174:22 191:6	incorporated
homicide 12:24	62:15 83:11	100:9 125:1,19	194:2 197:23	17:4 61:20
honest 160:19	86:5,7 93:6	126:22 127:5	208:10 212:10	92:19,24
161:17	101:2 117:20	127:10 190:19	215:15,18	93:14 141:14,19
hope 71:11 76:4	128:25 154:17	impact 9:23	216:5,6,7,13	incorrect 173:8
261:5	154:19 162:25	10:25 95:13	227:5 228:21	258:1
hoped 54:5	163:2 170:3	96:18 97:1,5	228:23 233:8	incorrectly 99:4
hopefully 142:1	203:3 206:5	120:7 123:4	246:21 249:14	216:24
hosted 159:21	219:21 223:15	123:20 124:6	incidents 28:5	independent
hot 121:12		125:25 128:11	29:130:1	42:13
hour 26:10	223:17	130:15	32:23 34:17	indicate 16:14
hours 4:14 24:3	identified 40:6	impairs 9:4	39:10,18 50:11	24:8 40:16
24:18 26:12	46:12 88:18	implausible	68:16 69:21	59:17,18
142:2 150:8	111:15 221:6	71:11	69:25 133:11	249:15 263:12
212:21 213:8	224:1 225:10	implemented	134:22 135:13	indicated 16:24
	1	1	1	1

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 85 of 110 PageID #: 4040

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

49:24 151:15	95:14,18,21	informed 54:1	116:9,12	245:16
157:4 181:10	96:7,18 97:1,5	initial 115:25	182:21 209:18	involving 7:20
indicates 18:11	99:24 100:3,11	injected 199:12	intention 85:23	47:18 50:11
58:16 89:15	103:8,13	injunction 18:12	interact 113:18	134:13 228:17
98:14,21 99:7	104:23 105:2	19:4,5 20:1,17	interacting	234:5 260:5
105:14 108:15	105:7 113:8	101:11 153:17	34:20	issuance 3:13
108:22 118:1	117:7 118:14,17	156:13 191:11	interaction	207:12 219:16
119:4 129:5	119:20 120:8	injuries 80:6,11	32:14 34:24	issue 10:15
132:19 171:17	122:8 123:4	124:11	153:5 196:21	42:25 43:16
181:12 239:8	123:20 124:25	injury 80:9	interchangea	110:22,25
indicating	125:25 127:3	ink 240:4	162:23	112:24 114:17
245:3	127:4 128:11	inoperable	interdiction 14:9	116:4 124:15
indicative	134:25 136:25	53:16	interest 32:18	134:12 141:21
259:6	137:8 148:9	input 66:15	46:21 158:5	157:2 158:13
individual 38:18	149:2 152:8	227:22	interested 20:9	202:17 204:10
50:16,16 53:1	164:24 172:15	inputted 227:19	84:21 157:16	210:12 215:6,9
66:16 67:14	172:16,25	inquiries 252:15	236:5 262:16	215:12 216:7
69:22 70:2,4	175:12 208:21	inquiring 56:14	interference	216:18 217:5
70:17,19,23	210:20 211:1	inquiry 169:8	194:21 195:15	233:19 238:16
71:19 72:6,21	212:6 239:24	253:21	interfering	243:13 246:3
73:24 76:16,19	individuals'	inside 174:14	195:2,10,14	246:3 251:4
76:25 77:12,17	63:21 249:9	182:16	196:1,8,21	256:15 260:14
79:23 80:3,8	inert 97:24	insofar 29:24	interject 147:12	issued 16:25
80:25 82:11	259:16,19	inspection 14:6	180:11	18:13,23 19:23
82:25 83:4	inform 19:25	instances 79:5	internal 140:25	20:17,25
98:15 113:7	195:1 196:1	234:25 242:4	internally 232:4	54:10,21
130:6,7,12	information	242:12 243:12	interpretation	108:18 109:1
134:25 135:4	9:23 16:24	243:24 244:1	172:1 187:14	116:4 121:18
135:18 145:8	17:4 28:13	244:25	213:5	131:24 132:6,7
163:24 170:25	36:5 41:21	instant 261:15	interrupt 10:1	153:16 154:8
174:18 187:9,11	46:3 52:11,25	instruct 17:17	intersection	156:12 206:17
190:9 193:24	53:10 58:10	195:3	36:13 211:10	206:19 216:5
195:10 196:8	59:13 66:15	instructed	intimately 30:18	216:11 217:10
198:4 221:9,12	88:24 107:3	157:24	investigate	219:13 236:16
224:3 229:5	134:7 135:5,6	instructing	16:16 17:18	238:3 239:6
247:23 248:11	148:25 150:22	196:2	investigation	241:1,13 242:6
248:12 individually	153:9 156:3 159:21 161:15	instructional 220:18	28:8 55:4 56:22 254:1	243:2 248:6 issues 10:4,16
24:23	166:20 167:13	instructions	investigative	29:25 42:22
individuals 17:3	176:6,10 225:1	3:12 207:12	12:5,23,25	46:2 65:17
24:25 25:6,8	225:7,15,20	instructs 210:20	involve 240:7	74:11 139:19
25:22 35:1	227:9,13,23	210:25 212:6	243:14	197:21 204:9
40:17 50:23	227:25 228:5	intelligence	involved 68:16	233:13 249:10
61:13 63:1 64:1	228:15,16	28:6 29:20	160:5 181:18	250:8
65:23 73:5,11	230:6 231:9	61:25 225:5	195:17 225:21	issuing 205:2
73:15 77:3,15	232:3 234:1	252:20	228:7 240:12	208:10 221:24
94:17,23	238:15	intent 10:18	243:8 245:4	item 229:21
, -	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 86 of 110 PageID #: 4041 ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

items 111:14	keeping 174:24	55:2 56:10	20:11 23:15	178:7,15 194:11
231:16 257:14	kept 46:13,21	58:1,18 65:8	29:11 30:21	198:14 205:2
IV 83:25,25	50:12 115:21	65:10 66:11	31:19 32:4	205:7 207:2
84:4 115:9,11	kettle 34:2	68:8 81:14	34:4 39:20	208:3,8,8,11
115:14 137:11,14	39:23 113:17	88:1,6,11 92:2	40:22 42:12	209:3,3,7,12
137:18 138:10	117:6 118:15,18	100:21 102:20	46:8,11 47:1,2	209:18,19,23
196:11	118:21 119:7,11	102:24 110:3	52:7,15 53:7	210:3,9,13,18
	123:10,25	118:4,20	54:9 55:16	210:20,23,25
J	124:21 125:10	120:22 121:14	60:7 61:7,18	211:18,20,23
January 11:21	125:11,16	124:22 130:3	66:7,15	212:5,6,15,19
12:6,17 101:19	126:11 135:16	131:8 133:7	106:24 115:4	212:24 213:1
Jemerson 141:2	137:4 151:8,10	135:25 140:19	136:4 141:13	214:11,11,19,24
141:3,7 148:23	151:24 152:16	140:20 141:11	148:3 149:17	217:25 218:1
149:3 159:20	152:21 158:20	144:7 145:25	149:22 151:22	227:16
160:1 161:14	158:24 174:14	146:7,8,10,12	152:4,6 153:16	languatory
220:2,8	182:11,17 183:9	146:16,17,20	165:4,8,9,23	209:5
221:14 222:22	183:11 185:3	147:6 148:8	166:1 168:18	large 27:18
246:15	190:15 192:18	149:6 150:3,6	182:2 185:19	63:2 103:3
Jerome 2:23	249:18 259:14	150:8 155:17	191:24 200:18	121:22 135:22
101:8	kettling 191:13	160:14,17,23	200:21 201:6	135:23 141:20
Jessie 5:9	key 176:16 188:1	160:14,17,23	215:17 216:1	174:22 183:19
Jessie's 260:22	189:9 236:4	162:14 165:14	224:19 250:19	215:13 223:10
job 63:1 100:18	keyword 230:7	168:12 170:4	253:10	259:7
100:19,20	230:8	181:1 182:19	knowledgeable	larger 96:25
147:4 199:15	kind 131:6	187:6 188:22	53:25	123:15 128:3
238:12	159:17 165:20	190:23,24	known 118:15	237:5 247:25
Joe 66:12	210:10 220:7	191:8,12 192:3	247:17,19	248:6 257:21
jsteffan@aclu	237:9 259:14	199:3,4 201:3	knows 65:7	258:8
5:11	kinda 183:24	203:23 204:8	220:25	Larson 1:17 2:5
judge 16:25	kinds 65:16	205:1,8,19,24	220.23	4:12 7:8 8:2,3
18:14 19:23	98:25 183:1,3	213:6,16,24	L	18:1 20:22
56:5 76:3	knew 55:5,7	213:25.25	labeled 51:6	48:16 54:2
79:11 119:24	66:2 231:21	214:2,16	laboratory 11:16	57:13 62:16
169:14 179:18	251:14	221:20 222:8	11:21,24 12:2	86:8 101:3
179:18	know 10:13,15	230:3 231:6	36:4	117:21 121:10
judged 117:9	10:24 21:10,24	234:22	lack 90:22 151:7	124:18 129:1
July 102:9	22:4 23:18	240:24 244:1	198:24 245:10	131:5 139:12
108:19 226:11	27:19 30:2,19	244:8 245:15	246:11	158:3 163:1
jump 66:22	30:19,20	253:6 254:5	lady 130:10	175:8 179:2
June 11:22	33:19,25 34:6	knowing 65:15	laid 28:16	189:7 197:5
Jury 66:2	34:8,11,17 36:7	75:5 121:3	174:22 221:14	198:2 202:1
	36:12 37:7	183:17	227:24	203:5 205:24
K	40:8 41:25	knowingly	language 75:6	219:4 223:16
K-9 14:5	44:11,20	170:22 188:5	84:8 98:13,19	233:23
keep 22:10	45:25 50:13	189:19	99:6 112:5	239:15 240:17
54:6,7 226:7	50:16 51:25	knowledge	116:6 130:21	247:4 263:10
237:21	53:20 54:25	16:19 17:13,21	170:10,12	264:1 265:5
	33.20 34.23	10.13 17.13,21		207.1203.3

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 87 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

265:20	162:7 167:23	light 120:4	134:14 139:15	169:1 176:13
late 114:2	learning 41:9,9	259:6	207:8 229:12	189:5,6 203:6
launched 235:8	55:12 90:23	limit 212:12	229:25	203:8 205:5
launchers	leave 211:1,8	limitation 50:21	located 28:4	206:21 217:8
148:20	212:7,13	line 99:11 106:8	41:5,6,15 52:1	219:6 232:5
launches 235:9	left 118:6	137:11 156:7	52:2 56:18	240:18 244:5
258:10	legal 75:13 76:2	229:21 231:16	233:8	244:6
launching	79:9 161:9	254:11 264:5	location 3:4	looked 67:21
234:16	173:10,13	264:9,13,17,21	34:16 36:11	68:2 92:10
law 2:19,20 25:1	178:21,22	lines 16:2 232:1	47:12 52:4	113:3 115:15
25:10,14,18	179:3,14,24	link 107:20	59:15,19	125:6 140:13
60:15 75:18,21	190:6 202:7	links 106:12	63:24 129:6	177:23 217:12
75:22,25 76:3	224:10	list 29:14 38:14	166:17 212:10	236:8 259:2
79:11 86:9,10	lenders 161:16	61:2 66:10	226:22	looking 15:12
87:24 88:25	lesson 3:18	220:15 225:8	230:20	31:15 39:21
91:14 93:21	61:19 141:20	226:3 227:4	locations 41:18	45:17 47:10
94:3 120:9	lessons 15:13	231:7,20	135:12	83:15 108:16
126:1 140:5	161:15 162:7	232:7 242:24	locking 82:17	136:17 140:8,9
161:10 169:24	167:23	243:17	locks 83:1	152:21 169:12
177:14 182:23	let's 27:4 31:18	listed 46:4 62:2	Locust 52:3	170:11 175:17
184:9 185:24	37:6,19 39:21	62:2 63:22	250:12	179:13,22,23
198:8 202:22	43:8 49:4,25	64:21 111:8	log 232:21	182:5 194:15
249:10,16	50:5,15 59:5	113:6,9	long 22:11 26:7	205:12,13
lawful 7:9 120:9	81:17,21 90:15	listing 36:7 111:6	50:1,6 55:17	217:19 219:3
121:6 123:20	117:6 123:25	lists 66:10	99:16 100:21	231:24
189:20	128:22 168:25	225:25 228:1	104:17 150:3	232:20,21
lawfully 122:10	180:17,25	literally 53:15	154:11 197:25	240:18 241:10
124:2	181:2 182:11	119:14	199:6 201:25	241:16 242:12
Lawrence 2:12	208:11 213:6	litigation 6:3	212:6 220:15	242:13 256:12
18:9	226:7 229:20	14:24,25 16:9	222:18 232:7	looks 74:8 118:9
laws 171:2,6	232:5 238:21	17:12 23:13	long-lasting	119:25 231:24
173:1,7 174:17	238:21 242:18	25:19 39:25	80:11	243:10
175:13 185:21	260:20 261:1	46:1 50:6	long-term	loop 57:14
188:9,14	level 175:1	136:13 153:25	54:25 80:11	137:22
lawsuit 50:21	194:16 216:20	156:14 162:11	longer 80:15	loose 259:25
lay 192:11	228:15 233:12	203:16 263:1	184:21 258:17	loss 51:5 56:22
laying 103:6	Leyshock 133:6	little 9:22 11:8	look 17:18 46:2	57:2
114:6	licensed 251:2	12:20 16:12	48:15 49:25	lost 201:7
lays 132:23	lieutenant 11:23	20:11 26:17	51:3 54:14	253:20 256:6
171:12 176:16	37:13,15 141:3	48:6 54:5	63:10 82:7	lot 8:15 24:9
187:25 189:9	148:23 149:3	68:10 73:21	93:16 105:13	26:17,19,20
learn 147:1	159:20 191:12	114:2 124:18	108:13 111:11	64:13 66:11
179:6 236:5	192:15,22,24	125:13 126:8	118:8 129:4,13	145:17 157:15
learned 15:13	193:13 197:22	137:11 174:23	131:15 139:23	185:5 186:7
44:15 52:21	252:19	181:20 208:4	142:9 149:16	234:24
53:5,18 55:2	lieutenants	229:17 236:9	149:20 150:1	257:12
55:14 57:6	87:21	locate 39:24	155:13,20	lots 44:1
	•	1	•	•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 88 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

Louis 1:8 2:18,19	85:11 89:19,24	MALEEHA 1:5	83:8 86:2	109:2 250:21
4:8,16,18 5:6	91:19,22 98:4	4:5 263:7	206:2 219:18	250:23
5:16 6:5 7:21	112:3 113:4	264:2	239:4	matters 88:18
11:7 19:21,24	114:24 115:16	malfunction	marked 17:25	89:1 199:13,19
20:15 22:5,7	115:18 137:3,8	42:15 43:9	20:21,23	224:11
23:25 27:7	148:14 196:10	44:16 51:24	62:15 83:11,13	mayor 155:5,14
39:24 48:8,17	234:6,17,19,24	54:24,25 55:1	86:5,7 93:6,8	mayor's 155:25
63:3 75:22	235:1,4,13,13	252:25	101:2,4 115:15	157:7
81:13 86:9	235:19 236:1	malfunctioned	117:17,20,22	mean 16:1 25:11
88:18 94:2	236:6 238:17	44:14 52:23	128:25 154:17	33:10 38:22
102:15 133:25	239:1,9	54:22 55:13	154:19 160:25	46:15 50:4
145:10 148:16	243:25 244:8	55:17 254:8	162:25 163:2	60:17 62:23
151:13 155:6	244:14,21,25	malfunctioning	170:3 203:3	78:10 87:17
156:10 157:9	245:4 247:17	44:21 53:16	203:13 206:5	92:2 106:17
157:20,22	247:20	56:15 253:13	219:21 223:15	122:11 140:6
164:6 166:4	257:20 259:11	management	223:17 241:8	145:6 147:2
167:25 168:4	machine 90:24	36:5,16 133:10	245:3 259:2	149:2 153:8
176:5 182:10	mail 88:7	134:5 225:3	Market 4:17	160:17,22
200:4 224:8	main 82:2	225:22 226:1	5:15 263:5	164:22 174:7
240:25	164:18,20	226:2 227:14	markings	174:22 186:2
245:16,20	maintain 42:14	228:2,6,9	240:18	193:22 201:21
250:14 263:6	50:7 54:16	230:11	mask 129:15	211:12 233:1
263:7,18	maintained	manages 43:5	mass 119:5	249:3 253:6
264:2	35:10 46:5	mandatory	127:2 137:7	260:13
Louis's 138:1	229:8,9	89:10,20 90:6	152:21	meaning 65:20
197:7	250:25	90:8,12 209:4	material 24:10	112:8
love 260:13	maintaining	209:8,12	26:21 38:7	means 21:4
lunch 117:14	41:19 42:8,10	manipulate	155:14 161:23	172:10 177:12
131:6	45:9 52:13,16	80:8	219:10 221:13	184:13 194:9
Luther 34:9,18	164:8	manner 27:6	materials 25:25	meant 252:4
61:22,24 63:11	maintains	48:16,22 72:9	26:1,2 139:4	mechanical
63:18 64:18	43:20 47:8	98:10 104:8,10	139:24 141:2,6	150:17
65:5	50:19 224:25	125:19 127:9	141:12,20	mechanics
	250:12,16	221:1,24	142:4 147:9	30:18 168:19
M	maintenance	235:13	147:22 148:4	mechanism
mace 67:9,14	42:4 43:23	manners 49:15	149:17 160:8	31:21
68:15,17 69:3	250:7,13	66:9	219:7,15	media 35:12
69:9,11,16,25	major 3:8 12:8	Manpower	220:2 221:13	213:11
70:14,16,24	13:10 163:13	228:4	221:21,21	medication 9:3
71:14 72:12,16	164:11 165:24	manually 134:7	222:9,13	meet 24:22
72:18,19 73:1	247:15	March 226:10	223:1 260:7	159:13 165:19
73:18 74:4,25	majority 231:18	229:22	260:17 261:8	meeting 26:14
76:10 77:3,9	231:19 243:11	240:21 241:11	math 241:19	26:15,24 87:6
77:13 78:8,10 78:17 80:10	majors 87:20	242:5 243:22	matter 28:21,24	178:8,15,16,17
80:25 83:24	making 148:4	Mardi 63:4	29:2,7 31:5	180:2 181:13
84:5,19 85:3	157:16 158:7	mark 11:17 17:23	51:1 80:20	meetings 18:25
04.5,15 65.5	253:18	60:1 62:8	88:13 101:23	25:3,5,23
	-	-	-	•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 89 of 110 PageID #: 4044

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

		1	1	1
26:10,24	19:18,21,24	misquoted	190:22,24	N
160:9 161:14	20:15 148:16	115:25	monetary 31:14	N 2:1 263:17
162:4	157:20,22	missed 238:25	monitor 231:2	name 7:24 8:1
meets 204:19	164:6 167:25	missing 85:18	monitored 28:2	38:20 44:4
members 14:1	middle 119:15	85:25 90:18	231:22	59:2,9 63:11
19:14,21 27:24	Midwest 229:21	Missouri 1:2,8	monitoring	146:2 163:6
27:24 28:10	mildly 34:25	3:10 4:2,8,18	28:8	226:25 227:1
29:17 32:11	mind 9:21	4:22 5:4,6,16	month 21:15	227:4,7 257:9
59:21 65:18	48:22 62:9	6:5 169:24	108:12 260:21	258:5 264:1,2
107:6,7 145:13	74:20 113:19	170:7 217:13	monthly 107:5,9	265:11
145:15 150:15	121:2 127:15	262:5,21	201:1	name's 7:18
171:20 220:19	137:10 199:1	263:6,7 264:2	months 14:17	named 46:4
221:22 222:5	207:5 235:1	misspoke 110:14	24:7 53:19	58:25
memorandum	261:12	114:7	57:4	names 63:21
165:2,5	mine 238:6	misstating	morning 7:16,17	65:14 258:4
memorandums	239:5	171:18	motion 56:1	narrow 24:21
164:24	minimization	mist 129:23	motor 14:6	230:9 258:16
memory 224:2	124:12	259:15	move 37:17	
mental 198:23	minimize 96:8	misunderstan	131:11 211:14,15	nature 30:3 73:16 124:12
mention 31:22	96:17 97:4	246:23	moved 12:6,22	
155:23	120:23 123:3	misunderstood	64:7	128:3 148:20
mentioned	128:10,16,18	15:7	moving 130:8,9	184:23
14:17 19:1	minimized	mixing 161:18	144:18 208:22	204:22 258:7
29:16 41:1	95:13 120:9	Mm-hmm 29:18	222:17	necessarily
44:4 57:17	124:6	31:23 68:13	multiple 39:9,16	36:15 39:9
76:8 87:3,12	minimizes 80:5	82:13 93:10	39:17 65:18	43:13 58:8
150:9	minimizes	119:18 120:3	108:10 135:8	63:20 72:22
mentions	121:4	127:20 142:16	135:11,12,13	183:6 186:24
256:24	minimum 78:25	151:9 155:16	258:4	208:2 236:24
mere 183:20	81:19,21,22	169:19 173:19	munitions 31:5	258:15
merely 211:14	82:6 130:20	195:5 229:23	96:6 144:20	necessary 45:4
merger 43:25	minute 36:24	238:23	148:20	56:20 90:12
Merriam-Web	62:11 108:14	MO 4:21 263:18	203:20 204:5	158:7 170:17
177:24	155:12 159:14	mobility 145:17	203.20 204.3	176:17 208:17
met 24:21 25:9	minutes 9:22	modified 16:11,11	218:25 221:6	218:7 265:9
25:22 26:3,7	49:2 68:6	modify 153:4	221:25 234:9	necessity 139:7
94:19 198:8,10	49.2 08.0 115:13 117:16	157:1 158:12	234:15 235:7	need 10:21
224:4 226:4	129:9 150:8	214:19,24	235:10,11,19	12:16 16:10
241:4	202:16	•	236:1,6	23:18 42:23
method 36:22	202.16	modifying 15:11 210:4	238:17 239:9	43:12 46:6,6
47:7 91:24	212:16 223:13	Molina 10:3,4,8	243:25 244:7	50:14 54:2,4
106:11 150:14				56:4 65:3
	226:7 260:19	10:9,17 24:13 30:25 134:12	244:14,18	73:14 99:19
methodology	261:4,9		245:1,4	100:20 102:17
113:9	mirror 116:10	134:13 136:13	mutual 178:1	110:2 131:8
methods 153:1	misdemeanor	260:4,5,10	245:16 246:8	135:5 147:6
Metropolitan	178:11 181:16	moment 113:17	mutually 234:12	149:19 154:1,5
2:17 3:11 11:7	182:23	119:1 126:22	234:14	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 90 of 110 PageID #: 4045

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

154:5 160:22	non-criminal	118:4 124:1	78:3 79:7	occurred 14:21
192:13,20	94:18,24	125:25 131:17	120:12 122:12	38:4 39:16,18
195:17 211:8,16	95:19,22	135:7,11 136:3	173:9 177:13	50:24 53:4
211:17 212:1	99:25 100:11	140:14 160:25	178:20 185:22	56:22 61:8
218:10 226:8	101:21 103:14	166:22 197:5	190:5 191:22	66:192:2
238:13 241:3	104:23 105:8	227:8 228:19	193:20 196:13	120:21 127:12
248:8 249:11	185:10	228:22,23,24	199:9 201:18	163:22 166:4
249:12,16	non-deadly	229:4 230:2	213:19 245:10	186:3
260:1	80:12	230:15,16,17	257:16	occurring
needed 40:20	north 6:4 51:18	230:17,18,21	objection 76:22	120:17 135:8
41:18 115:22	132:14	230:21,24,24	114:3 174:2	190:23 193:9
179:20 231:3	notarized	230:25 231:3	177:20 179:20	193:15,25
needs 30:2	263:16	231:5,11,15,18	181:7 182:4	222:12
70:14 196:19	notary 4:21 7:4	231:23,25	184:4 192:5,8	October 37:6,19
248:11	262:4,20	232:16,25	192:8 197:1	44:10 53:5
neither 255:4	263:14	233:8,9,19	214:5,8,15	155:4 168:18
262:10	265:23	236:3,4 242:9	216:25 246:11	odd 91:3,5
net 124:17	note 58:20	242:18	objections 79:11	offense 170:21
125:13	192:7	243:24	192:3	187:18 188:1,4
network 41:13	noted 51:15	244:24	objective 97:4	office 2:18 4:16
230:19	53:2 64:4	254:21 255:11	110:22,25	5:14 15:21 91:2
never 48:10	notice 2:14 10:7	numbered	220:24	155:25 159:20
143:5 182:20	20:25 21:8,8	62:18 63:11	obvious 165:20	263:5,17
207:25	23:3,3,19,20	numbers 13:4	obviously 18:19	officer 3:13 11:11
253:19 254:9	66:19 107:5	39:13,17 83:16	21:3 26:18	11:12 32:16
nevertheless	203:7 237:9	83:20 135:14	40:18 45:24	50:17 59:11
151:18	239:18,21	227:10,11	49:13 59:14	60:18 68:18
new 8:21 15:12	noticed 85:21	231:19 232:7	60:13 73:14	68:20,21,24
109:10 131:11	notification	233:2	201:9 226:15	70:1,13 71:4,12
145:14 206:1	106:10	numeral 83:25	227:6 230:23	71:13,18,21
247:11 251:12	notified 45:5	numerals	241:16	73:9,10 74:4
nice 119:15 131:6	70:17	115:25	OC 98:3 112:2	74:18,20 75:4
Nicole 155:6	notify 181:18	numerous	120:1 121:18,21	75:7 79:22
night 124:24	notifying 45:1	243:16	122:1,7,23	80:3,23 82:21
126:23 151:12	novel 192:5	nutshell 153:2	123:11,14,19,25	83:2,3 90:2
185:5 190:18	November 18:9	202:3	124:5 125:22	113:17 117:5
193:16	18:17 20:13		127:24 128:3	129:15,18,25
nomenclature	21:16 24:7	<u> </u>	128:13 129:20	130:8 137:3,8
257:14	37:6	o'clock 4:14,15	130:1,14,22	137:13 144:17
non-arrest	number 21:22	36:13	131:23 132:4	148:14 187:2
133:12	27:4,6 38:6,9	O'Toole 2:12	259:11	187:10 189:21
non-attorney	38:24 39:2,3	18:9	occasions	194:21 195:11
26:3	39:8 48:15	oath 9:7 150:21	190:12	195:14 196:9
non-complian	49:14 50:10	obey 189:20	Occupy 229:21	196:22 197:15
72:17	58:12 83:14	object 20:3	occur 27:19	198:9,12,17
non-compliant	84:2 97:1	48:12 65:3	39:10 64:6	199:22 200:2
71:19 77:8	101:4 108:10	72:14 75:11	74:12,13 183:6	200:2,8 215:2
		l ————————————————————————————————————	<u>I</u>	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 91 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

215:12 216:4,8	officers' 113:7	126:9,13,25	229:7 233:3	operational 3:7
216:9,17 218:5	200:18	128:21 130:13	233:18	163:12 206:10
230:20,22	official 39:1	130:19 131:5,14	236:20	237:7,18,21
248:3,10	195:2,18 196:2	132:16 133:24	237:15 238:10	238:3,5
officer's 117:9	officials 93:21	134:2,16,20	238:20 239:3	operations 2:15
officers 14:11	94:4	136:9 137:2,10	239:11,23	3:15 14:7 27:21
19:16,25 20:8	oh 33:11 48:10	137:21 138:20	240:15 241:10	27:22 28:20
20:16 27:23	97:13 130:9	139:22 140:21	241:14,19,21	33:12 63:4,4
33:16 34:15	146:16 168:9	141:5 142:11	242:1,18	63:23 64:22
34:20,25	168:12 246:17	143:7,15,24	244:17	139:6 205:10
40:19 61:15	249:8	144:5,23	246:22	205:14 206:11
69:4 72:5	okay 8:4 9:3	145:2 146:6,21	247:21 248:15	207:6 219:12
73:23 76:15	11:14 13:9 18:16	150:1 151:6,18	252:22 253:4	237:1,3 246:4
81:8,13 84:14	19:1,10 20:8	151:20 155:19	253:16 254:14	operative
85:10 88:4	22:3,10 23:2	155:19 156:2	254:23 255:9	209:10
94:15,22	23:11 24:20	156:18 157:25	255:15 257:4	opinion 120:14
96:20 99:23	26:12 27:5	158:16 159:1	257:15,23	173:13 178:2
100:10 104:21	28:21 29:2,14	160:7 162:18	259:1	179:3,24
105:6,15,25	30:6,24 31:21	163:19 164:2	Olive 5:5 41:7	198:6
106:23 107:3	40:2,5,7,8 41:1	165:4,15,22	Omri 5:8 7:18	opinions 76:2
107:19 108:8,11	41:5 47:15	166:2 167:4	once 8:9 78:3	157:11 178:22
109:15,20	52:20 57:10	168:14,25	79:23 80:14	opportunities
112:24 114:9	59:9,20 62:5	170:1 172:10,13	115:20 178:20	186:4
114:22 118:17	62:22 63:17	172:21 176:13	212:8 230:9	opportunity
120:25 125:3	65:9 66:24	177:10 178:6	one's 220:12	18:16 78:14,16
126:25 127:15	67:3,25 68:6	180:7,23	one-on-one	95:10 100:4
128:11 135:10	68:22 69:2	186:7 187:17	77:17	105:2 120:20
135:17,25	73:4,20 74:14	188:18 189:1,8	ones 82:3	186:5 204:22
138:3 139:25	75:2,23 81:3	192:9,25	237:4,5,5	opposed 248:3
140:10,19,24	83:19 84:2,7	194:4,20	261:2	opraiss@aclu
141:8 142:5,14	85:5,17 86:2	196:5 197:5	ongoing 16:8	5:10
142:24 143:11	87:7,11 88:8	198:11,16	25:19 52:8	OPs 40:6,25
144:1,9,13,24	91:12 92:15	200:6,24	56:24 61:20	62:5,20,23
145:2,5 146:14	93:2,16 95:3	201:24 202:2	65:24 145:9	63:3,7 64:4,5
147:23 148:10	97:17 99:17	202:8,24	145:14 148:12	64:6,14 65:10
149:19 151:24	100:15 102:2	203:14,21	148:15 156:14	66:3,5,17
158:19 167:22	102:12,17,21	204:8,23	156:19,24,25	205:15,19
179:5,13 181:19	103:2,10,16	206:20	157:14 158:6	254:15
183:25 186:14	104:17 105:5	207:15,23	254:2	Option 127:17
190:20 197:17	105:20 106:5	210:18 212:4	open 159:8	order 3:14 11:5
198:5 199:2	106:14,22	214:25 217:3	open-ended	16:25 17:5,5
199:25 201:2	108:13 109:4	217:18 219:12	201:13	18:13,18,23
201:13 215:20	110:6,21 112:12	219:18 220:7	operates 45:14	19:22 27:21
216:23 218:14	114:16 115:6	220:18 224:7	65:20	27:22 28:20
219:7 223:2	116:23 117:5,12	224:24 226:6	operation 37:12	29:12 33:12
238:16 256:5	118:3 119:23	226:25 227:8	62:24 65:21	40:25 49:21
256:14 257:11	121:25 125:5	228:4,8,19	249:15	53:8 54:9,20
	· '		•	•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 92 of 110 PageID #: 4047 ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	_	_	_	
60:16 62:24	213:18 214:4,11	outlined 106:19	90:20,22 91:7	211:5 255:23
63:4,5,23	215:6,9,13,19	238:18	93:16,23 94:6	256:11
64:23 67:8,18	216:5,11,18	outlines 108:23	94:12 132:17	park 14:9 211:10
67:22,24	217:5,9,21	outlining 152:3	136:12 163:7	part 7:10 18:25
70:15 73:8,17	218:2,6,11,16	output 235:6	169:17 170:15	27:22 48:4
74:5,16 76:9	218:25 219:16	247:20 258:3	171:9 172:22	51:16 59:24
76:17,23	222:8 235:20	258:6	173:3,4,16	60:17,17 61:9
80:25 84:3	238:16 241:1	outside 15:17	175:18,20	61:11 64:19,21
92:19,25	241:13,24	24:25 46:20	176:13 187:17	65:2 67:23
93:15 96:19	242:7,14	48:12 65:4	187:25 189:1,9	80:13 81:17
97:6 101:16	243:2,13	114:18 129:22	194:5,20	94:15 97:11
106:19,20,24	245:23 251:15	191:23 192:10	228:14 232:8	101:13 107:9,18
107:13,14,16,17	orders 2:18 13:3	outstanding	232:9,13,14	116:8 132:8
107:22,24	14:18 15:4	10:16	249:19	144:25 149:11
108:2,3,3,5,18	48:5 56:18	overall 33:17	254:24	150:6 159:18
108:23 109:5	60:8 67:7	48:5 49:17	255:10,18	178:6 190:7
109:9,14,19,25	81:11 95:14	61:11	263:11,14,17	191:18
110:3,12,15,18	113:24 114:18	overhear 181:21	264:5,9,13,17	participate
110:22 111:1,16	152:20 203:8	overheard	264:21	32:25 65:1
111:25 112:7,21	204:7,13	181:18	pages 16:2	particular 43:9
113:1 114:12	205:20 207:7	overhearing	62:17,19 63:6	43:17 45:11
115:18 116:13	207:13 208:21	181:2	63:10 65:10	47:11 55:11,12
117:2,10 121:14	215:16 216:2	overwrite 45:23	85:25 90:19	57:16 61:25
122:11 124:4,8	218:24 219:8	owing 10:4	91:3,5 92:4,8	63:24 64:8
125:24 130:24	223:3 239:6	owned 42:6,9	169:15	64:22 68:7
131:20 136:17	241:20 249:6	43:10	painful 80:10	73:24 104:6
136:23 137:12	255:6	owns 41:25	paper 201:14	123:9 163:23
137:14 138:11	ordinance 172:2	45:22	paragraph	165:1 168:3
138:12 139:3	176:14,17 177:6		93:17 101:17	190:22,23
142:21 154:8	177:11,18 178:6	P	105:13 108:13	193:25
166:7 172:23	179:6,10 180:9	P 230:14,16,17	224:7	207:25
184:14 185:7,8	181:11,12	230:17,21,21	paragraphs	224:18 226:17
187:12,13 190:1	ordinances	230:24 231:3	255:17	229:16 230:10
190:13 193:1,1	197:18,19	231:5,10,15,18	parameter	237:18 240:6
193:7 195:1,17	ordinary 194:13	231:19,25	230:5	252:2 253:1
195:22,23	original 21:4	232:7,16,25	parameters	258:2 259:4
196:7,12,18	213:14 227:3	233:1,7,9,19	226:2 230:7	particularly
203:19 204:4	244:24	p.m 118:10	230:12	133:15
204:6,6,14,20	263:10	119:12 261:16	paraphrase	parties 262:12
205:3,6,10	originally 10:2	P1709150933	93:17	262:15
207:2 208:8	outcome	232:20	paraphrased	partnerships
208:12,21	262:16	PA 30:20 239:6	209:17,23	41:14
209:3,12,15	outline 141:1,5	package 60:1	paraphrases	PAS 105:21,22
210:1,11,12,19	144:15 145:4	page 2:3,11 3:2	210:10	105:25 106:5
210:24 211:7,7	220:1,8 222:8	21:25 23:4	parcel 60:17	106:22 107:4
211:12,24	222:10,22	85:18,21 89:4	parent 25:15,15	107:5 114:21
212:5,16,22	248:23	90:15,15,17,19	parenthetical	150:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l ·	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 93 of 110 PageID #: 4048

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

pass 107:16	184:8,11 185:5	performed 11:17	125:20 170:23	20:20 62:14
108:6	185:16,19	172:14 246:8	171:1 175:2	83:10 86:4
passive 74:9,11	186:6,7,11,23	period 14:15	176:20 188:5	93:5 101:1
77:2 84:9,15	191:4 192:1,3	15:8 22:2	188:8 249:13	117:19 128:24
84:18 85:8	193:16 201:4	31:18 38:3	perspective	154:16 162:24
passively 72:17	209:20 211:6	39:11 44:13	31:2 116:3	170:2 203:2
72:19,25 73:7	212:1 213:2,8	45:11,23 68:14	166:3 211:4	206:4 219:20
74:2 77:10	213:10,13	69:18,20	pertain 249:6	223:14
81:5 82:19,23	214:13 216:19	147:13,25	pertinent 46:12	plan 2:15 3:15
84:19	217:22 257:13	148:7,8	46:15	3:18 10:2
patience 247:5	259:15,20	209:10 214:13	phone 44:25	21:23 40:6
patrol 11:11	pepper 68:15,17	240:23	66:12	61:19 62:5,20
29:25 68:18	68:24 69:3,16	243:22	photographing	62:23 63:7,23
132:13,14,15,15	69:24 70:14	perjury 9:9	32:16	64:4,5,7,14
245:17,21	71:13,22 72:1	265:12	photos 36:1	65:10 66:3,5
pattern 184:9	73:10 76:16,19	permits 202:21	phrase 38:21	66:17 141:20
pausing 69:5	77:25 79:4	perpetration	77:7 89:15	178:1 205:14
peaceful 72:9	80:24 83:4,24	178:10 181:5,15	177:10 178:14	205:15,20
101:21 103:14	84:4,5 85:2,2	perplexed 48:6	194:9 228:9	206:11 207:6
104:23 105:8	85:11 89:19,19	75:10 126:8	239:19 255:21	219:12 237:2
184:21	89:23 91:19	Perry 16:25	phrases 230:7	237:3,18
peacefully 73:6	96:21 97:24	person 59:10	physically 71:2	238:3 246:5
pedigree 135:5	98:3,10,15,21	70:12 71:1,14	picture 2:24 3:3	254:15
pen 240:4	99:7,11,23	71:15,22 74:1,5	118:1,13,16	planned 246:2
penalty 9:9	100:10 104:8	76:24 79:1	119:4,15	planning 3:7
265:12	104:13,22	96:2 130:2	120:22 128:22	12:7,18 13:1,2
pending 46:5	105:7 112:16	150:13 170:17	129:4,14	14:16 15:10,17
100:23 156:14	112:20,25	170:20 171:5	piece 201:14	15:18,21 16:13
205:25	113:3 114:24	171:18 172:13	piecemeal	16:21 17:15
people 24:21	116:25 121:23	172:25 175:4	36:18	65:21 163:13
34:20 37:16	123:15 125:23	175:10,11,24	pieces 145:23	182:3 237:7,21
45:8 64:7,7	127:18 130:21	176:17 178:8	198:3	238:5
66:12 73:23	136:24 137:13	178:15 180:2	place 10:12	platform 42:17
84:20 95:16	138:17 187:4	181:13 188:4	65:19 119:8,11	42:20,21
120:2 121:5	196:10 234:16	189:14,19	126:4 143:2,3	platforms 42:18
124:1 126:12	257:1,20	194:13 196:19	144:24 145:13	42:19
126:15,15	258:8,10	208:20 210:1	168:19 182:22	plausible 113:25
127:16 128:4	percent 40:15	210:8 212:22	184:2 192:19	114:7
130:15 145:10	201:16 250:17	213:24 214:1	208:18 226:17	play 73:13
152:1 157:15	percentage	215:19	226:23	253:25
159:13 173:23	26:14	personal	240:23,24	played 163:24
174:6,8,19,25	Perfect 58:20	150:20	places 234:6,8	please 8:17,24
176:9,10 180:3	101:17	personnel	plaintiffs 1:6,18	48:16 68:7
180:7,17 181:10	perform 15:21	65:16 66:8,9	2:4 4:6 5:3	72:11 79:3,15
181:11 182:12	performance	66:10 166:22	7:2,10 23:13	89:4 102:3
182:19 183:4	164:6 166:8	persons 72:18	207:22	110:2 111:10
183:13,19,21	167:25	124:10 125:2	Plaintiffs' 17:24	171:17 194:5
	•	•	•	•

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 94 of 110 PageID #: 4049

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21					
240:23 255:9	205:18 239:3	75:3 7 80:23	259:13	184:3 6 8	197·20 199·24
256:8 263:9 263:12,16 288:4 90:2 28:16 47:16 211:11 211:12 216:16,19 21		·		1 1	
263:12,16		•	•	,	· ·
plugged 65:23 94:15,22 49:20 59:21 73:1 74:6 76:7 31:4 138:2 142:13 125:5 34:11 103:7 104:21 109:20 138:1 78:21,21 153:5,12,18 151:23 152:14 153:5,12,18 153:5,12,18 153:5 157:9 17:109:15 109:20 138:1 78:21,21 153:5,12,18 153:5,12,19 143:11 144:1,9 153:5,12,19 143:11 144:1,9 153:5,12,19 143:11 144:1,9 153:5,12,19 143:11 144:1,9 153:5,12,14 153:5,12,19 153:5,12,18 153:5,12,19 153:5,12,18 153:5,12,19 153:5,12,18 153:5,12,19 153:2,12,19 153:19					· ·
Plural 136:5,11	i i				, ·
point 11:1 20:6 100:9 102:15 38:17 109:15 109:20 138:1 132:5 34:11 103:7 104:21 109:20 138:1 78:21,21 153:5,12,18 156:11 157:2 156:11 157:2 158:7,12,20 158:7,12,20 158:23 195:14 153:4 156:11 157:2 158:7,12,20 158:23 195:14 153:4 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 153:4 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,12 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,13 157:2 158:7,13 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,13 158:23 195:14 157:2 158:7,13 158:23 195:14 160:5 214:1 197:7,13 160:5 214:1 160:	1	•		l •	
32:5 34:11					
38:15,16,17	·			•	
48:23 50:18 107:3 109:15 152:14 153:4 78:20 158:7,12,20 51:2 53:5 109:20 112:24 153:18 156:11 158:23 195:14 60:11 74:1 113:17 114:9,22 157:2 158:7,12 15:14,16 27:16 197:7,13 79:24 80:2 118:14,18 119:2 158:19,23 87:1 114:7 200:3 202:4 85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 20ssibly 159:25 202:16 215:8 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 160:5 214:1 Praiss 2:6,8 5:8 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 10:23 11:3 18:1 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13				· ·	
51:2 53:5 109:20 112:24 153:18 156:11 possible 10:18 158:23 195:14 60:11 74:1 113:17 114:9,22 155:2 158:7,12 15:14,16 27:16 197:7,13 79:24 80:2 118:14,18 119:2 158:19,23 87:1 114:7 200:3 202:4 85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 202:16 215:8 202:16 215:8 85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 202:16 215:8 202:16 215:8 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 160:5 214:1 Praiss 2:6,8 5:8 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 10:23 11:3 18:1 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 251:14 253:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 20:15,10(12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 246:3 23:22 245:22 77:20 78:5 <				, ·	
60:11 74:1					
79:24 80:2 118:14,18 119:2 158:19,23 87:1 114:7 200:3 202:4 83:22 84:8,16 120:25 126:25 197:7,13 261:6 202:16 215:8 85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 possibly 159:25 217:4 218:15 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 224:22 225:7 7:15,18 10:11 190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 post 63:8 142:2 10:23 11:3 18: 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:11 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 20inting 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 20ints 123:9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>				•	
83:22 84:8,16 120:25 126:25 197:7,13 261:6 202:16 215:8 85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 possibly 159:25 217:4 218:15 120:18 174:7 129:15 133:14 202:16 215:8 160:5 214:1 Praiss 2:6,8 5:8 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 224:22 225:7 7:15,18 10:11 190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 246:4 149:21 10:23 11:3 18: 201:8 221:15 140:19 141:7 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 20inting 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 23:2:2 245:22 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 149:18 15:24 49:17 50:18 23:2:2 245:22 79:10,15,17 2:0 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:7 17:14 19:		•	•	· ·	· ·
85:20 120:16 127:11,15 200:3 202:4 possibly 159:25 217:4 218:15 120:18 174:7 129:15 133:14 202:16 215:8 160:5 214:1 Praiss 2:6,8 5:8 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 224:22 225:7 7:15,18 10:11 190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 post 63:8 142:2 10:23 11:3 18: 201:8 221:15 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:11 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 15:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police			•		
120:18 174:7 129:15 133:14 202:16 215:8 160:5 214:1 Praiss 2:6,8 5:8 183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 224:22 225:7 7:15,18 10:11 190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 post 63:8 142:2 10:23 11:3 18:1 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:11 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 15:1			•		
183:5,25 137:3 138:3 217:4 218:15 224:22 225:7 7:15,18 10:11 190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 post 63:8 142:2 10:23 11:3 18:1 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:11 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 70tentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 15:19 16:1		•			
190:16,21 139:25 140:10 policy 13:3 14:18 post 63:8 142:2 10:23 11:3 18:18:18:19:140:19 141:7 195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:17:19 48:14:18 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14:19 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5					· ·
195:13 198:5 140:19 141:7 14:25 15:22 146:4 149:21 20:5,22 23:17 201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 19:21,24,25 <td>l i</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>, and the second second</td>	l i				, and the second
201:8 221:15 142:5,14,24 15:23,24,25 159:15 23:17,19 48:14 246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 70tentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 28:23 29:4,9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	•	
246:10 251:19 143:11 144:1,9 16:3,4 17:7 potential 40:10 55:24 56:25 252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 70werPoint 122:22 129:1 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· ·</td>					· ·
252:14 253:12 144:13,16,17 28:21,24 29:2 42:18 46:1 57:11,13 62:12 254:5 260:12 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 80:5 171:14 62:16 65:7,9 pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 147:15,21					· ·
254:5 260:12 pointing 130:4 130:5,10,12 points 123:9 police 2:17,18 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 15:19 16:14,20 17:14 19:16,18 19:21,24,25 20:8,15,16 27:13 28:1,17 28:23 29:4,9 32:14,24 145:11 146:13 29:7 31:6 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 72:20 78:5 72:24 75:14 77:20 78:5		•			
pointing 130:4 147:23 148:10 47:20,22 48:3 224:23 226:3 72:24 75:14 130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21					· ·
130:5,10,12 148:13,17 48:3,9,23 232:2 245:22 77:20 78:5 points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21					•
points 123:9 149:18 151:24 49:17 50:18 246:3 79:10,15,17 police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	_		· ·		
police 2:17,18 153:5 157:9 72:4 75:3 potentially 21:5 83:8,12 85:24 2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21		•	, ,		
2:20 3:11,13 157:20,22 77:15,24 91:9 35:6 40:4 86:6 91:1,7 11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	· ·				i i
11:7,11 12:25 158:19 164:7 91:13 93:20 80:9 172:17 93:7 100:17 15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21			77:15,24 91:9		· ·
15:19 16:14,20 166:8 167:22 94:2 105:15 184:16 210:4 101:3 114:4,5 17:14 19:16,18 167:25 179:5 105:20 106:15 214:20 248:13 115:12 116:2 19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21			· ·		•
19:21,24,25 179:13 183:25 106:16,18,19 259:9 117:15,21 121:1 20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21		166:8 167:22	94:2 105:15	184:16 210:4	
20:8,15,16 184:14 189:20 108:23 122:5 PowerPoint 122:22 129:1 27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	17:14 19:16,18	167:25 179:5	105:20 106:15	214:20 248:13	115:12 116:2
27:13 28:1,17 190:20 195:11 133:24 142:23 61:19 89:5 131:2,5 139:12 28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	19:21,24,25	179:13 183:25	106:16,18,19	259:9	117:15,21 121:1
28:23 29:4,9 196:22 198:5 152:2,24 160:8,11,13 141:22,25 32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	20:8,15,16	184:14 189:20	108:23 122:5	PowerPoint	122:22 129:1
32:14,24 199:2 200:7 155:24 168:17 171:10 173:17 147:15,21	27:13 28:1,17	190:20 195:11	133:24 142:23	61:19 89:5	131:2,5 139:12
	28:23 29:4,9	196:22 198:5	152:2,24	160:8,11,13	141:22,25
34.20.25.37.0 200.48.204.43 204.43 202.42.260.47 202.42.260.47	32:14,24	199:2 200:7	155:24 168:17	171:10 173:17	147:15,21
34.20,23 37.3 200.10 201.13 171.23 170.4 202.12 200.17 134.10 100.21	34:20,25 37:9	200:18 201:13	171:25 176:4	202:12 260:17	154:18 160:21
	38:25 40:18	218:5,14 219:7		PowerPoints	162:12,14 163:1
41:7,14 42:1 223:2 224:9 197:21 211:4 219:10 169:14,17	41:7,14 42:1	•	197:21 211:4	219:10	•
43:25 47:24 224:10 228:4 215:10 PPC 240:10,20 170:4 173:12	43:25 47:24	224:10 228:4	215:10	PPC 240:10,20	170:4 173:12
49:19 57:20 231:2 233:2,11 pool 63:22 243:3 245:2 174:11 177:15	49:19 57:20	231:2 233:2,11	pool 63:22	243:3 245:2	174:11 177:15
	57:22 59:17	239:25 240:8	•	practical 116:3	177:23 178:25
	60:5,18,22	240:10,13,21	• •	•	179:21 181:20
65:18 68:24 240:25 241:12 position 13:6 93:21 94:2 182:5 184:7			•	•	182:5 184:7
1 ' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	69:4 71:4,18	243:4,8,12,15	•	134:21 194:21	185:25 190:11
71:21 72:5 243:24 245:5 130:2 174:13 195:20 196:6 192:2,9,14	71:21 72:5		130:2 174:13	195:20 196:6	192:2,9,14
73:8,10 74:6 256:5,14 182:17 183:18 196:17,24 194:4 196:16	73:8,10 74:6	256:5,14	182:17 183:18	196:17,24	194:4 196:16
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 95 of 110 PageID #: 4050

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

197:2 199:11,14	136:5,8,11	126:22 127:10	239:19	260:1
201:24	163:16 165:5	161:14 190:19	242:20	produced 4:13
202:24 203:4	206:15	presenting	250:15	7:9 23:12,16
205:24 206:6	209:19 218:23	127:5	prisoner 35:24	47:3 51:6,13
207:24	222:4,9	presently	35:24	52:22 203:15
213:22 214:6	230:25 231:1	109:19 214:24	private 41:14	207:21
214:9,17 217:3	235:21,23	237:4	42:2,7 45:15	Production
219:18,22	236:25 237:6	preserve 40:19	privileged 20:4	23:3
222:14,17	237:8 243:18	pretty 56:5	probabilities	Professional
223:16 233:21	preparing 13:4	166:3 198:16	78:22	4:19 262:4
238:8 239:14	23:8 24:4	199:17 215:2	probable 197:19	professor 168:8
240:16 242:21	25:24 26:4,13	226:19	198:9 200:12	program 141:1
242:25	55:10 68:2	prevalent 232:1	204:18,19	144:19
245:12 246:15	85:5 86:21	prevent 178:9	probably 8:11,18	programs
247:4,10	93:12 110:8	181:5,14	21:16 26:10,16	148:21
251:11 259:22	147:6 205:10	previous 62:3	31:12 36:3	prohibit 60:4
260:11 261:11	220:19 221:17	previously	43:16 50:12	prohibited 94:7
pre-Stockley	229:19	52:17 117:17	65:13 117:15	94:22
86:19	prerequisite	140:4 155:2	197:16 201:16	prohibiting
precluded	245:24 246:1	primarily 14:1,7	206:18 242:11	200:1
94:15	presence 215:3	32:13 35:16	probe 124:18	promoted 11:18
predicate	present 25:3,6	58:9 67:22	problem 43:11	11:22,25 12:8
192:13 193:3	34:1,4,5,9	68:18 132:6	55:25 180:12	13:10
preface 199:10	55:20 69:14	133:14 230:5	195:8 214:7	prompted 18:5
prefer 118:24	99:22 100:9	248:14 260:5	254:10,12	properly 251:23
preferable	124:10 149:18	principle 124:14	problems	property 35:14
130:20	171:19 175:24	246:7	214:20	35:18,24 37:2
preliminary	178:8 180:2	print 260:20	procedure	37:5,8,12 38:7
18:12 19:4	181:13 189:15	261:2	152:25	38:8,11,12
20:17 101:10	193:4 200:13	printed 18:21	249:22 255:1	49:16,23 58:11
153:17 156:12	209:10 213:1	170:6 261:3	procedures	60:1 124:11
191:10	217:22 218:8	prior 18:23	216:24	125:2,9,20
preparation	218:10 222:19	22:15 24:17	process 35:11	180:22
21:11 32:20,21	231:16 253:22	32:17 54:18	36:25 44:17	190:20 191:17
55:2 86:24	254:3	65:12,14,25	51:17 52:8	proposed
92:16 157:7	presentation	69:24 77:23	58:15 59:24	246:2
159:2 206:18	87:23 88:2,3	87:6,13 89:11	65:24 72:8	prosecution
235:6	161:2 169:18 171:10 172:22	89:21 90:6 145:2,5 146:11	80:22 148:15 152:23 154:12	61:1
prepare 24:23 27:1 51:10	171:10 172:22	145:2,5 146:11	156:19 157:15	prosperity 183:1 protest 2:20
56:2 59:12	202:12	161:22 187:1	186:13 203:12	14:23 15:13
67:4,19 139:1	202.12	190:9 194:25	247:6 254:1	16:6 17:3
204:2 218:21	presentations	195:16,20	processes	22:12 27:18
204.2 216.21	162:4 167:21	200:13 205:3	154:13	27:20 28:18
prepared 26:21	presented	205:21 206:18	processing	28:23 31:17
32:25 88:11	86:17,18 87:12	207:1,11	128:14	31:25 32:3,15
134:15,18,20	125:1,19	209:15 216:2	produce 39:24	45:25 50:9
10 1.10,10,20	120.1,10	200.10 210.2	p. 00000 00.2 T	10.20 00.0

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 96 of 110 PageID #: 4051

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

50:20 60:23	15:2 16:6,22	144:1,12,15	95:21 99:24	71:7 72:14
65:2 86:10	17:19 22:15	158:18,22	100:12 104:22	75:12 76:5,11
87:14 88:9,24	27:8,14 29:5	164:15 167:1,21	133:8,10 231:6	78:4 79:8,18
91:14 122:2,24	29:10,16 30:5	173:17 176:7,8	purposes 39:25	85:5 88:22
133:4 144:10	33:21 35:7	199:2 200:7	86:7 105:8	97:18 99:16
153:20 156:12	36:9 37:22	218:14 220:1	106:6 154:19	100:22 114:7
158:13 159:2,8	39:7,15 40:24	222:5 225:16	163:2 223:18	120:13 122:5
159:10 161:3,9	41:3 45:12	228:1 251:20	234:11,13	122:13 123:23
161:13 162:8	46:10,17,24	251:21 260:8	235:12	125:12 147:21
163:17 165:7	46:25 47:18	provides 177:24	pursuant 101:22	154:14 156:8
165:13 167:20	47:20 48:18	220:9 221:22	109:5 200:8	158:3 165:20
168:23 173:21	48:25 49:9	226:10	202:7	167:18 173:10
174:15 206:16	50:6 52:14			175:14 177:14
	57:24 63:19	providing 19:3	put 10:1,21 17:9	
207:7 216:10		41:21 100:1,3	21:20 27:20	177:16 178:21
226:4,17,20	64:20 73:5,16	104:25 105:1	34:25 35:17	179:1 180:14,15
226:22 229:3	114:23 132:5	148:25 256:3	38:8 50:25	183:17 185:23
229:16 230:8	134:11,12,13,13	provision	53:8 58:11	186:1 190:6
231:2,22,22	136:6,12 157:3	109:10 115:15	59:3 63:2,21	191:22 192:10
231:22 232:6	159:6 187:2	124:17 169:24	70:12,18,22	192:11,13,14,20
232:25 236:2	188:24 215:21	170:18 171:13	72:21 74:3	192:25 193:21
236:6 237:19	216:3 217:6	178:19 179:16	82:3,21,22	196:14 199:1,6
238:18 240:6	218:23 219:14	182:7 186:11	101:22 102:16	199:10,15
240:23 241:2	225:24	187:22 188:19	164:3 165:2	200:6 201:21
241:10,12	226:10 236:18	188:24 189:7	207:19 212:11	205:25
242:7,22	236:23,25	200:19	230:7 231:3	206:24 210:7
244:1 245:5	239:19 240:12	202:22 217:13	250:5 260:6	211:19,23
246:10 252:9	240:24 243:4	provisions	puts 82:21	213:20,23
protester 28:1	243:23 245:9	114:24 124:8	putting 30:22	215:24 222:19
113:18 195:1,2	protocol 249:1	138:16 161:9	229:20	229:18
195:3 196:1	256:13	206:25	260:11	234:23
protesters 61:15	protocols	public 4:21 7:4	puzzled 242:10	236:13 241:21
98:11 104:9	248:20,24	14:9 27:13		243:5 245:13
119:3 126:16	255:13,17	28:18,23 29:5	Q	246:25 249:4
142:15,25	256:2,23	29:10,16 34:2	qualified 145:11	258:21 260:12
143:13 144:3	provide 9:4	45:15 243:8	qualify 236:23	260:18
152:17 153:6	56:18 78:7	243:14 245:5	quality 164:5	questioning
183:14,15	139:7 196:7	262:4,20	166:7,14	239:16 257:5
213:13 214:18	198:12 209:18	263:14	167:24	257:23
222:1 227:9	216:8 227:22	265:23	question 8:21	questions 7:15
233:11 240:7	provided 25:25	punishing	8:23 9:1 13:23	8:15,18 12:14
240:12	70:1 81:8	94:25 100:12	17:12 20:3,10	18:7 54:6.8
protesting	87:22 88:4	105:9	23:11 28:14	57:15 102:20
73:23 239:25	106:9 112:23	purged 51:2	31:2 37:4,14	103:1 104:2,17
240:10,13,20	114:9 138:8,10	purports 88:16	37:23 49:25	107:11,25 108:1
243:8,14	139:5,25	purpose 32:12	50:4 51:19	108:5,9,11
245:5	140:15,23	32:13 35:3	55:7 56:15,23	117:16 118:19
protests 14:22	142:4 143:10	94:17,24	64:24 66:14	131:11 139:16
protests 14.22	172,7 173,10	J7.17,27		131.11 133.10

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 97 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

143:17,20	175:11,15	116:16,21,22	29:4,8,15	253:20
145:20 147:15	reached 79:24	153:23 159:11	30:9 31:6	recordings 37:2
156:7 163:23	80:14 174:15	192:9 196:17	32:2 35:4	37:21 42:25
184:24 204:17	181:22 182:13	211:3 212:10	38:5 41:3,12	47:17 48:17,24
243:18 247:6	183:22	214:9,16	41:18 45:2	253:2
247:11,14	read 51:4 86:23	216:14 233:4	51:4,19 57:12	recordkeeping
251:11,12,16	99:18 101:18	253:4 264:7	57:14 59:25	58:3,15
254:15,20	108:15 118:9	264:11,15,19	61:14 62:11,13	records 35:8,9
259:23	137:25 138:22	264:23	62:22 81:4	36:18 45:19
260:21 261:12	164:3,12 191:14	reasonable	85:17 93:3,4	46:9,16 47:9
quibble 74:7	197:6 202:1	183:12 194:6	100:25 101:19	50:7,19 54:15
quick 8:14	210:6 248:18	194:10	131:4,6 133:2	133:10 134:5
141:23 142:9	249:19 261:14	reasonablene	133:13 138:1	148:15 224:25
242:13	261:17 263:12	194:12	139:10,11	224:25 225:2
quicker 239:16	264:6,10,14,18	recall 21:7,9	141:22,24	225:22 226:1
quickly 18:6	264:22 265:6	26:6 68:21,22	155:3,4,23	227:13 228:2
41:9 237:1	readily 39:24	68:25 69:1,9	157:18 164:4	228:6,8
quite 37:17 76:3	reading 26:20	69:11,14 85:16	179:22 197:6	230:11
92:8 114:4,6	ready 66:4	86:16,25 87:3	203:1,4	recover 51:21
142:19 154:7	102:20,21	87:22 88:7	205:22,23	52:6
213:11 232:16	110:4,5	116:7 140:15	206:9 207:20	recoverable
quote 38:25	real 18:6 28:3	159:17,22	222:14,16	254:3
49:17 80:6	35:21 36:21	160:3,10,18	226:2 233:20	recovered 30:3
166:7 230:17	36:23 41:2,16	161:19 162:16	235:24	red 240:4
245:18	41:17,21 43:21	168:2 183:15	237:21 238:7	reduced 262:9
quotes 208:23	43:22 45:8,10	220:3 236:12	238:14 239:4	refer 8:3 92:4
R	45:20 46:9,19	257:7 259:1,4	239:12 240:14	170:9,13
	47:8 48:2	received 18:20	242:1 247:3	187:22 209:21
raised 250:8 raises 145:19	49:7 50:8	37:20 225:7	247:12,16	228:20
Randy 221:14	53:21 54:14	238:6	250:5 251:8	243:20
222:22	58:5 141:22	recess 250:6	252:8 254:9	249:18 257:11
range 97:14	142:9 242:13	recognize 53:6	259:24 260:6	reference 22:5
128:4 248:8	252:20	83:21 118:13,18	260:12	96:12 111:18
258:13,19	realize 201:15	119:6,19	recorded 27:7	131:22 132:11
259:7	realized 253:12 254:11	recognizes 77:22	35:13,20,22	137:5 235:18
ranged 167:1	really 44:12		36:19 38:2,5 39:5 49:15	236:14 254:10 257:5
rangers 14:10	47:9 69:15	recognizing 114:5 116:16	52:21 58:11	referenced
rank 11:19,23	183:9 224:17	recollection	59:8 60:21	136:13,18
12:1,8 34:14	246:4	69:25 102:18	250:21 252:11	139:6 142:3
197:22	Realtime 4:19	167:19	253:19,24,25	143:16 145:24
rate 257:21	7:4 29:19	recongregate	recording 27:13	180:8 202:20
rationale 75:8	reason 31:3,11	212:2,20	28:17 30:12,15	205:16 219:15
76:12,15	46:22 54:19	214:14	31:1 45:13	219:25 224:14
Re-Examination	64:2,24 72:4	record 7:25 8:1	46:24 47:5,11	231:11 233:10
2:8 251:10	74:10 75:2	8:19 10:1,22	51:6 57:24	245:1
reach 171:5	76:23 78:6	21:21 28:1,23	58:4,6,15,17	references
		·	l , , ,	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 98 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

92:3 256:21	223:22	109:20 134:11	32:24 39:2	178:3,23
referred 34:1	regardless	143:21 144:14	59:18 132:22	182:10 207:10
97:15	37:18 99:11	207:6 218:14	133:8,17,21	216:1 221:11
referring 18:13	104:13 138:4	252:25	134:11,15,18,20	222:25 240:7
19:2,8 24:12	138:13 140:2	relationships	134:21 135:1,19	253:17
32:22 60:11	198:22 235:13	42:1	136:5,10 137:5	representing
68:9 87:4	258:5	relative 3:16	137:15,20	192:15
102:9 115:8,14	regards 77:14	28:2,9 32:6	228:18,19,23	represents
115:17 132:12	Registered 4:18	43:25 70:3	229:11 230:2	118:16
141:18,19	262:3	139:5 141:15	230:23,25	reprimanded
144:15 151:11	regrettably	197:17 204:21	231:1 235:21	165:22
151:24 155:9	247:8	206:11 262:13	235:22,25	request 15:20
156:24 168:14	regular 30:1	release 66:6	236:3,4	16:15 17:15
180:3,5 228:11	200:2,25	released 51:16	reporter 4:19,19	21:12 23:3
244:11,20	relate 17:19	53:2 65:15,25	4:20,21 6:1	50:17 53:18
refers 115:18	139:20 153:5	releasing	7:5 8:16 17:25	55:15 70:11
132:13 178:17	156:11 230:1	221:25	20:21 62:15	71:16,24 73:8
228:21 246:16	234:15,15	relevant 22:1	83:11 86:5	73:12 82:25
reflect 88:17	related 14:21	57:6 147:13,24	93:6 101:2	141:16 164:25
236:1	15:22 16:6,22	153:19 200:19	117:20 128:25	232:23
reflects 88:25	16:25 17:1	211:6	154:17 162:25	requested
171:25 226:16	23:9 30:4	relied 23:16	170:3 203:3	164:19 261:6
refrain 12:15	36:21 38:10,14	rely 23:7 227:9	206:2,5	requests 16:20
refresh 102:18	38:15 39:3,17	remained 12:2	219:21 223:15	35:19
167:19	39:18 43:5	12:5	261:13 262:1,4	require 55:25
refreshed	47:20,25	remember	reporting 132:17	137:19 184:22
26:20	49:20 51:12	236:8	133:18	210:16,17
refresher 8:14	51:22 63:7	reminder 107:9	reports 14:4	217:21
145:15 150:10	67:22 69:7	render 265:9	37:9 133:14	required 80:15
150:12	114:15 146:23	renew 76:21	134:2 135:3	80:23 90:6,9
refusal 74:15	148:19,21 149:1	reorganizations	135:25 136:7	108:2 128:12
189:10 190:2	160:17,18	13:18	160:17 227:12	132:17 137:15
190:10 193:2	195:14 197:20	repair 43:12,14	228:3,12	164:10 165:17
193:17	199:19 201:23	45:3 55:18,19	229:7,15,25	180:21 187:13
refusals 80:1	204:17 215:8	56:14	230:4 234:4	190:8 196:10
refuse 189:20	217:4 224:11	repaired 44:18	represent 101:11	209:14
refuses 71:15	233:8 262:11	55:1	191:14	requirement
82:12,25	relates 14:7	repairs 45:3,4,6	representative	95:25 96:21
refusing 72:20	38:24 48:24	repeat 88:20	9:14 23:24	97:6 107:23
74:2 76:17	49:13 113:5,7	99:19	53:14,24	108:4 120:6,7
81:23 82:15,16	151:1 195:13	rephrase 8:25	64:16 67:20	124:13 134:23
82:17,18	208:3 228:23	88:22 177:16	76:6 84:22	165:19 170:25
regard 56:19	232:22	178:25 249:5	116:12,24	175:18 177:7
249:17	relating 19:3	replacement	121:9 139:1	184:18 204:18
250:20	27:12 46:16	66:9,10	146:12 150:21	209:11 210:2
regarding 107:11	46:25 48:25	report 14:3,11	157:6 162:3	216:22
158:19 221:5	50:20 109:15	32:20,21,22	172:4 173:14	requirements
	l	I	<u> </u>	I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 99 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

95:3,17 103:12	56:13 82:8	responsive	26:1,19 58:25	risen 175:1
117:2 128:6	89:195:24	143:22	67:7,15 83:24	risk 216:22
165:25 168:21	96:17 97:6	rest 181:12 210:6	86:21 87:2	247:10
172:23 173:5	104:2 106:14	restrict 122:21	93:11 110:8	risks 120:24
221:5	106:23 110:11	restricting	139:3,4 140:6	road 50:21
requires 29:12	138:10,21 139:1	122:17	140:9,23	Robert 5:18
50:18 177:19	139:14 142:20	restriction	204:4 218:22	263:4
188:19 194:16	143:11,20	120:11 121:4	218:25	role 32:19 56:11
research 12:7,18	147:10 148:10	restrictions	reviewing 33:12	149:3 163:24
13:1,2 14:16	149:18 150:4	108:25 123:3	45:17 53:1	164:17
15:10,17,18,21	152:14 154:8	result 89:12	67:17 153:9	roles 65:22
16:14,21 17:16	156:10,18	96:6 123:18	228:2	roll 166:16,19
54:6	158:13 173:15	resume 56:20	reviews 15:22	Roman 83:25
researching	177:18 179:15	retained 45:19	107:13	115:25
13:3 14:18	198:13 201:1	46:9,13 47:1,3	revise 152:19	Room 5:15
reserved 7:7	204:2,13	48:17 50:2	Revised 3:10	263:5
resist 70:23	218:21 221:3,4	58:7,8,9	170:7 217:13	rotating 108:10
resistance 74:8	229:3 236:17	retention 47:17	revolves 135:6	Rothert 10:15
74:9,23 77:2	252:24	47:20,22 48:9	right 10:12 29:17	roughly 32:9
81:15,18,19,20	respecting	48:24 49:4,17	49:6,8 82:9	row 239:1
82:19 84:10,15	249:9	retrievable	83:16 86:25	rows 238:13
85:9 233:22	respond 150:16	253:2	89:4 90:17	240:5,21
resisting 71:2	237:1	retrieve 251:18	113:25 114:4	242:25 244:8
72:17,19,20	responded	return 212:9	119:14 129:14	244:13 245:2
72:23,25 73:7	231:2	263:16	129:15,19,22	RPR 6:2
73:8 74:2,16	responding	returned 164:19	140:12 143:14	rule 2:13 9:12
74:24 77:8,10	55:15 145:24	revamp 152:19	145:5,25	20:24 60:7,8
79:2 81:5,6,14	241:2 242:7	reverse 251:15	147:5 148:6	60:10 93:20
81:25 82:15,19	243:25	review 3:6 18:17	154:3 157:17	94:2
82:20	response 2:15	21:17 26:19	161:11,17 167:10	rules 27:12
resistings	3:15 27:13	35:18 40:25	180:24 198:18	28:16 47:16,16
120:17	28:11,17,23	41:17 87:5	199:18 200:10	59:20 60:3
resolution	29:5,9 53:17	92:15 105:16	205:13 212:14	run 229:24
153:25 157:16	143:16 206:11	107:14,21,21	216:17 232:17	230:10
253:7	220:21 246:2	107:23 108:2	239:20	running 54:3
resolve 43:16	248:20	110:3 139:19	257:19 260:19	82:4
251:4	255:13,17	141:16 155:10	261:1	
resolved 44:22	256:13,23	157:12 159:1,13	rights 95:1	S
51:1 153:6	responsibility	159:17 161:21	100:13 105:10	S 163:8
resorted 125:13	41:24	161:21 162:5	249:9	Sachs 191:8,12
resources	responsible	162:22 163:22	riot 187:18	192:16,22
245:23	13:2 32:16	164:25 165:1	189:16,22	Sachs' 193:13
respect 9:18	41:19 42:3,7	169:2 219:9	190:3,13 193:4	Sachs's 192:24
16:13 20:16	42:10 43:18	242:2	193:8,18	safe 95:15
28:16 45:10	52:13,16 148:9	reviewed 18:22	217:23	220:20
47:4,7,17 51:11	148:18,23,24	18:23 21:12	rioting 188:1,4	safety 124:17
54:1,10,21	197:23 216:12	23:9 24:9	riotous 183:7	125:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 100 of 110 PageID #:

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

Saint 75:22	scope 3:5 15:17	124:4 125:23	203:22	135:1 136:2
168:4	48:22 56:17	128:6 130:23	208:25 212:17	144:7 235:7
sanctions 56:1	65:4 155:10	131:20,22	213:12 214:6	separately 58:7
satisfy 212:23	157:12 178:23	132:17 136:16	218:18 220:13	58:8
satisfying 128:9	191:23 192:11	136:22 137:11	223:19,24	September 3:12
185:11	se 157:10 209:8	137:12,14,18	224:12 227:17	3:19 11:13,25
saw 21:7,9,11,14	search 36:6,14	138:10,11,22	231:12 232:8	16:19 27:11
86:17 118:22	47:11 170:5	142:20 167:8	232:15	37:5,19 44:10
156:5	229:25 230:5	169:20 170:6	233:22 234:6	44:14 53:4,16
saying 17:17	230:10,12	170:16 177:5	238:18 239:6	54:10,22
22:10 38:2	237:17	177:18 178:19	seeing 69:9,11	55:13,20
49:11,12 71:23	searchable	180:8 184:13	86:16	109:14 118:10
72:10 73:21	134:8	185:7 187:12	seen 21:10	124:24 129:10
74:17 79:1	searched 226:1	187:19 189:2	24:16 48:4	135:16 143:2
82:20 99:2	searches 230:8	196:11 204:7	85:12,15 86:13	147:14,25
114:23 115:21	seat 121:12	204:14 219:1	101:14,15,16	151:12 152:5
128:7 235:1	second 11:12	239:20	125:5 155:1	152:12 158:14
says 51:4 60:16	21:5,25 38:16	255:12,16	160:12 203:16	197:12 203:22
64:15 89:10	94:21 95:10	256:2,12,23	203:18	204:24
91:8,9 97:14	146:2 163:6	sections 3:9	207:25 221:13	205:21 206:14
101:8 102:4	170:25 194:24	67:18 116:19	seize 60:1	207:1,11 209:9
107:21 138:1	199:9 201:25	see 18:8 22:1,21	seizing 59:11	215:7,22
149:23 164:4	206:2 222:15	23:5 27:9	self-explanat	220:12 232:6
165:15 170:20	238:25	33:12 48:19	226:19	240:22 242:5
175:23 180:1	246:25 251:7	48:20 51:8	sends 179:5	242:20
194:5,9,24	seconds 18:4	54:15 63:11	senior 15:20	253:13 254:6
195:19 197:6	239:3	66:25 86:11	16:17 86:19	sequence
210:23 212:15	section 2:15,20	89:8,13 92:3	87:13,16,18	254:20
212:19 213:12	17:5 35:15	93:18,24 95:8	88:2 140:15	sequential
scale 63:2	37:2,5,8 67:8	95:17 97:10	155:24 159:16	83:21
215:14	67:9,10,12,12	101:6,25 102:4	160:4,5 161:5	sergeant 11:19
scenario 71:10	67:13,15,24,24	102:6 105:18	162:8 173:17	25:9 37:11
114:6 119:5	76:9 83:24,25	108:15,20	199:25 200:2	141:2 197:22
137:7 152:22	84:4 86:10	111:18 117:25	222:21	220:2,8
174:23 181:9	92:20,25	118:4,11 119:14	sense 179:16	227:3 248:6
190:24 193:23	93:15 108:18	119:17 129:11	194:11 211:12	sergeants
scenarios	108:22 109:4	129:14,22	260:22	121:19 131:24
133:18	109:9,13,18,25	130:4 132:25	sent 18:9 19:13	132:3,10
scene 124:10	110:14,18 111:1	138:5,23	19:14 20:12	series 9:18
125:1 189:15	111:7,16,24	155:7,24	105:14,24	39:15 141:20
189:21 193:8	112:6,6,21 113:1	163:4 167:6	106:1,4 107:5	163:23 204:17
217:22,23	113:2,10,20,22	169:5,20	108:11 207:19	229:1,3 251:12
school 75:18,21	114:11,11,25	170:16 171:3	230:20 238:5	251:16 254:14
75:22 79:11	115:1,8,9,11,12	178:12 187:25	261:6	serious 55:25
Schwake 4:18	115:14,18	189:3,12	sentence 106:8	166:10 199:17
6:2 7:4 262:3	116:13 117:2,9	194:22 195:4	separate 20:10	seriously 100:21
263:23	117:10 120:5,5	197:10 203:9	51:22 64:12	server 35:22

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 101 of 110 PageID #: 4056

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	1		1	•
46:4,5	249:17	73:7 74:15	237:10 250:2	141:7 174:13
serves 224:3	shortly 219:13	76:17 82:11,14	254:25	180:23 185:20
service 230:22	show 60:5 68:7	107:25 143:10	situations	190:17 191:2
231:3,23	showed 156:8	152:7 173:24	22:24 70:9	213:12 253:12
233:2	156:19	174:13 175:24	73:10 124:9	254:11
services 6:3	showing 45:3	182:21 201:12	133:12 134:16	soon 261:6
12:5,23 37:10	156:21	233:15	137:2 184:25	sorry 9:25 69:5
42:13 263:1	shown 63:12	Sincerely	201:4 214:20	71:8 89:22
session 161:21	159:7 210:19	263:20	215:18 216:4	91:15,22 97:13
sessions 159:15	sic 169:12	single 135:19	228:25	98:24 110:16
159:19,23	sick 64:7	136:5,11 167:12	239:24	128:14 142:18
161:22	side 47:25 76:3	187:2 260:23	243:14 244:7	180:11 195:7
set 73:19 89:1	102:25,25	sir 27:4 37:3	six 4:15 170:22	215:23 221:3
93:23 94:5	107:20 129:14	48:15 62:6	188:5	241:3 246:19
sets 94:10	252:24	71:24 78:11	size 138:4,14	246:23 251:13
settlement 2:21	sidewalk	79:1,18 93:7	140:2 226:5	256:6 261:11
18:25 92:1,5,11	208:23	121:11 127:8	229:4	sort 195:18
92:16,22,24	sign 108:2,3	175:20 189:24	slide 174:7	sound 220:20
93:9 94:3,7,15	230:15,16	214:10 218:9	175:17	221:1,24
97:11,20 98:9	261:14 263:13	244:4 245:13	SLMPD 18:21	sounds 139:13
98:14,20 99:6	signature 7:6	249:4 255:14	19:15	252:14
99:14,20	261:13 263:11	255:20	SLMPD.org	source 159:8
100:7 102:24	263:13,17	sit 77:11	19:17	225:1,4,4,14
103:5,18,22	264:25	sitting 33:19	slow 104:18	225:16
104:3 109:1,6	signed 107:16	64:2,15 146:11	238:21	sources 225:11
109:11 111:2,13	261:17	148:2 158:9	slower 225:13	225:18
111:21 112:9,14	significance	162:2 172:3	slowly 119:25	south 51:18
116:7,10 128:8	231:15,17	207:9 253:9	small 130:16	132:15
136:19 185:8	232:24 233:5	253:17	235:5	speak 49:18
sex 12:24	233:7,10	situation 34:19	smaller 248:4	87:8 104:10
shape 91:6	significant	70:6,16 71:1	smoke 97:24	110:10 141:21
222:10	37:20 124:1	73:22 74:15	259:16,19	speaking 40:10
share 176:9	166:3 183:19	75:3,9 77:21	so-called 124:17	192:3
SHEET 264:1	198:16 259:5	77:23,24	social 168:7	special 2:18
sheets 263:11,13	signifies 118:5	78:24 79:20	213:11	13:3 14:6,18
263:16	similar 24:9	79:24 82:10	software 38:13	15:4 17:5,5
shift 65:16	32:9 35:21	82:24 90:5	43:2,5,6,8	48:5 60:8
shifts 74:10	58:20 88:3	96:19 113:16	134:5 250:21	63:2 67:7,8,18
shoots 247:25	103:17 142:12	114:10 119:24	250:24,25	67:23 76:9
short 13:7,8	161:20 205:1,8	120:10 124:3	251:2 253:24	84:3 92:19,25
20:11 57:8	210:14,15	126:10,17	254:9	93:14 101:16
106:2 202:25	257:14	129:25 130:14	solely 76:5	106:19,20,24
short-term	simple 36:6	135:15 144:11	somebody 17:14	107:17,24
124:23	76:18 163:21	153:12 186:3	44:15 53:17	108:18,23
shortening	170:5	188:3 190:17	55:14 57:3	109:5,9,13,18
192:6	simply 70:10	195:9,20 211:6	60:20 61:5	109:25 110:3
shorthand 4:20	71:15 72:7	234:20	70:10 127:9	110:12,15,18
	•			

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 102 of 110 PageID #: 4057

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

110:22 111:1,16	210:2 218:20	75:4 76:16,19	156:10 157:9	250:18 262:5
111:25 112:6,7	221:13 228:23	77:25 78:17	157:20,22	262:21 265:1
112:21 113:1	230:7 249:1	79:4,4 80:9	164:6 166:4	stated 110:22
114:12 116:13	specifically	80:24 83:4,4	167:25 176:5	statement 73:2
117:2,10 121:14	28:19 30:4	84:4 85:2,11	182:10 197:7	73:12 83:23
122:11 124:4,7	38:15 47:20	89:19,23 91:19	200:4 224:8	97:21 116:1
125:24 130:24	49:18 53:21	96:22,25	240:25	124:8 154:9
131:20 136:17	59:23 64:25	98:3,4 99:12	245:16,20	156:18 158:4
136:23 137:12	67:4,8 84:13	99:23 100:10	250:14 263:6	169:9 171:25
137:14 138:11	85:8 88:12	104:13,22	263:7,18	172:5,22
138:12 139:3	96:13 110:7	105:7 112:16	264:2	176:3,22
142:20 152:20	111:11 112:24	112:20,25	staff 140:16	201:21 214:22
184:14 185:7,7	121:2,17 128:7	113:3 116:25	160:4,5 161:5	260:25
187:12,13	141:21 153:15	119:19 120:1	162:8 173:18	statements
196:11 204:6	156:25 161:3	121:18,22,23	222:21	157:8 209:20
204:14 205:6	161:20 165:3	121:10,22,23	stage 80:14	states 1:1 4:1
218:25	175:23 191:25	123:11,14,15,19	staging 220:20	27:6
235:20	195:19 197:6	123:11,14,15,19	stamp 118:12	stationed 34:14
special/major	200:16 204:4	125:22,23	129:8	Stations 131:25
164:7 236:18	205:19 218:13	127:19,24	stamped 248:17	132:12
236:22,23	228:10 244:18	128:3,4,13	stance 82:4	statute 50:21
specialized	specificity 158:8	129:20 130:1	stand 230:15	198:14 217:14
12:10 13:13,14	194:14,17	130:14,21,22	standard 77:14	Statutes 3:10
13:21,24,25	specifies	131:23 132:4	134:21 194:12	170:7
87:21 160:6	133:20 175:19	136:24 137:13	197:20 208:8	statutory 161:9
specific 17:15	185:8	138:18 187:4	215:10 216:16	170:18 187:21
24:10,11 28:16	specifying	196:25 257:1	216:19	188:18,23
33:17 34:17	214:12	258:8,10,18	standards	189:7 193:10
39:4,19,21	spectrum 82:8	259:7,14	200:8	194:3 217:12
47:16,19,24	speculating	spraying 74:12	standing 113:17	217:24
49:3 51:10	69:1	75:5 196:10	130:3 174:18	steep 41:9
55:7 60:7		spread 258:14	180:25 181:22	Steffan 5:9
61:12,19 65:5	speculation 120:14	spread 258.14 spreadsheet	181:25 240:10	step 152:24
65:22,22	spend 151:3	224:21	start 24:20	step 132.24 steps 19:24
76:11 84:8,12	169:11	St 1:8 2:17,19	25:2 27:4	51:10 54:19
84:16 97:18	spent 24:4	4:8,16,17 5:6	31:18 36:8	55:17,19
107:11 114:8,14	26:13,17,19	5:16 6:5 7:21	71:9 81:23	138:25 147:1
114:20 135:7,9		11:7 19:21,24	203:12 226:19	147:22 158:10
138:25 147:9	spirit 246:8	20:14 22:5,7	203.12 226.19	204:1 218:20
148:22 156:9	spontaneous 246:6	23:24 27:7	started 68:12	stickers 117:18
158:10 160:7	sporadic 54:25	39:24 48:8,17	starting 48:23	STIPULATED
185:18 191:6	spray 68:15,17		147:14	7:1
		63:3 81:12		
191:25 192:1 194:14 197:18	68:25 69:3,16 69:24 70:14	86:9 88:18	starts 178:7	Stockley 3:16
		94:1 102:15	213:9	14:22,23 15:2
200:7,15	71:13,22,22	133:25 138:1	state 4:22 7:24	15:13 16:22
201:8,23 204:1 209:12	71:25 72:1,22	145:10 148:16 151:13 155:6	63:25 173:3 193:22 198:23	17:19 22:12
204.1203.12	73:11 74:5,21	0.001 01.101	133.22 130.23	27:8,20 28:10

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 103 of 110 PageID #: 4058

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

31:17,25 32:3	5:15 6:4 69:7	substance	235:21 237:12	133:10 150:13
33:21 35:7	79:22 180:17	20:16 149:14	sure 10:12 25:15	225:3,23
36:9 37:22	211:15 250:14	155:13 224:18	27:15 29:7	226:1,2
39:7,15 40:24	250:18 263:5	255:16 265:8	33:4,8 37:13	227:14 228:2
45:12,25	263:18	substantially	43:23 44:5	228:6,9,10
46:10,17,24	strict 74:9	135:9 210:13	48:7 53:25	229:10 230:11
48:18,25	strike 19:11 34:7	210:15	58:22 61:24	systems 237:16
52:14 53:4	55:8 76:13	subsumed	62:1,12 68:1	
57:24 60:23	87:11 90:14	157:23	75:15 83:15	T
63:7,19 64:20	91:16 92:22	sufficient 100:4	86:1 88:21	tactic 152:3,5
65:2 73:5	110:23 133:16	105:2 107:24	90:17 96:11	tactical 78:7,9
87:14 88:9,13	138:8 143:8,17	suggest 182:15	99:3 104:18	tactics 81:12
114:23 122:2	149:15 164:2	191:2	123:8 125:15	144:19 150:17
122:24 132:5	175:19 189:24	suggesting	131:13 137:24	tagged 58:25
133:3 134:12	190:14 199:14	31:16 257:25	145:21 157:16	take 9:21 29:1
136:6 149:5	205:25	suggests	157:25 160:12	30:10 54:19
153:20 156:11	221:10	173:22	200:9 201:2	57:8 60:5
157:3 158:13	stuff 66:12	Suite 5:5	201:10,20	74:24 76:24
159:2,6,15	style 42:24	sum 149:14	220:24	76:25 77:5,12
161:3,13,19,23	subdue 70:24	255:15	221:23 228:13	78:13 80:3
162:8 163:17	subject 9:9	summarize 11:8	238:21 241:8	82:10 100:19
165:6,13	14:24 32:17,18	summarizes	244:3,11	102:17 108:14
167:15,20	79:17 80:19	36:9	249:3 250:17	110:2 124:12
168:23 173:21	179:9 181:19	summary 11:4	250:17	128:15,23
173:21 187:2	246:19,21	55:21 83:5	surprise 76:25	131:2,8 137:8
188:24 206:12	252:16	106:2 156:16	surrounded	138:25 147:1,8
206:16 207:7	subjected	156:17 223:5	119:3	147:22 150:1
214:18 217:6	186:13	223:6 225:20	surrounding	154:13 155:12
219:13 232:6	subjects 39:12	226:9 227:15	51:5 57:2	179:17 202:24
236:13	255:5	228:3 248:22	118:14 259:20	204:1 205:18
240:22 241:12	submit 38:19	248:23	SWAT 14:5 111:9	211:14 213:12
242:22	60:1 237:12	supervisor	145:16 148:18	218:20 221:18
243:23 245:9	submitted 36:3	11:20 167:13	148:22 149:4	229:20 239:3
246:10 252:8	36:19 38:18	197:22 225:8	150:15 191:13	240:5
stop 181:17	38:20 49:23	supervisors	225:8 235:8	taken 1:18 2:24
stopped 43:24	101:9 164:19	29:25 132:7	235:9 244:20	3:3 7:3 19:24
stops 121:9	165:10,12	164:10,16,17	sworn 4:13 7:9	35:9 39:22
storage 36:22	166:12 236:10	165:6,12,16,19	262:7	58:17 59:16
stored 46:3	236:16 238:1	166:6 236:17	sympathy	62:19 81:16,18
stream 248:1	submitting 59:11	237:12 238:1	90:25	103:4 118:1,10
258:17,22	subordinate	supplied 106:13	system 36:5,16	144:24 183:5
streamer	165:1	140:4	36:17 38:9	208:18 262:8
247:19 248:3	subscribe	support 222:10	42:23 57:21	262:13 263:10
257:17	265:11	supposed 32:7	105:16,21,21	264:3
streamers	subsequent	208:9 213:6	105:10,21,21	takes 107:15
257:10,12	88:1 161:13	213:16,25,25	106:6,22	150:4 199:4
street 4:17 5:5	237:11 253:20	214:2,2	107:4,6 114:21	talk 16:5 53:21
	=====================================			<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 104 of 110 PageID #: 4059

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

59:5 73:22	Team/7250	116:6 136:19	140:21 143:20	theory 38:11
219:2	3:19	temporarily	161:12 182:14	181:19
talked 182:20	teams 32:6	25:13,14	191:10,15	thereon 265:10
204:6 214:25	33:20 37:21	temporary	192:16 199:21	thereto 262:15
219:10 247:16	40:3,12,23	101:19 102:15	259:4	thin 152:9
252:19	61:13,21 145:7	102:19,23	testify 23:25	thing 21:20
talking 15:24,24	145:8 148:24	103:4,11,17,23	51:11 139:1	83:15 85:23
36:24 39:14	220:25	104:7,12,20	147:7 150:20	168:16 181:2
53:23 77:1	221:23	105:6,14,24	156:23 162:2	181:23 208:15
90:1 182:24	tear 97:23 111:19	106:1 108:16	191:6 204:2	210:3 238:22
183:1 242:19	112:2	111:13,22	218:21	244:12
246:17 252:12	technical	112:10,15 116:5	testifying 9:17	things 16:6,10
talks 49:22	258:21	116:9 136:20	67:19 157:19	30:3,20
166:16 167:4	technically	tend 80:10	testimony 9:24	35:20 46:2
204:7 218:24	72:16 75:17	96:24 257:13	10:9 15:3 19:2	49:12 55:21
220:19 236:21	technician 11:20	term 13:23	48:8 49:2	60:12,16 63:5
249:8	techniques	22:15 62:23	53:14 55:22	63:21 64:13,17
Tara 4:18 6:2	77:12 235:10	97:19 110:19	57:1,18 82:14	65:16,22 81:10
7:3 100:19	technological	111:18 112:5	84:22 85:6	81:25 90:23
262:3 263:23	30:11	118:18,21,23	112:4 116:23	93:22 94:5
task 220:19	technology	124:22 132:12	136:16 138:9	96:2 100:1
teach 221:14	230:6	151:8,10,16	140:15 142:20	104:24 106:13
team 27:24	tell 17:8 20:6	161:4 162:6,18	162:1 182:9	124:11 140:8
28:11 29:16,20	56:8 64:25	167:23	191:15 192:22	148:20 152:19
31:22,24 32:8	73:23 78:12	239:20	192:24 193:14	153:11 159:16
32:25 33:3,6	129:18 168:16	247:18 257:6	202:15 215:2	163:25,25
33:13,13,14,15	207:16 212:1	terminology	242:3 244:12	166:14 167:5
33:16,17,24,25	213:15 214:4	245:19 249:18	250:15 262:6	167:23 177:7
34:6,8,12,16	246:6	terms 20:1	262:8	183:2 184:23
35:4,9 36:8,10	telling 19:20	66:16 92:23	text 106:4,4	195:25 198:20
36:25 38:2	258:16	102:18,22,23	thank 12:13	204:15,22
39:6,22 40:13	tells 211:6	103:5 104:3	17:22 21:17	222:23
40:14,14 47:5	template 65:20	109:10 111:2	23:17 57:11	225:21 234:17
49:5 50:8	65:21,23	114:12 117:1,10	66:19 88:22	243:19
58:5,24 59:6	Templeton	125:23 128:8	91:1 100:15	think 10:15 12:6
59:8,10,16,21	2:22 89:12	130:23 137:14	105:12,23	14:10,13 17:13
60:21 61:6,10	91:25 92:5,11	161:8 162:6	115:19,19	18:5,19 20:2
61:23 62:3	92:15,19,22	204:8 214:12	127:14 131:1,9	21:20 25:22
63:15,18 64:4	92:24 93:9	233:10 234:12	137:21 142:8	26:7 31:10,14
64:6,9,19,22	97:20 98:8,14	253:11 255:22	145:19 170:14	33:2 40:2
65:1 145:13,16	98:20 99:6,13	256:2,10	207:9 223:8	41:24 48:4,12
148:18 150:15	99:20 100:7	test 107:10,15	228:14 235:17	48:15 49:11
220:10,19	102:24 103:5	108:12 200:18	238:10 239:13	56:5,13 58:20
222:6,24	103:17,22	200:23 201:13	239:14 240:16	58:21 68:11
244:21 249:21	104:3 109:2,6	testified 7:10	247:7 256:8	69:6 71:1 74:7
249:22,25	109:11 111:2,13	33:2 40:2	theft 14:8	76:2 79:13,16
255:1,2	112:9,14 116:4	110:7,25 116:11	themself 114:9	80:13 82:10
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	l

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 105 of 110 PageID #: 4060 ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

84:22 85:18	throwing 37:24	160:23 162:4	146:11 148:2	158:17,18
85:24 91:23	thwart 78:14	166:10 167:14	157:19 158:10	197:5 201:9
98:2 112:12	tie 260:1	168:20 169:12	162:2 172:3	201:24 203:8
113:19,21	tied 228:24	182:22 184:3	203:17 207:9	205:9 216:3
115:24 123:8	time 8:23 12:10	187:2 190:22	219:25 223:4	217:8,19 221:6
123:13 124:13	13:7,8 14:20	190:24 191:3	224:1,15	223:9 251:17
126:2 128:17	15:8 16:4 18:17	191:13 193:25	247:5 253:9	253:18,22
131:17 142:10	18:22 21:7,9	198:1 205:18	253:11,17	257:13 260:9
150:10 153:8	21:13 22:2,11	206:24 209:9	259:2 261:3	topics 9:18,24
153:13,22	24:16 26:17,19	209:10 212:11	today's 23:8,10	10:6,7 23:21
154:3 157:8	28:3 30:14	214:13 216:9	24:23 25:24	23:25 26:22
179:16 183:10	31:18 32:9	221:18 225:5	26:4 54:18	48:12 57:15
192:4 196:16	35:21 36:21	226:19,20	55:11 76:1	66:23 67:4,20
199:9 201:22	36:23 37:13,14	230:8,9 232:1	85:6 86:14,21	68:3 76:7
206:24	38:2,15,16,17	235:18 240:5	92:16 110:8	84:23 118:20
209:22 211:11	38:25 39:10,11	240:23	159:2 208:1	131:12 137:23
215:2 219:25	39:15,19 41:2	242:17	221:17 224:4	145:24 147:7
233:3,7,18	41:16,17,21	243:22	told 17:10 61:14	149:6 151:5
243:16 246:16	43:21,22,22	249:12,14	64:10 70:5	158:16 168:25
247:1 254:19	43:23 44:13	252:20	80:1 156:13	169:3 173:15
257:12 258:10	45:8,10,11,18	253:12 254:6	187:3 213:3	203:5,11
259:12,24	46:19 47:8	255:23 260:9	254:4	204:2,9
260:23	48:2 50:8	times 8:8 36:10	ton 12:14	218:13,21
thinking 181:24	52:13,20 53:3	41:1,12 51:23	tonight 213:16	220:15
third 21:5 38:17	53:3,11,21	68:23 69:15	Tony 10:14 56:9	222:23 223:4
80:2 95:13	54:15 55:8,20	106:5 115:13	85:19,25	223:9 239:18
120:7 128:7,10	56:3 58:5	241:16,20	tool 11:16 90:11	260:3
171:5	59:15,19 61:4	timing 119:10	122:14,15,18	total 24:3,15
Thirteen 244:10	62:1 63:24	tired 198:1	122:20 126:5	26:10
thorough 87:1	64:1,9,12	title 3:18 11:23	126:5 134:5	totality 126:3
136:10	65:19 66:5	191:8	tools 122:17	totally 191:23
thought 156:20	68:14,19 69:8	titled 7:20 9:12	top 102:4 117:25	tough 198:1
threat 71:3,3,17	69:18,20 73:7	86:9 89:7	118:6 129:5	toughest 100:18
99:22 100:9	79:3 80:2 88:1	163:12 169:20	139:8 164:4	100:19
125:1,19	92:13 100:16	233:22	176:9	track 116:6,17
126:22 127:5	102:17 108:9	today 7:19 8:16	topic 21:22,22	tracking 38:9,11
127:10 184:23	110:2 114:22	8:24 9:5,15	24:1 27:4,6	38:13
190:20	117:14 118:12	20:6 21:23	48:15 51:3,11	tracks 103:4
threats 124:10	120:18 122:19	22:17 33:19	51:13 55:11	traffic 14:5
three 26:9	123:9 126:7	39:20 53:15	56:5,16 66:22	train 19:25
79:16 132:13	126:23 128:15	53:23 54:2	67:5,5,6 131:11	20:15 197:17
159:25 189:18	129:8 131:7	55:22 56:11	137:25 138:20	223:1
211:9 212:20	145:5 147:13	64:15 76:6	139:2,20	trained 59:24
213:7 214:3 225:10	147:24 148:7,8	82:24 87:6	142:10,12	144:19 145:10 197:17
threshold 81:21	149:4,25 151:4 151:22 154:13	100:18 101:14 116:24 121:10	143:9,9,17,21 143:22 149:6	training 3:18,19
81:22	158:22 159:5	140:4 143:3	152:22 158:17	11:16 61:9,10,17
01,22	150.22 155.5	140.4 140.5	152.22 150.17	11.10 01.3,10,17

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

		-	-	
67:21 68:8	transpiring	257:15	typically 44:23	257:24
81:8,12 84:13	41:12	Tucker 2:25 3:3	45:2	understanding
84:17,23 85:7	transportation	118:2,6 119:7		10:6 25:17,20
86:19 87:3,5	14:9	127:12 129:6	U	30:24 43:7
106:6,12	transported	tugging 74:17	umbrella 62:25	51:12,20 58:2
112:23 114:8,15	32:18	turn 70:11,18	245:22	58:23 68:1
138:21 139:4	trapped 183:13	74:2 124:9	unable 251:18	88:16,25 94:6
139:14,19,24	183:19	turned 55:3	251:18	96:1,12 99:3
140:4,6,10,10	trial 35:19	141:15	unacceptable	102:10 112:13
141:1,4,7,11	tried 87:1 91:1	twice 17:11	56:3,9	112:19 136:9
142:4 143:10	125:3	228:9	unambiguous	143:21 161:8
144:9,12,19,25	trigger 81:20	two 12:16 24:8	95:7,25 96:13	169:23 171:13
145:7,9,15,25	190:3	26:9 40:5	100:2 104:25	171:24 173:14
146:13,22,25	triggers 81:6	51:13,22 55:3	unbecoming	175:9 177:12,17
147:9,23 148:3	trouble 174:24	62:17 63:6	60:12	178:17 179:9
148:9,12,15,19	true 47:4 265:9	80:182:2	unbelievably	179:12,14,25
148:21,24	265:13	85:25 94:10	238:25	180:5 181:3
149:12,16	trust 239:16	104:17 106:8	uncooperative	182:6 194:8
150:3,15,16,25	truthful 9:4	113:12 114:23	72:7	198:21 233:25
158:18,22	try 51:21 78:25	118:20 138:19	underlying	237:8,15
159:15 161:22	96:8 139:15	143:15,19,24	190:2 221:13	240:11 250:1
199:2 200:7,11	175:7 223:12	144:6 145:23	understand	250:10,11,23
200:12,15,25	250:6	149:20 159:24	8:24 9:7,11,18	252:1 260:15
201:23 204:13	trying 15:14,15	173:20 176:20	11:2 12:16 15:9	understood 9:1
218:14,22	21:15 24:20	180:3,7,17	17:10 23:23	13:12 16:13
219:3,6,15	35:11 44:16	181:10 182:12	35:11 37:1,16	49:1 52:9
220:2 222:13	53:12 55:6	182:19 183:21	42:17 44:16,19	115:14,17 117:6
222:24 223:1	65:17 69:6	225:25,25	56:4 64:13	136:15 168:20
246:16 260:14	71:4,12 72:8	228:1 233:21	73:21 77:21	undertake
260:17	77:7,21 78:1	234:2,12	78:2 81:8 85:1	250:6
trainings 61:20	85:12 113:18	241:23 252:2	106:16 110:21	undertaken
145:12,14	113:20,23	252:2	110:24 116:3	20:14 55:18
trains 179:12	114:10 116:2	two-page	120:4 121:11	158:10
transcribed 7:5	117:7 123:3	139:18 140:22	144:23 149:10	undertaking
transcribing	127:1,2 136:10	141:18 142:1	149:15 151:11	183:11 195:11
8:17	147:2,17	220:1,16	154:2 157:5,19	unequivocally
transcript 3:25	153:10 154:2,4	222:22	174:12 179:4	112:13
263:12	154:10 174:12	type 30:1,17	192:21 193:19	unfavorable
transcripts@al	179:4,6 185:1	36:20 42:19	196:5 197:3	60:19
6:8	192:10 193:19	50:1 146:25	199:7 200:25	Unfortunately
transfer 37:1	196:22 197:3	225:15 234:17	207:23 231:14	261:7
transferred 11:15	199:7 200:24	246:6,21	233:6 234:25	uniformed 14:1
12:1,4,18 13:9	209:25	typed 221:21	239:17 240:1	union 178:1
transpire 32:24	215:25 233:6	types 153:9	240:7 243:5	unique 39:3
transpired 151:11	234:25	257:18,25	244:3 245:12	unit 11:20 15:21
153:19 168:23	242:15 251:4	typewriting 7:5	249:3 251:19	25:15,16 32:7
185:2	253:7 254:2	262:10	251:25 252:11	33:3,4,9,10,16
	I	I	I	I

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 107 of 110 PageID #: 4062

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

37:9,12 38:8	216:18 217:22	141:9 142:6	vendor 42:16	251:16 253:1
42:19 61:25	217:24 218:3,7	144:9 146:3,14	51:21 52:10	videos 39:5,22
65:21 87:21	218:10,23	148:13,19,25	verbatim 209:5	48:1 49:4 53:1
111:9 160:6	223:3 239:6	149:1,7,8,13,24	209:7 210:6	58:24 60:4
224:10 237:21	241:1,13,22,25	150:18,19,22	verbiage 246:7	106:13 125:6
245:21	242:6,14	150:23 151:1	verdict 3:16	view 252:11
UNITED 1:1 4:1	243:2,13	151:10,16,19,24	22:12 27:8	viewed 76:9
units 12:25 14:5	246:14 255:6	152:3,15	48:18 65:13,15	views 178:2
14:9 145:16	255:22 256:4	158:20,24	66:2 88:12	VIII-6 67:9
225:20	256:10,15	186:15 187:7	206:12 232:6	violate 170:18
245:16	unlawfully	199:3 208:9	236:13	171:1,6 173:1,7
universal 89:16	171:21 175:5	210:10,13	version 21:10	175:12 176:17
universe 24:21	185:17	221:3,5 223:2	24:17 168:17	185:21 188:8
University	unnecessarily	227:23 233:1	versus 7:21	188:14
75:22 168:5	10:19	234:6,8 235:2	26:15 58:5,6	violated 123:3
unlawful 3:13	update 66:9	236:1,5	77:2 84:9,15	violated 123.3 violates 171:14
60:16,24	upset 90:18	238:16 244:6	84:18 85:8	violating 181:11
73:17 169:6,9	usage 248:2,2	244:6,13,20	92:5 112:2	182:23 213:17
170:21 171:19	use 22:5 28:7	248:3,5	137:12	violation 60:15
		255:5 256:25	VI 67:13 76:9	179:9 184:8
172:14,16,24	29:4,9 39:25			
173:15,22,24	42:20 54:16	257:14 259:7	83:24 84:1	188:23
174:5,9,10,16	62:22 65:22	259:19	113:2,10,20	violations
174:20 175:1,2	67:14 69:24	uses 31:8 141:7	114:11,25 115:8	249:10,16
175:5,10,25	70:14,21,24	148:14 177:10	115:13	violence 71:3,17
176:1 177:3,7	71:21 72:18,22	178:14 194:9	vicinity 135:9	171:7 173:2,8
178:10 180:21	73:10 74:4	221:14 234:19	victims 39:12	174:17 175:13
181:4,6,15	76:15,24 77:2	234:25	video 27:7,12	177:3,8
182:1,13,18	77:11,16,17,25	235:12 239:19	27:25 28:5,7	180:22 182:14
183:7 184:1,12	80:12,24 84:4	utilize 42:13,21	28:17,22 29:3	182:16 183:23
184:21 185:6	84:19 85:11	62:25 153:1	29:8,12,15	184:22,23
186:2,8,12,16	89:19,23	utilized 100:3	30:9,15,21 31:1	188:11,15,20
187:15 189:15	96:21 99:23	105:1 122:2,19	31:3 35:9 36:7	190:25 191:3
189:21 190:3	100:10 101:20	122:24 123:11	36:11 37:2,20	193:9,12,15,15
190:13 193:4,8	103:7 104:21	utilizing 126:5,5	38:16,16,17	193:24
193:18 194:1,6	105:6 106:15		41:18 42:18,19	violent 72:7
194:18 197:8	106:16,18	<u> </u>	45:13 46:23	124:9 125:8,18
197:14,16,24	107:9,11 113:7	v 2:22,23 263:7	47:11,17 48:17	127:9 183:8
198:6,13,17	114:12 118:21	264:2	48:24 49:9,18	190:19 191:18
199:17,23	118:24 120:10	vacate 249:13	49:20 50:24	192:17
200:9,15,16	121:2 122:6,20	vacuum 183:2	51:6 52:6,22	violently 76:17
200:20 201:8	122:21 123:14	value 77:23	54:11 56:4,23	124:25 125:8
201:15 202:4	123:18,24	various 41:15	57:24 58:4,16	126:21 127:5
202:21 204:19	125:21 128:12	133:18 228:15	59:4,6,8,15	visualize 139:9
207:13 208:13	130:14,20,21	230:12	59:22 61:6	visualizing 185:1
208:18 211:9	132:3,3,23	vast 243:11	119:25 159:1,4	volition 216:10
212:8 213:4	136:23 137:18	vehicle 14:6	159:5,7,8,18	voluntary 79:20
215:1,4,11	138:3,17 140:1	41:2 106:6	250:20	79:22
·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 108 of 110 PageID #: 4063

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

vs. 17. 4.7 134:10 228:13 229:11 36:61,7 47:10 63:20 66:25 420:62:15 125:17 145:9.11 53:31 60:16 53:49,20 56:18 42:16,24 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:42:42.09 42:16,24 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:19 42:16,24 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:19 42:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:41 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:41 42:16,24 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:19 42:16,24 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:41 43:16,20 53:8 43:16 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42 43:16,20 53:8 43:18 220:42					
W wait 159:14 260:18 26:13 wants 207:19 104:8 148:3 216:3 223:4;7 54:9,20 56:18 54:9,20 56:18 56:18 216:3 223:4;7 54:9,20 56:18 216:3 223:4;7 223:3;4 150:17 157:12 225:21 26:3 223:4:18 150:17 157:12 242:13 254:19 247:12 247:12 247:12 247:13 242:13 254:19 247:13 24:14 242:13 254:19 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14 247:14	vs 1:7 4:7	134:10 228:13	36:6,17 47:10	125:17 145:9,11	42:16,24
wait 159:14 260:18 26f3:3 153:24 260:21 walk 82:23 walking 208:23 walking 208:24 77:47:97:48 256:24 227:2,3,9,20 229:19 233:4 226:12 247:6 227:2,3,9,20 229:19 233:4 236:12 247:6 week 65:13 vorkhouse worked 163:25 workhouse vorkhouse vorkhouse vorklouse vor		229:11	63:20 66:25	153:13 160:16	43:16,20 53:8
wait 159:14 260:18 261:3 waiting 152:18 153:24 260:21 walk 82:23 walk 82:23 walk 92:3 walk 92:323 Wall 3:21 25:9 25:21 26:5,8 26:25 223:21 224:2,7,19 227:23,39,20 229:19 233:4 229:19 233:4 229:19 233:4 229:19 233:4 234:1 Watton 136:12 want 10:13 12:15 206: 22:10 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 27:19 72:5 74:17 57:14,15 73:20,22 74:17 57:15 79:12 83:14 84:19 86:1 84:19 86:1 84:19 86:1 84:19 86:1 84:19 86:1 85:13 187:5 79:12 83:14 84:19 86:1 87:10 199:3 131:10,12 133:12 137:22 133:12 137:22 133:12 137:22 135:22 147:19 149:15,16 152:14 240:11 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:0 179:21 170:0 179:21 170:0 179:21 170:0 17		wanting 82:7	71:2 74:16	190:12 214:20	54:9,20 56:18
260:18 261:3 waiting 152:18 153:24 260:21 195:20 19		_	104:8 148:3	216:3 223:4,7	150:17 157:12
153:24 260:21 walk 82:23 warning 3:13		warn 70:15	162:15,16	· ·	164:1 168:7
walk 82:23 warning 3:13 201:12 217:6 207:22 167:5 walking 208:23 warning 3:13 201:12 217:6 207:22 167:5 Wall 3:21 25:9 70:7 71:23 222:10 230:1 200:21 207:22 160:18 161:22 25:21 26:5.8 75:4.7.9 76:18 25:38 254:6 25:38 254:6 173:21 254:8 workhouse 26:25 223:21 77:18 78:25 256:24 welcome 54:7 werl 19:17.17 53:6 54:24 229:19 233:4 95:11,25 96:1 31:7 35:14 206:18 250:16 253:7 234:1 96:4,13,14 100:2.4 well 156:2.19 135:24 147:19 20:18 25:0 250:16 253:7 20:6 22:10 120:19 184:17 128:31 3187:5 128:21 313:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:24 147:19 20:22 77:2 78:15 10 20:22 77:2 78:15 10 20:22 77:2 79:21 155:10 20:21 10 20:21 10 20:22 77:2 20:22 77:2 79:21 15:10 20:22 77:2 20:22 77:2	_	194:24 195:16	165:23 166:25	247:16	242:13 254:19
walking 208:23 70:7 71:23 222:10 230:1 weeks 24:6,15 workhouse 25:21 26:58 75:4,7,9 76:18 234:22 240:8 88:8 173:20 160:18 161:22 25:21 26:58 75:4,7,9 76:18 253:8 254:6 173:21 254:8 workhouse 26:25 223:21 224:2,7,19 80:23 83:5,6 256:24 welcome 54:7 welcome 54:7 229:19 233:4 95:11,25 96:1 31:7 35:14 206:18 200:21 200:21 234:1 96:4,13,14 58:7 135:2 weren't 126:16 250:6 25:7 20:6 22:10 104:25 105:3 120:19 184:17 85:21 91:4,5 26:15 25:10 25:7 27:15 28:12,13 187:10 195:9 139:23 142:1 139:23 142:1 139:23 142:1 willingly 82:22 worth 31:15 28:22 67:25 17:9 72:5 203:20 239:4 26:14 willingly 82:22 72:22 77:2 79:12 83:14 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 23:16 56:2 70:23 72:22 77:2 79:12 83:14 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 20:14 206:18 20		195:20	167:19 183:14	week 65:13	worked 163:25
Wall 3:21 25:9 72:9 74:21 234:22 240:8 88:8 173:20 160:18 161:22 25:21 26:5,8 75:4,7.9 76:18 253:8 254:6 173:21 254:8 working 14:17 26:25 223:21 224:2,7.19 80:23 83:5,6 256:24 welcome 54:7 51:20 52:8,10 229:19 233:4 95:11,25 96:1 96:4,13,14 100:2,4 well 56:2,19 works 15:19 Walton 13 12:15 100:2,4 well 16:2,19 works 15:19 works 15:19 27:15 28:12,13 120:19 184:17 185:13 187:5 185:21 91:4,5 139:22 147:19 29:15 30:6 works 15:19 works 15:19 79:21 155:10 20:11 works 15:19 <td></td> <td>warning 3:13</td> <td>201:12 217:6</td> <td>207:22</td> <td>167:5</td>		warning 3:13	201:12 217:6	207:22	167:5
25:21 26:5,8 26:25 223:21 77:18 78:25 256:24 working 14:17 51:20 52:8,10 ways 27:17 worth 19:17,17 53:6 552:24 200:21 229:19 233:4 95:11,25 96:1 96:4,13.14 100:2,4 well 156:2,19 104:25 105:3 78:8 68:10 219:14 236:25 221:0 120:19 184:17 27:15 28:12,13 185:13 187:5 128:23 131:11 39:21 46:2 187:10 195:9 139:23 142:1 185:13 187:5 128:23 131:11 39:21 46:2 173:22 200:21 223:14:25 203:20 239:4 261:14 we're 7:19 15:16 73:20,22 71:5 78:16,22 78:1 77:14,15 77:14,15 77:14,15 77:14,15 77:14,15 179:19 223:13 110,12 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,20 186:17,19,21 170:9179:2		70:7 71:23	222:10 230:1	weeks 24:6,15	workhouse
26:25 223:21	Wall 3:21 25:9	72:9 74:21	234:22 240:8	88:8 173:20	160:18 161:22
224:2,7,19 227:2,3,9,20 90:6,12,95:7 29:19 233:4 234:1 Walton 136:12 Want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 232:14 6:2 48:6 54:2 185:13 187:5 185:13 187:0 185:13 187:0 185:14 147:19 135:24 147:19 135:24 147:19 136:24 147:19	25:21 26:5,8	75:4,7,9 76:18	253:8 254:6	173:21 254:8	working 14:17
227:2,3,9,20 229:19 233:4 234:1 96:4,13,14 100:2,4 Walton 136:12 Want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 100:2,4 100:2,4 Walton 136:12 100:2,4 Walton 136:12 100:2,4 Walton 136:12 Want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 187:10 195:9 196:7,9,18 129:13 142:15 120:19 184:17 129:13 142:15 120:19 184:17 129:13 142:15 120:19 184:17 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:14 236:25 129:15 20:19 149:15 16 129:2 277:2 129:14 236:25 120:21 155:10 120:19 184:17 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 129:22 129:14 236:25 120:20 120:19 184:17 128:23 131:11 128:23 131:11 128:23 132:13 129:22 129:14 236:25 129:22 277:2 129:14 236:25 129:22 277:2 129:15 20:10 120:19 184:17 128:23 131:11 128:23 132:13 129:22 129:14 236:25 129:22 277:2 129:15 20:10 120:19 184:17 128:24 147:19 139:23 142:16 151:24,24 129:14 120:19 184:17 129:14 236:25 129:15 20:10 120:19 184:17 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:12 129:2 129:14 236:25 129:15 20:10 120:19 184:17 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:11 128:24 147:19 129:14 236:25 126:15 129:2 23:13 120:19 184:15 129:2 23:13 120:19 184:15 129:2 23:13 128:23 13:11 128:23 13:12 129:2 129:14 236:25 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 237:5 129:2 24:12 120:19 184:17 128:23 13:11 128:24:12 129:12 120:12 120:12 120:13 122:14 120:13 122:14 120:13 12	26:25 223:21	77:18 78:25	256:24	welcome 54:7	51:20 52:8,10
229:19 233:4 234:1 Walton 136:12 Want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 187:10 195:9 185:13 187:5 185:23 131:1 26:15 27:25 26:725 71:2 23:20 71:2 23:2 23:2 72:2 27:2 72	224:2,7,19	80:23 83:5,6	ways 27:17	went 19:17,17	53:6 54:24
229:19 233:4 234:1 95:11,25 96:1 96:4,13,14 31:7 35:14 58:7 135:2 206:18 weren't 126:16 250:16 253:7 works 15:19 Walton 136:12 want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 100:2,4 120:19 184:17 120:19 184:17 we'll 56:2,19 57:8 68:10 219:14,5 128:23 131:11 219:14 236:25 219:14 236:25 20:14 236:25 20:10 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worde 126:20 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worde 120:20 worse 121:10 worde 120:20 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worse 121:10 worde 120:20 worse 121:10 worde 120:20 wise 152:24 wise 152:24 wo				•	
234:1 96:4,13,14 58:7 135:2 we'll 56:2,19 weren't 126:16 rates works 15:19 rates Walton 136:12 want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 accorded to 20:10 20:9 184:17 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 rates 100:2,4 rates sell 104:25 105:3 rates 57:8 68:10 rates 219:14 236:25 worst 21:10 worth 31:15 rates works 15:19 rates 79:21 155:10 worth 31:15 rates works 15:19 rates 79:21 155:10 worth 31:15 rates 79:21 155:10 worth 31:15 rates works 12:10 worth 31:15 rates 79:21 155:10 worth 31:15 rates 79:22 177:2 wider 258:13,18 willingly 82:22 rates 79:22 77:2 wise 152:24 wise 152:24 rates 79:22 77:2 wise 152:24 wise 152:24 rates 79:22 77:2 wise 152:24 rates 79:10 524,24 rates 77:16,22 78:1 rates 77:16,72 rates 70:16 74:7 rates 260:21 26:18 rates 70:024 rates 70:16 74:7 rates 260:21 26:18			31:7 35:14		
Walton 136:12 want 10:13 12:15 20:6 22:10 22:10 27:15 28:12.13 39:21 46:2 48:6 54:2 196:7,9,18 196:7,9,18 196:7,9,18 196:1,9 72:15 77:14,15 77:14,15 77:14,15 77:12 83:14 78:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:19 9:3 131:10,12 133:12 137:22 145:21 147:19 149:15,16 152:1157:25 158:8 169:1 179:24,24 179:24,24 186:21,23 131:10 199:3 149:15,16 152:1157:25 158:8 169:1 179:24,24 179:24,24 186:21,23 131:10 186:21,23 13:10 199:3 149:15,16 152:1157:25 158:169:1 221:4,25 129:24 29:14 24:12 39:14 179:19 223:13 186:17,19,20 149:15,16 152:1157:25 158:169:1 221:4,25 158:8 169:1 179:24,24 186:19:7 202:8 211:5 221:1		·			works 15:19
20:6 22:10 27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 48:6 54:2 196:7,9,18 203:20 27:19 72:5 203:20 203:20 203:20 203:20 203:20 239:4 261:14 24:17 25:15 27:14 75:15 27:16,22 78:1 29:11,20 90:8 24:19 86:1 23:110,12 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 25:18 24:19 86:1 33:12 137:22 133:12 137:22 145:22 147:19 149:15,16 152:1 157:25 158:8 169:1 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 170:9 179:21 26:15 224:17 26:15 3:3 118:2 27:20 23:20 203:20 203:20 239:4 261:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 25:18 26:2 26:5 26:5 27:20 77:2 24:12 39:14 24:12 39:14 25:18 26:2 26:5 26:5 26:5 26:5 26:5 26:5 26:5	Walton 136:12	100:2,4	we'll 56:2,19	135:24 147:19	79:21 155:10
27:15 28:12,13 39:21 46:2 48:6 54:2 196:7,9,18 179:19 223:13 239:4 261:14 239:4 261:14 239:4 261:14 239:4 261:14 241:8 244:3 250:18 260:6 239:4 261:14 250:18 242:1 239:4 261:14 241:8 244:3 250:18 260:6 239:4 261:14 261:5 261:6 261:5 261:6 261:6 261:8 262:6 2	want 10:13 12:15	104:25 105:3	57:8 68:10	219:14 236:25	worse 121:10
27:15 28:12,13 185:13 187:5 128:23 131:11 261:5 192:2 39:21 46:2 196:7,9,18 179:19 223:13 wider 258:13,18 wouldn't 72:5,8 48:6 54:2 196:7,9,18 239:4 261:14 willingly 82:22 72:22 77:2 58:22 67:25 203:20 239:4 261:14 willingly 82:22 78:15 153:7 71:9 72:5 73:15 77:14,15 77:16,22 78:1 75:15 49:4 78:7 80:16,18 77:16,22 78:1 24:12 39:14 wished 120:20 208:2 237:5 Wow 79:10 wrap 260:13 wrap 260:13 wrap 260:13 wrap 260:13 write 14:24 write 14:24 write 14:24 207:23 164:24 20:14 208:2 237:5 Wow 79:10 wrap 260:13 write 14:24 207:23 164:24 20:14 208:2 237:5 write 14:24 208:2 237:5 write 14:24 207:23 164:24 20:14 208:2 237:5 write 14:24 208:2 24:18 24:12 39:14 260:21 26:18 240:8 write 14:24 208:2 24:18 240:8 write 14:24 208:2 24:18 262:6,8 writes 39:1 writing 13:3 141:18 15:12 262:6,8 writes 39:1 <				Wheaton 5:20	
48:6 54:2	27:15 28:12,13	185:13 187:5	128:23 131:11	261:5	192:2
58:22 67:25 203:20 239:4 261:14 wise 152:24 78:15 153:7 71:9 72:5 73:15 77:14,15 15:24,24 wished 120:20 word 79:10 73:20,22 73:15 77:14,15 15:24,24 witness 4:12 7:6 Wow 79:10 74:14 75:15 77:16,22 78:1 24:12 39:14 23:16 56:2 Word 79:10 79:12 83:14 78:7 80:16,18 49:11,11 51:20 100:24 write 14:24 84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writtes 39:1 149:15,16 187:67,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 Washington 170:11 175:17 Word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9.10 177:25 209:17 252:23 252:23 <t< td=""><td>39:21 46:2</td><td>187:10 195:9</td><td>139:23 142:1</td><td>wider 258:13,18</td><td>wouldn't 72:5,8</td></t<>	39:21 46:2	187:10 195:9	139:23 142:1	wider 258:13,18	wouldn't 72:5,8
58:22 67:25 203:20 239:4 261:14 we're 7:19 15:16 wise 152:24 wished 120:20 witness 4:12 7:6 78:15 153:7 208:2 237:5 207:23 164:24 201:14 207:23 164:24 201:14 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 240:8 262:6,8 262:6,8 262:6,8 262:6,8 28:15 81:9 262:12 26:11 269:17 28:15 81:9 28:15 81:9 29:17 28:15 81:9 29:17 29:17	48:6 54:2	196:7,9,18	179:19 223:13	willingly 82:22	72:22 77:2
73:20,22 73:15 77:14,15 15:24,24 witness 4:12 7:6 Wow 79:10 74:14 75:15 77:16,22 78:1 24:12 39:14 23:16 56:2 wrap 260:13 79:12 83:14 78:7 80:16,18 49:11,11 51:20 100:24 write 14:24 84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 writing 13:3 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 179:179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 2:2,3 written 48:3 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wond erful 9:11 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 185:5 224:17 225 3:3	58:22 67:25	203:20	239:4 261:14		78:15 153:7
73:20,22 73:15 77:14,15 15:24,24 witness 4:12 7:6 Wow 79:10 74:14 75:15 77:16,22 78:1 24:12 39:14 23:16 56:2 wrap 260:13 79:12 83:14 78:7 80:16,18 49:11,11 51:20 100:24 write 14:24 84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 69:17 69:17 28:15 81:9 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 179:24,24 warants 139:7 Washington 170:11 175:17 Word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 202:8 21:5	71:9 72:5	warnings 70:1	we're 7:19 15:16	wished 120:20	208:2 237:5
79:12 83:14 78:7 80:16,18 49:11,11 51:20 100:24 write 14:24 84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 226:14 240:11 241:8 149:4	73:20,22	_	15:24,24	witness 4:12 7:6	Wow 79:10
79:12 83:14 78:7 80:16,18 49:11,11 51:20 100:24 write 14:24 84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 written 48:3 192:5 201:10 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 209:17,24,25 230:23 215:5 224:17 226:14 240:11 240:18 24:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 226:14 240:11 240:18 14:24 240:18 24:	74:14 75:15	77:16,22 78:1	24:12 39:14	23:16 56:2	wrap 260:13
84:19 86:1 89:11,20 90:8 61:12 65:17 207:23 164:24 201:14 96:11 99:3 117:8 183:3 70:16 74:7 260:21 261:18 240:8 131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 179:24,24 Warrants 139:7 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 125 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 Word 165:17 197:13 198:12 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 230:23 215:5 224:17 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23<	79:12 83:14	78:7 80:16,18	49:11,11 51:20	100:24	•
131:10,12 184:15,17 77:1,17 79:24 262:6,8 writes 39:1 133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 Wond 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 33:7 36:7 58:1 </td <td>84:19 86:1</td> <td>89:11,20 90:8</td> <td></td> <td>207:23</td> <td>164:24 201:14</td>	84:19 86:1	89:11,20 90:8		207:23	164:24 201:14
133:12 137:22 186:17,19,20 80:19 81:24 263:12 264:1 writing 13:3 145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 Word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 140:18 149:4 250:17,17 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 5	96:11 99:3	117:8 183:3	70:16 74:7	260:21 261:18	240:8
145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 Wonderful 9:11 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 215:5 224:17 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 140:18 149:4 250:17,17 252:25 235:12 182:22,22 warted 19:10 250:17,17 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	131:10,12	184:15,17	77:1,17 79:24	262:6,8	writes 39:1
145:22 147:19 186:21,23 83:15 90:10 264:25 14:18 15:12 149:15,16 187:6,7,14 113:23 124:14 witnessed 69:4 28:15 81:9 152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 215:5 224:17 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 184:2,3 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	133:12 137:22	186:17,19,20	80:19 81:24	263:12 264:1	writing 13:3
152:1 157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 Wonderful 9:11 written 48:3 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 30:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	145:22 147:19	186:21,23	83:15 90:10	264:25	•
152:1157:25 221:4,25 135:22 136:17 69:17 141:12 149:23 158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 2:2,3 written 48:3 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 215:5 224:17 226:14 240:11 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 241:8 244:3 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 182:22,22 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	149:15,16	187:6,7,14	113:23 124:14	witnessed 69:4	28:15 81:9
158:8 169:1 249:11 151:3 152:18,21 WITNESSES 207:11 216:21 170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 2:2,3 written 48:3 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	152:1 157:25				
170:9 179:21 warrants 139:7 152:23 153:9 2:2,3 written 48:3 179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 36:8 57:3	158:8 169:1		151:3 152:18,21		
179:24,24 Washington 170:11 175:17 Wonderful 9:11 84:13 141:6 187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 36:8 57:3	170:9 179:21		·		
187:22 189:6 2:25 3:3 118:2 182:24,25 word 165:17 197:13 198:12 192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	179:24,24	Washington			
192:5 201:10 118:6 119:7 201:9,10 172:7 175:20 230:23 202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 56:8 57:3		•			
202:8 211:5 127:12 129:6 238:22 241:16 177:25 209:17 252:23 215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 30:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	192:5 201:10		· ·		
215:5 224:17 wasn't 53:8 244:11 252:12 209:17,24,25 wrong 51:15 226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 30:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3			238:22 241:16	177:25 209:17	
226:14 240:11 70:10 132:7 253:6 256:12 234:24 235:1 52:4 160:16 241:8 244:3 140:18 149:4 we've 28:20 235:12 182:22,22 250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 wanted 19:10 watch 119:25 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	215:5 224:17				
250:18 260:6 250:17,17 79:5,25,25 words 71:23 184:2,3 watch 119:25 80:1,16,17,17 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3		70:10 132:7	253:6 256:12		_
250:18 260:6		140:18 149:4		235:12	182:22,22
wanted 19:10 watch 119:25 80:1,16,17,17 163:19 210:10 wrote 48:21 33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	250:18 260:6	250:17,17			· ·
33:7 36:7 58:1 159:4,5 80:18 114:18 242:13 244:14 56:8 57:3	wanted 19:10	•	i i		· ·
40004000	33:7 36:7 58:1				
	109:9 132:2	•		work 3:6 14:20	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	00400040	440740000	0.40.40.0.40.45	1045 44 7
X	00438 2:16	11 3:7 10:6 36:13	248:16 249:19	1915 41:7
X 2:1 43:11 59:4	01152 51:7	155:4 162:24	254:15	1994 11:6 68:12
59:5,6 73:25	52:22 54:11	163:3 165:11	14th 52:2	1995 11:10 68:19
96:5 216:8	4	168:4 194:5	250:12	1995-2004
Xerox 90:23	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	204:3,9	15 3:18 8:11	68:25
XIII 17:6 67:10	12:12 3:12 17:24	218:13,21	66:23 67:5,6	1995/2004
67:16,24,24	18:2 27:4,6	11/16/17 2:12	67:20 68:3	69:18
92:20,25	33:13,14,15	11:25 129:9	131:13 143:9	
93:15 108:18	57:15 97:14	190:18	143:22 147:7	2
108:23 109:5	101:10 102:9	11:30 118:10	147:14 149:6	2 2:13 20:20,23
109:9,13,18,25	116:19 127:17	119:12 124:24	194:20 212:11	48:15 57:15
110:3,12,14,18	168:17 170:21	126:11,23	219:20,23	93:23 116:19
111:1,16,25	203:6,22	127:12 151:12	220:5 226:11	118:4 131:23
112:7,21 113:1,6	204:24	190:18 192:18	229:22 232:6	203:6 224:7
113:22 114:11	205:21 207:1	193:15	240:21 241:11	20 2:14 265:15
115:1 116:13	207:11 255:2	1130 5:5	242:5,17,20	2004 11:13
117:2,10 120:5	1-01 17:5 67:8	117 2:25	242:25 243:3	68:19 69:2,7
124:4 125:23	67:24 92:20	11th 6:4 263:18	243:7,22	75:18
128:7 130:23	92:25 93:15	12 3:9 66:22,23	253:13	2007 168:18
131:20 136:16	106:19,20,25	67:5,6,20	15.52.010	2010 11:18
136:22 137:12	107:17 108:18	68:3 131:12	176:14 177:6,11	2011 11:19
138:11 142:20	108:23 109:5	137:25 138:22	177:19 178:7	2012 147:14,16
184:13 185:7	109:9,14,19,25	142:12 147:7	179:6 180:9	147:25 149:17
187:13 204:7	110:15,18 111:1	170:2,5	154 3:6	209:9 215:22
204:14 219:1	111:16,25 112:7	187:22 189:6	16 3:21 18:9	226:11 240:22
204.14 213.1	112:21 113:1	217:14 229:22	20:13 24:6,18	242:5 243:22
Υ	114:12 116:14	241:11 243:10	26:12 86:10	2013 11:21,22
Y 73:25	117:3,10 120:5	263:3	151:5 158:16,17	2014 3:20
yeah 34:23	124:5 125:24	1200 4:17 5:15	222:21 223:14	144:18,24
53:20 97:13	130:24 131:20	245:8,15,18,19	223:18 241:20	145:3,25
137:7 168:11,14	136:17,23	245:22,25	242:4,9	146:9,11 147:10
173:11 229:19	137:12,14	246:1,4,7	16-hour 150:7	147:16,25
238:20	138:11,12	263:5	162 3:8	149:20 220:12
248:13	142:21 184:14	128 3:4	17 2:12 55:20	2015 11:25 69:8
year 167:9	185:8 187:13	13 3:11 67:6	89:4 118:10	101:19 102:9
yearly 201:2	196:12 204:6	138:20 139:2	129:10 135:16	108:19
years 8:11	204:14 219:1	139:20 143:17	151:5,12 152:5	2015-01-07
yep 205:16	1-800-280-DE	143:21 149:6	152:12 158:16	102:5
yesterday 21:14	6:7	203:2,13,16	158:17,18	2017 3:12 12:3
	10 3:5 10:6	204:23 207:3	222:21	13:18 16:20
Z	108:19 154:16	207:17,25	240:22 242:5	18:9,17 20:13
Z 73:25	154:20,22	210:19 212:5	170 3:10	27:11 37:6,20
	155:21 189:1,9	218:2 242:11	17250 246:13	44:10,15 53:5
0	10/11/17 3:5	244:13,17,25	17th 124:24	53:17 54:10
00421 248:18	100 40:15	14 3:15 67:6	18 90:15,19,20	54:22 55:13
00427 249:19	250:17	142:10 143:9	90:22 91:7	86:10 109:14
00430 2:16	101 2:23	206:4,7	223:9 239:18	118:10 129:10
		200.4,7	223.3 233.10	

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 156-2 Filed: 05/06/19 Page: 110 of 110 PageID #: 4065

ERIC LARSON 4/8/2019

	_	_	_	
135:16 140:5	170:2 203:2	6	9	
143:2 151:12	206:4 219:20			
152:5,12 155:5	223:14 260:4	6 2:21 93:5,8	9 3:3 10:6	
158:14 197:12	260:10	101:17 140:14	128:24 129:2	
202:14	314 5:7,15,17	160:25 169:1,3	187:17,25	
203:22	6:6 263:5	169:12 170:11	218:13,21	
203.22	0.0 203.3	171:9 172:22	232:9,13	
205:21 206:14	4	173:3,4,15,16	259:3	
205.21 206.14	4 2:17 10:6	175:18,20	9/15 232:13	
· ·	83:10,13	202:12,20	9/27/17 3:17	
232:6 240:22	168:25 169:3	219:4 222:20	9:58 7:13	
242:5 253:14	173:15 197:5	62 2:16	906 5:5	
254:7	232:9,13	621-3361 5:17	93 2:22	
2018 12:6,6,8,17	4:17-CV-2455	63101 5:6 6:5	95 68:14	
13:15,16,19		263:18	96 14:13	
14:17 226:12	1:7 4:7	63103 5:16		
2019 1:19 4:14	421 255:11,24	263:6		
13:16 263:3,10	422 255:11	644-2191 6:6		
264:3	427 254:21,23	652-3114 5:7		
203 3:14	254:24			
206 3:17	428 254:21,23	7		
219 3:20	430 62:18 63:11	7 2:6,23 45:23		
223 3:22	431 62:18	50:13 101:1,5		
24 223:9 226:11	438 62:19	101:19 105:13		
239:19	439 62:19 63:11	131:17 203:5		
247 2:7	45 202:15	204:2,9		
25 23:21 51:3	223:13	7-11 203:8		
55:11 251:17		711 6:4 263:17		
253:18	5	7250 246:10,17		
251 2:8	5 2:19 10:6 12:2	764 83:14		
27 206:14	86:4,8,8 87:9	775 84:3		
	88:23 169:3			
3	169:17 170:15	8		
3 2:15 21:22	173:15 201:16	8 1:19 2:24 10:6		
24:1 62:14	201:24	24:6,17 26:12		
3,000 16:2	244:23	108:13 117:19		
30 45:24 50:13	5:24 261:16	117:23 176:13		
263:17	50 211:15	217:8,20		
30(b)(6) 2:14	53 83:17	259:3,4		
9:13 10:2,7	574 3:9	263:10 264:3		
12:16 17:24	574.040 169:21	8/16 86:18		
20:20,24	170:6,16 171:14	8/16/17 2:20		
21:12 48:13	177:6	8:30 191:16		
54:3 62:14	574.050 170:7	192:16 213:7		
83:10 86:4	187:19 188:19	213:14		
93:5 101:1	574.060 170:8	83 2:18		
117:19 128:24	189:2 217:14	86 2:20		
154:16 162:24	217:16	8th 4:13		

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376